1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS		
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.		
5	Plaintiffs, Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-11558-LTS		
6	V.		
7	AMERICAN AIRLINES GROUP, INC.,		
8	et al.,		
9	Defendants.		
10			
11			
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEO T. SOROKIN, DISTRICT JUDGE		
13			
14	BENCH TRIAL Day 4		
15			
16			
17	Friday, September 30, 2022 9:00 a.m.		
18			
19			
20	Tohn T. Mooklov United States Courthouse		
21	John J. Moakley United States Courthouse Courtroom 13		
22	One Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts		
23	Pachol M. Lonoz, CDD		
24	Rachel M. Lopez, CRR Official Court Reporter		
25	raeufp@gmail.com		

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
     On behalf of the Plaintiff United States of America:
 3
          UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
          BY: WILLIAM H. JONES, III; JOHN STAIGE DAVIS; BONNY E.
 4
          SWEENEY; AND JAMES H. CONGDON
          450 Fifth Street, Northwest
 5
          Suite 8000
          Washington, D.C. 20530
 6
          (202) 514-0230
          bill.jones2@usdoj.gov
 7
          john.davis10@usdoj.gov
          bonny.sweeney@usdoj.gov
 8
          james.congdon@usdoj.gov
 9
10
     On behalf of the Defendant American Airlines Group, Inc.:
11
          LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
          BY: DANIEL M. WALL AND SEUGN WAN PAIK
12
          505 Montgomery Street
          Suite 2000
13
          San Francisco, California 04111
          (415) 391-0600
14
          dan.wall@lw.com
          seung.paik@lw.com
15
16
     On behalf of the Defendant JetBlue Airways Corporation:
17
          SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
18
          BY: RICHARD F. SCHWED
          599 Lexington Avenue
19
          New York, New York 10022
          (212) 848-4000
20
          richard.schwed@shearman.com
21
22
23
24
25
```

ĺ		
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
3	TRIAL WITNESSES	
4		
5	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	<u>Page</u>
6	JOHN KIRBY	
7	By Mr. Wall	5
8	By Mr. Davis	47
9	By Mr. Wall	63
10	VASU RAJA	
11	By Ms. Sweeney	68
12	By Mr. Wall	177
13		
14		
15	EXHIBITS	
16		
17	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	<u>Admitted</u>
18	Number 64	123
19	Number 247	147
20		
21	On behalf of the Defendants:	<u>Admitted</u>
22	Number DX89-A, B, and C	168
23		
24		
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

(In open court at 9:00 a.m.)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: The United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts is now in session, the Honorable Leo T. Sorokin presiding.

THE COURT: Please be seated.

So just for the benefit of the court reporter, one little adjustment, when a new lawyer starts to examine a witness, like as a lawyer who hasn't examined before, not one of the three of you, just because I think she knows the three of you — the court reporters know the three of you more easily, just pause for a minute, until the court reporter says they're ready, that will just enable a better record for all of you. So okay.

Yes?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, just one brief administrative note for the Court, the plaintiffs' side has had a problem with this trial director that we're trying to resolve, but not sure if we're going to quite get it resolved. So defendants have agreed to call up our documents, and thanks to them for that.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. JONES: But our presentation, because, you know, using their trial director instead of --

THE COURT: May be a little bit more less smooth.

MR. JONES: 1 Yes. THE COURT: That's totally fine and I understand, 2 and kudos to all of you for agreeing to do that, that's the 3 right thing to do. 4 5 MR. WALL: We actually did not agree to put up the right documents. We obtained a curation right. 6 7 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. JONES: THE COURT: Okay. You have something else, or are 8 you just ready to go? 9 MR. WALL: No. 10 11 THE COURT: Where is Mr. Kirby? MR. DAVIS: I'll call him. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Wall. 13 14 MR. WALL: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, Mr. Kirby. 15 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 16 JOHN KIRBY 17 having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows: 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT AMERICAN AIRLINES, Cont. 20 BY MR. WALL: 21 Yesterday I asked you to explain a statement that you had 22 made to a colleague on the day that the NEA was announced 23 about potential S-curve effects. Do you recall that? 24 Yes. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. And in particular, I asked you to address what it was
- 2 that you had indicated that a greater S-curve effect was
- 3 likely at the New York airports than at the Logan airports.
- 4 And in that context, you testified that your recollection was
- 5 that American had been making public statements about
- 6 stepping up their competition at Logan just prior to the
- 7 announcement of the NEA, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And in fact, what you said was that just before
- 10 the NEA, American -- I think I'll miss the quote exactly, but
- the head of revenues, the chief -- the transcript says
- 12 coordinating officer. It should say commercial officer --
- 13 Vasu Raja, said, you know, take out the bats, we're going to
- war-type thing. Do you remember that testimony?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you said that that statement indicated that
- American was going to become more aggressive in Logan and
- that you had -- and that you had seen that just prior to the
- 19 NEA, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, a challenge to you about that, suggesting you
- read those statements in the government's complaint. Do you
- 23 remember that?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 | Q. And you said, "No, no. This is what I observed in doing

- 1 my job," and then you went on to say that you received an
- 2 e-mail from someone who forwarded to you a statement that
- Vasu Raja had made at a conference. Do you recall that?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, overnight, were you able to remember more about that
- and maybe find the e-mail?
- 7 **A.** No.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let me show you, sir, what is in evidence as
- 9 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 64, which is an internal e-mail from
- 10 Vasu Raja to Jim Carter.
- 11 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. In which Mr. Raja writes to Mr. Carter alone, "Awesome.
- Gird your loins. Time to swing the bat in Boston."
- Do you see that?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, you've never seen that internal e-mail, have you?
- 17 **A.** No.
- 18 Q. Okay. Let me show you the complaint in this case, at
- 19 paragraph 55. That should be popping up right now?
- MR. DAVIS: For the record, the Exhibit number,
- 21 please.
- MR. WALL: It's the complaint. I don't think we
- have the exhibit number on the complaint.
- 24 THE COURT: It's docket number one.
- 25 BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Paragraph 55 of the complaint states, picking up at the middle of the paragraph, "In September of 2019, American
- 3 Senior Vice President for network strategy rallied his team,
- 4 We are not done in Boston and we are going to fight like
- 5 hell in Boston if I have anything to do with it.' In January
- of 2020, the same executive commanded, 'gird your loans.
- 7 Time to swing the bat in Boston.'"
  - Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

- 10 Q. Now, you had read the complaint before prior to your
- 11 testimony yesterday, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. So when I asked you questions about the S-curve in
- Boston, you weaved into your answer one of the documents that
- DOJ has cited in support of their case about American growth
- in Boston, correct?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And then you attributed that language to an e-mail from a
- third party that you don't have, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Kirby, you also had a discussion
- 22 yesterday with Mr. Davis about destinations that Spirit
- 23 serves from both Newark and LaGuardia airports, right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you testified that Spirit serves Fort Lauderdale,

- Orlando, and Mytle Beach, from both LaGuardia and Newark, right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.

- MR. DAVIS: Objection, misstates the testimony. I thought he said Fort Lauderdale and Mytle Beach, not Orlando.
- 6 THE WITNESS: No, I also said Orlando, John.
- 7 MR. DAVIS: Withdrawn.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 BY MR. WALL:
- 10 Q. You also mentioned Houston, in fact, right?
- 11 A. Houston, which is recent, but yes.
- 12 Q. When did Spirit enter Houston?
- A. Well, we announced it, but we haven't started service
- 14 yet.
- Q. Okay. All right. Okay. So in terms of industry data
- that might be available about your pricing, it would exist
- for Fort Lauderdale, Orlando, and Myrtle Beach, but not
- 18 Houston?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So when Mr. Davis asked you whether the routes to those
- 21 destinations from Newark and LaGuardia had the same pricing,
- you responded no and that prices can vary greatly, correct?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- MR. WALL: Okay. Your Honor, I want to hand up,
- and I've already given to opposing counsel, a copy of a

8

9

11

12

18

```
compilation that we prepared last night, based upon industry
1
     DB1B data, that is admitted into evidence as Defendants'
 2
     Exhibits 745 and 1070. So this particular compilation, based
     upon the DB1B data shows Spirit's average round trip fares
     from LaGuardia and Newark airports to Fort Lauderdale,
 5
     Orlando, and Myrtle Beach. Okay?
 6
 7
               MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, the government objects.
     This is a demonstrative and will be used substantively. We
     were just handed it minutes ago. It was due under the
     pretrial scheduling yesterday at 9 o'clock, and we object to
10
     its use so late.
               MR. WALL: It's a little hard to understand.
13
               THE COURT: Hold on. You object to its use, what?
     I didn't catch the last one.
14
               MR. DAVIS: The objection is it's in violation of
15
     the Court's order about exchanging demonstrative exhibits
16
     before the testimony.
17
               THE COURT: Because the -- under the order which I
19
     confess I don't have -- one of the things that I don't have
     in front of me at the moment, says that you should --
20
     before -- on the day of -- a witness is called, you should
     exchange, by 9:00 a.m., the demonstratives for the direct and
22
23
     cross?
               MR. WALL: It's actually in advance of it, but it's
24
25
     impossible to do in this circumstance, because we didn't hear
```

this claim or this testimony until yesterday afternoon. 1 THE COURT: All right. Since this seems to be 2 3 responsive to something that wasn't in the -- was this in his 4 deposition? 5 MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, we wouldn't have objected if they had told us last evening that they were preparing 6 7 this, but we literally got it one minute before you took the bench. 9 THE COURT: I think what I'm going to do is I'm going to let them use it, but if you want to respond to it 10 11 later, even after you -- I'm not going to hold the witness, but if you want to respond to it, you can. If we need to, we 12 13 could get the witness on video for ten minutes if there was 14 something else to respond to, and I'd be prepared to have that happen and no problem. 15 Go ahead. 16 MR. WALL: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And I think generally, if you're going 18 19 to prepare it, you should give it to them the night before. MR. WALL: Well, Your Honor, I quarantee you, we 20 would have had this not come up for the first time yesterday 21 afternoon. 22 23 THE COURT: No, no, but you prepared it last night, probably. 24 25 MR. WALL: It's actually -- I think the copy that

you're looking at arrived here in the middle of the night last night and we gave it to them first thing this morning.

THE COURT: Right. But there is e-mail.

All right. Go ahead.

BY MR. WALL:

1

2

4

5

7

8

- Q. All right. Mr. Kirby, I'm showing you first a graph.

  First of all, could you explain to the Court what

  DB1B data is?
- A. Yeah. I actually referenced it yesterday at a high level. That is the data that is provided by carriers to the DOT and then is compilated and put into that database.
- Q. All right. And it's regularly used in the industry to make fare comparisons on routes between carriers and so forth?
- A. Yeah, it's used for a number of reasons, but that's some of them.
- Q. All right. So this first graph, Mr. Kirby, is entitled
  "Spirit round-trip nonstop fares between LaGuardia/Newark and
  Fort Lauderdale." And this is one of the routes when you
  said that Spirit's prices are not the same and they can vary
  greatly, correct?
  - A. I said they can vary, yes.
- Q. Do you have any reason, based upon your understanding of Spirit's pricing, to think that the pattern of movements of Spirit's prices between LaGuardia and Newark on the one hand

- and Fort Lauderdale on the other hand are substantially different than what's depicted here in this chart?
- A. No. But I would say, though, if you recall yesterday,
  one of the things I did mention was because they are similar
  stage lengths, that the pricing wouldn't be too out -- too
  different. So -- and you can see, even if we look at second
  quarter '18, there actually is a pretty significant
  difference in certain periods, and other periods, it's close.
  So again, it indicates that because of the state, what the
  cost of operating that length is similar, that the pricing
- Q. Okay. Let's move on to the next one, then, which is
  Spirit's round trip nonstop fares between LaGuardia and
  Newark on the one hand and MCO -- that's Orlando, right?

would be similar, but again, not exact.

- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. And you see these lines are pretty tightly aligned.
  Would you agree?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

1

2

11

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. So do you have any reason to believe that that inaccurately portrays a comparison between Spirit's pricing between Orlando and the two New York airport fares?
  - A. No, but --
    - MR. DAVIS: Objection to the question. Reason to believe, that doesn't establish foundation, Your Honor. As I understand it, this chart is based on defense exhibits that

```
are not in evidence.
1
               MR. WALL: That's not true. It's based upon
 2
     defense exhibits that are in evidence.
 3
 4
               MR. DAVIS: All right. My understanding is they
     are not evidence. I may be wrong. This is 745 and 1070?
 5
               MR. WALL: DB1B data was stipulated to be in
 6
 7
     evidence.
               MR. DAVIS: Is there a relationship with DX 745 and
 8
 9
     DX 1070.
               MR. WALL: Those are DB1B data.
10
11
               MR. DAVIS: All right. Well, the objection is to
     personal knowledge of these -- it's based on documents he's
12
13
     not seen right now.
14
               MR. WALL: I didn't. I asked him if he had any
     knowledge that was contrary to what's being portrayed.
15
               THE COURT: He has familiarity -- I mean, on his
16
     testimony, it seems to me he understands he's not -- I don't
17
18
     think he's -- I think he's responsible for network
19
     operations, right?
               THE WITNESS: Network planning.
20
               THE COURT: Which is the product, which is the
21
     schedule.
22
23
               THE WITNESS:
                             Correct.
               THE COURT: And that touches, in my understanding,
24
     I'll just tell you, and if I'm wrong, you can all fix it with
25
```

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the witness, but my understanding is he's not specifically responsible for pricing, but he's familiar with pricing, and that that obviously effects schedule in some way. There's interaction and intercommunication, so I think he would have some understanding of this, even if he hasn't read all of that, and he's talked about being up on the industry generally. So I don't remember the -- what's the exact -what's the question, again, just in terms of the wording? MR. WALL: I'll rephrase it. BY MR. WALL: Do you have any reason to believe that Spirit's pricing, to which you testified yesterday, between LaGuardia and Newark on the one hand and Orlando on the other hand is any different or more varied than what is depicted on this chart? I'll just --Α. THE COURT: So, wait, just. MR. DAVIS: So the objection is just improper authentication. This witness is being used to authenticate a document being admitted substantively, but he's never seen --THE COURT: Well, he hasn't offered this -- he hasn't offered the document. MR. DAVIS: Yes. THE COURT: Right? MR. WALL: No, I haven't. THE COURT: So I think he can -- that's overruled,

because what I understand reason to believe is not to authenticate the document, but is a way of using words to ask him whether he has knowledge or information in his brain, based on his experience, that is different than what's in this demonstrative.

MR. WALL: Exactly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Can you state the question again?

MR. WALL: Well, his question was better than mine.

THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor -- no.

THE COURT: Do you know anything -- well, it's not clear that whether it's better -- it's actually better or it's just because I'm the judge -- whether that opinion holds when you go back to the hotel, I don't know, but my question was simply: Do you know, based on your work, and what you know in your head, anything that -- anything at all that suggests that -- that suggests something other, smally or greatly, than what you see depicted here.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, the thing I would say,

Your Honor, is that -- we had talked about this yesterday, as
well, that pricing in 2020 and 2021 was not normal. And as I
mentioned, stage length, generally the pricing for a Spirit,
which is a low cost carrier that really is trying to offer
lowest fares possible is largely driven by the cost of
operating that service. So it's not surprising to me that

two more or less equally staged routes end up with similar pricing. But I really thought the question that Mr. Wall asked yesterday was does our pricing department start with the same prices in both markets, and I don't believe that to be true.

Now, through yield management techniques, the fares end up being similar. That's clear. I have no reason, as you asked, to dispute this data. But I don't believe our pricing people look at that and say, well, if this is the price in Newark, this is the price at LaGuardia.

## BY MR. WALL:

Q. Okay. Why don't we then just move on.

THE COURT: So just pause for a second.

So one -- stage length is the distance.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: So if it's 1,200 miles, making that —just guessing, from generally, Newark or LaGuardia, either way, to Orlando, then the stage length, that factor is the same whether it's Newark to LaGuardia to Orlando, or some other City to Orlando that's 1,200 miles.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: But part of the stage length would encompass other costs beyond sort of the cost of flying the plane, which would be the -- I assume the real estate costs in New York City are greater than the real estate costs in

certain other cities. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. 3 THE COURT: So that's when the -- there must be fees related to landing, and the like, and those kinds of 4 things would be baked into the pricing department's thoughts, 5 whether or not they actually adjusted for that, that doesn't 6 7 mean that's the only factor, but they would at least be considering that. 8 9 THE WITNESS: They -- pricing generally doesn't consider the absolute cost, or in certain, the nuances, but 10 11 that can come into play, as well. But generally --THE COURT: But you indicated that the stage 12 13 length, you would think things of a similar stage length 14 would be similarly priced. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe if we were looking --15 if we picked any Spirit markets that were similarly staged, I 16 think the pricing would probably be fairly similar 17 ultimately. 18 19 THE COURT: And that would be because the costs are similar. 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Generally, the bulk of the 21 cost is from the -- operating the aircraft. 22 23 THE COURT: Not the --THE WITNESS: Well, the real estate can come into 24 play, so for example, in the case of Newark and LaGuardia, 25

they are both some of the most expensive airports that we operate in. So if you say --

THE COURT: In terms of labor costs, in terms of fees, in terms of real estate, all the related things that have to happen at the airport, each of them is more expensive.

THE WITNESS: In general, the New York airports are much more expensive than most airports in our system.

THE COURT: Okay. I got it.

BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Just so we put a pin on that, I think you made some reference of it yesterday, but explain again the cost per enplanement.
- A. So that's using an industry standard metric. We
  usually -- I say CPE, but it's the cost of operating -- of
  basically one passenger -- transporting one passenger from
  that facility.
  - Q. And the CPE at all the New York airports is much higher than it is normally throughout the United States?
  - A. Some of the highest in the United States, yes.
    - Q. Okay. All right. Mr. Kirby, let's go back to where we left off yesterday, which was plaintiffs' Exhibit 892. And as a reminder, you were sending around an article published by Skift.com actually, excuse me, a colleague was sending around an article, and you had commented in a cover e-mail,

"Not great, he found a bunch of people who say this is good news." Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

- Q. And we were walking through the article yesterday, and when we ended the court day, we were on page 19 of the pdf, ending in the Bates number 734. And one of the things that you found was not great was a quotation in the second full paragraph from a director at the UC Berkely Haas School of Business and College Engineering, who studies the airline industry, right?
- A. Well, you're making a little bit of a leap, right? I made a general statement that they found people that thought this was a good thing, and now you're saying that I believe that this specific individual, but I'll say yes.
- Q. Okay. All right.

THE COURT: You're saying yes to which question.

I'm a little confused.

THE WITNESS: Well --

MR. WALL: Yeah, he made a fair point. I put a couple links in there that I think he fairly is pointing out that he didn't make. That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay.

- BY MR. WALL:
- Q. In the article that was circulated, one of the quotations is from someone named Saikat Chaudhuri who is identified as a

director at UC Berkeley's Haas School of Business and College of Engineering, and it says that Mr. Chaudhuri studies the airline industry, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And his quotation in the article was "I think this is a brilliant partnership. The alliance addresses strategic shortcomings at both American and JetBlue while doing so without the challenges of a merger."

That's what he said, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you thought that Mr. Chaudhuri was correct about that?
  - A. No, actually, in fact, I would agree that the alliance is great for American and JetBlue, and it does not it does allow them to operate similar to a merger without being merged, so I would agree with that statement. But I think overall, I was really thinking about broadly competition is harmed by this combination.
  - Q. Right, but Mr. Chaudhuri references that, as well, below, right? There's another part of the article below where the author actually asked him about Southwest and Spirit's concerns, and Chaudhuri said he does not see the partnership creating, "De facto monopoly positions." He cited robust competition in both Boston and New York, Delta has hubs in both cities, and United and New York hub at Newark Liberty

- airport. And then he goes on to make another comment about the slot divestments, correct?
- A. Yeah, he -- that's his opinion.
- Q. Okay. But in your advocacy against the NEA, since the day that it that it was announced, you've had to deal repeatedly with third parties who were expressing opinions contrary to Spirit's, haven't you?
- A. Well, again, I read -- I read opinions that were in -- you know, diametrically opposed to our opinion. Yes.
- Q. Well, let's go back to a document you covered yesterday
  with Mr. Davis. Take a look at -- it is -- it's already been
  admitted as Defendants' Exhibit 489.

Do you recall this deck?

- 14 A. I do recall the deck.
- Q. Yeah. So this is a -- this is a deck that was prepared for Spirit and sent to the DOT in August of 2020 to complain about the Northeast Alliance, right?
- A. It was a deck that was prepared at our behest by

  Campbell-Hill and yes, we did share that with the Department

  of Transportation.
- 21 Q. Campbell-Hill is an aviation consultancy?
- 22 **A.** They are.

13

Q. Okay. And let's turn to slide five. And what's included in this is a statement about a Washington Post article that accurately summarized the unusual nature of the AA/B6

- strategic partnership, do you see that?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

- 3 Q. So Spirit seems to be providing a summary of the summary
- 4 from the Washington Post here, and the first bullet says,
- 5 "For JetBlue, the alliance would help it grow at LaGuardia
- and Newark airports, it's home New York market and bolster
- 7 it's position at Boston-Logan Airport."
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And you told the DOT that that was accurate, right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And it is accurate, right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. The second bullet says, "Regulators could block
- further mergers of major US airlines, so the carriers are
- turning to" -- and there's an ellipsis there, "partnerships
- that still might generate new revenue."
- Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you recall the words that you omitted with the
- 21 ellipsis?
- 22 A. No. Again, I was not the author of this document.
- Q. Okay. Do you know that the words were, quote, more
- 24 modest, end quote?
- MR. DAVIS: Objection. Hearsay.

```
MR. WALL: Okay. Let's display the Washington Post
1
     article and --
 2
               MR. DAVIS: Objection, hearsay.
 3
               MR. WALL: It's not for the truth.
 4
               THE COURT: What are you offering it for?
 5
               MR. WALL: I'm offering it for the -- the fact that
 6
 7
     the deck that they put in evidence --
               THE COURT: This was the deck they presented to
 8
 9
     whom?
               MR. WALL: To the Department of Transportation. It
10
11
     was part of the --
               THE COURT: Their pitch to DOT to block it or
12
13
     modify it in some way.
14
               MR. WALL: Exactly.
               THE COURT: All right. Not for the truth, but
15
     overruled.
16
               MR. WALL: Okay.
17
18
     BY MR. WALL:
     Q. Let's display the actual Washington Post article on which
19
     this is based and the relevant line. It states, "Regulators
20
     could block further mergers of major US airlines, so the
21
     carriers are turning to more modest partnerships that still
22
     might generate new revenue. This is even more critical now
23
     with travel and airline revenue plummeting during the
24
     coronavirus pandemic."
25
```

- Okay. You didn't provide the full quote to the DOT, did you, sir?
  - A. Again, I was not the author of the document.
- Q. That's fine. So let's go back to this subject matter of slots that took up a lot of time yesterday, and I think that
- 6 we can be pretty quick about this. So you testified
- 7 yesterday that Spirit has been interested in slots at JFK
- 8 Airport for more than a decade, right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. At LaGuardia, as well, right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And -- but as you testified, there are these slot
- constraints, and it's difficult, if not impossible, to
- 14 acquire slots, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And realistically, that situation with the slots sort of
- 17 locks in the -- the take off and landing positions that
- carriers have at these airports, right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Let's take a look at PX 893 that you discussed with
- 21 Mr. Davis yesterday. And take a look at page 18 of the pdf,
- 22 which ends in Bates number 50.
- Do you remember this one, sir?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 Q. And so Mr. Davis walked you through the fact that United

- is by far the largest at Newark in terms of take off and
- 2 landing rights, correct?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. And Delta is the largest at LaGuardia?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And Delta is the largest at JFK?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Right. And on this chart, in this document, there's no
- 9 logo for American anywhere on the slide, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. There's no logo for JetBlue anywhere on the slide?
- 12 **A.** No.
- Q. Because the fact of the matter is, is that United
- controls over two-thirds of the take off and landing rights
- at Newark, right?
- 16 A. Approximately two-thirds, right.
- Q. A little more than that, actually, right?
- 18 A. Yeah. Yeah. At this metric, it's another seven points
- 19 above two-thirds.
- 20 Q. And the number of take offs and landings, and therefore
- routes and frequencies, and so forth, that United is able to
- offer in the New York metropolitan region is a function of
- having all of those take off and landing rights, correct?
- 24 A. At Newark, yes.
- Q. And with respect to Delta, it controls almost half of the

- 1 slots, the combined slots at LaGuardia and JFK, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And so once again, Delta's ability to offer all of those
- 4 frequencies and routes and so forth is a function of it
- 5 having that very large slot portfolios that these two
- 6 airports from which it primarily serves New York, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And American and JetBlue are among the airlines
- 9 that have to get by with some share of the rest, right?
- 10 A. Some large share of the rest, yes.
- 11 Q. Well, in the case of LaGuardia, it is not true that
- JetBlue has -- had, on its own, a large share of the rest, is
- 13 it, sir?
- 14 A. Well, again, it depends on your definition of large, but
- 15 yes. You are correct, American is the second largest
- 16 carrier, but JetBlue operated somewhere around 17 or 18
- 17 flights a day, prior to the NEA.
- 18 Q. Right. And I'm going to show you a document in a moment
- 19 that has a little more detail on that, but neither American
- 20 nor JetBlue has any organic ability to catch up with United
- or Delta by matching or even coming close to matching the
- 22 take offs and landings that those airlines can offer by
- 23 virtue of their superior control over slots or takeoff and
- 24 landing rights, correct?
- 25 A. Well, again, you're characterizing them as

interchangeable, which I don't believe they are.

Q. Well, answer my question, anyway.

- A. United has a larger position at Newark than the combined NEA carriers enjoy at either JFK or LaGuardia.
- Q. Right. And there's nothing that American could do on its own to get take off or landing rights in the New York area that are equivalent to the ones that United has, is there?
- A. I can't answer that question.
- Q. Can you think of anything that they could do to get take off or landing rights equivalent to what United has?

MR. DAVIS: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. What I would say is

American Airlines is the world's largest airline, and it has substantially more wherewithal that I may not be aware of that they may be able to accomplish something that I would consider to be very difficult. So if you say that looking at it as an outsider looking in, that it would be challenging for American to realize a position as great as Delta's, I would say, yes, it would be challenging, but I don't know the capabilities of American Airlines' ability to get more capacity.

Q. Can you think of anything that American Airlines could do on its own to catch up with Delta in New York in terms of

take off and landing rights or slots?

- A. Again, I would answer it the same way, that the world's largest airline has more wherewithal than any carrier that I've worked at, so they may be able to accomplish some things that I wouldn't be able to. But, yes, it would -- I presume it would be very challenging, as an observer looking at it, to realize a position as large as Delta's.
- Q. Mr. Kirby, let's go back to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 890 that you discussed with Mr. Davis.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, one question just before we get there, Mr. Wall.

Airlines, I take it, are entitled to sell the slots to another airline if they want, or does that have to go through DOT?

THE WITNESS: Generally, it depends on the magnitude. But typically, they have to go through DOT. And the interesting thing about slots, Your Honor, is that technically they're owned by the government. In fact, back in the early part of my career, carriers would even try to trade, because they had a slot number associated with it in terms of what would be withdrawn first of the government. They were to withdraw the position. So carriers would actually send money in equal amounts of slots to get higher numbers to avoid the withdrawing.

Over time, it's evolved into something that's

considered a piece of equity for the carrier. So they do buy and sell them, but typically anything more than a couple slots probably would have some kind of regulatory oversight.

THE COURT: For the same reason mergers and alliances and the like have regulatory approvals.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

BY MR. WALL:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

- Q. Okay. Mr. Kirby, let's go to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 890 that you discussed with plaintiffs. And this is a presentation that was actually made to the DOJ by the Paul

Yes.

Α.

Weiss firm. Correct?

- Q. And the -- a substantial amount of the content in this
  particular presentation is about slots and issues related to
  slots that you think arise from the NEA, right?
- 17 A. I'd like to review the document.
- 18 Q. Of course. You should have a binder up there --
- 19 **A.** Yup.
- Q. -- that has 890 in it. And if you ever think you need to review a document to answer my questions, you are more than welcome to do so, sir.
- A. Yeah, this is the first time that I mean -- I've been working with the screen until now. So 890?
  - Q. 890, sir.

- A. Okay. Mr. Wall, your question is does this document discuss slots?
- Q. Among other topics.
- 4 A. Sure. Yes, then.
- 5 Q. Okay. Why don't we pull up the overview slide. I think
- 6 it's the second slide in the PowerPoint. And if -- if I
- 7 understand correctly, the third bullet is directed to a
- 8 discussion of, "Slot portfolios," among other things,
- 9 correct?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And the last -- under the last major bullet
- of, "Potential competitive concerns," there are three
- sub-bullets, and then a sub-bullet at the end that are
- all about slots, right?
- 15 A. The last three and the sub-bullet, yes.
- Q. Okay. So turn, if you would, to slide 7. And slide 7 is
- one of two slots -- excuse me, two slides in which you
- present certain data about slot holdings and slot operations
- on the next slide at various airports, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And in -- could you explain what the difference is
- between the slot holdings that are depicted on slide 7, and
- the slot operators and the associated percentages that are on
- 24 slide 8?
- 25 A. Yeah. Well, I'll go into it and try to keep it concise.

- Carriers operate -- or rather, have slot holdings that's 1 managed by the FAA. But in any given environment, we're 2 talking about this a little bit yesterday, they may not be operating all of them. They may have another carrier 4 operating them. So there's -- there's different ways that 5 they operate. They may be operated by a regional affiliate, 7 which may cause some variance. So it's generally what they hold is the document on the left. That's Document 131, the 8 ones that they actually have some ownership to. And then in 9 any given slot season, different carriers may be operating 10 that portfolio. 11
- Q. So you would expect to see a little bit of variation between the holdings and the operations.
- 14 A. Yeah, especially for the larger carriers.
- Q. Okay. But what you're depicting here, starting with the
- slot holdings slide, is that Delta holds by these metrics,
- 44.8 percent of the LaGuardia slots, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So that's -- that's about 56 percent more slots than
- 20 American, right?
- 21 A. I'll trust your math.
- Q. And it's many times, I think it's over 16 times as many slots as JetBlue has at LaGuardia, right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And even if you add American and JetBlue together, Delta

- 1 still has over 40 percent more slots at LaGuardia, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Now, at JFK, Delta has, by this measure, exactly twice as
- 4 many slots as American, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And 25 percent more than JetBlue, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And, as we've discussed, as a practical matter, those shares of slots are fixed, right?
- 10 A. Again, the holdings, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. You can put that down.
  - Now, the reason why ULCCs have a small share of the slots at the New York City airports is because of the way that slots are regulated by the FAA and the DOT, right?
  - A. That's a broad -- I would say the reason the large carriers have is they were around longer and secured those positions before many of the LCCs were in existence.
  - Q. Right. And what I'm getting in particular is, for all intents and purposes, slots are kept by their owners unless they fail to utilize them sufficiently, right?
  - A. Yeah. But I would -- or they're forced to divest those assets as part of some either merger or some slot deal.
- Q. Right. And that's a key point is the one significant exception, the one significant avenue for smaller carriers to get slots is through the divestitures that might fall out of

some legal or regulatory proceeding, right?

- A. Yes. And there were some in '21, back at the -- back at the beginning of this millennium, that also allowed for more -- some slot positions were expanded and then you also had beyond perimeter. So there are some exceptions that allow other carriers to get more capacity, as well. But in general, your statement is accurate.
- Q. Okay. And one of the concerns that Spirit has had is that the so called 80/20 use it or lose it rule is too easy for the legacy carriers to meet. Right?
- A. If you're a large slot holder, yes, you can use that rule to not operate these valuable or really consumer -- or benefit the traveling public. Valuable assets is really what I'm trying to say.
  - Q. And one of the things that Spirit has complained about over the years about the FAA policies is that it tolerates carriers flying smaller planes on slots in order to meet the utilization requirements, right?
- A. Yeah. It's our position that these are constrained assets they should be used to the greatest public benefit.

  And by flying very small-gauge aircraft short distances just to hang on to that capacity is somewhat disingenuous, but as I've said before, not illegal.
- Q. Right. And I mean that's kind of what I'm leading up to.

  The reality is that the criticisms that Spirit has about the

- slot system are really criticisms about the policies not being in what you regard to be the consumer's best interest, right?
  - A. I think that's fair.
- Q. Okay. Now, to the extent that, as a result of this or any other legal proceeding, American Airlines were to lose slots, that would actually exacerbate its slot disadvantage relative to Delta, right?
- 9 A. Versus Delta, yes.
- Q. Okay. When the NEA was announced in July 2020, among
  your first thoughts was is this an opportunity to obtain some
  slot divestitures, right?
- 13 **A.** No.

- Q. No? Okay. Let's take a look at another e-mail from that day. It's already been admitted into evidence as Defendants'

  Exhibit 481. I don't think you need to go to your binder on this one. It's a -- we'll show you what you need to, but you're welcome to.
  - This is an e-mail exchange between you and a number of colleagues at Spirit, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.

19

- 22 Q. Could you identify Chip Sandifer and Nick Bartolotta?
- 23 **A.** Yeah. Chip Sandifer is our vice president of corporate 24 real estate. Nick Bartolotta was, at the time, my senior 25 director of network planning.

- 1 Q. Of network planning?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And corporate real estate refers to things like
- 4 slots, gates, and other infrastructure needs for the airport,
- 5 right?
- A. Not slots.
- 7 O. Not slots?
- 8 A. Infrastructure, so other -- basically airport real
- 9 estate, but not slots.
- 10 Q. Okay. But part of Mr. Sandifer's responsibilities is to
- 11 help secure infrastructure at airports, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And he's e-mailing you just hours after the NEA is
- announced, and he is saying, "One topic for tomorrow is New
- York, with the B6/AA announcement, I think we should talk
- about our objectives and potential opportunities at
- 17 LaGuardia, Newark, JFK. Since their deals need approval, is
- there a window to force our way into JFK slots, additional
- 19 LGA slots."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And you respond, "Yes on JFK," which I gather just means
- yes, let's put it on the agenda to talk about?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And that day, Spirit contacted its DOT counsel at

- the law firm of Christie and Young in Washington, D.C., they
  get to work on issues relating to the NEA, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that day, Spirit reached out to the Campbell-Hill consultancy to get to work on issues relating to the NEA, right?
- A. Do you have that document? Again, I don't doubt you as much as my memory wasn't -- I'm not sure if we did it the same day or the next day. Maybe that's not material.
- 10 Q. It's not material.
- 11 **A.** Okay. Okay.
- Q. Now, let's take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 894. This
- is a letter from Spirit's outside counsel to the deputy
- secretary of the DOT, and the assistant attorney general for
- antitrust at the DOJ that is attached to an e-mail that you
- are sending to various people. Right?
- A. Yeah, primarily in this e-mail, it's the corporate communication department.
- Q. Right. And what you're saying is that, "The issue we shared on our last call was accelerated and we've seen this letter to DOT and DOJ," right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And looking at the letter, that's dated July 20th, right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. The NEA was announced on Thursday, July 16th, and you have a letter in front of the DOJ and the DOT on Monday, July 20th, right?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And much of the messaging in this letter is about slots and the idea that if the NEA is allowed, there needs to be slot divestitures to ULCCs like Spirit, right?
- A. Again, I'd like to look at the document.
- **Q.** It's in your binder. It's in the Plaintiffs'
  10 Exhibit binder, the one that Mr. Davis was using, which is
  11 Exhibit 894.
  - THE COURT: Coming to the conclusion, Mr. Wall, that in the private practice of law, there are no weekends. There's just no boundary to the workday.
  - MR. WALL: The audience to which you have just directed that comment knows it so well right now.
  - THE WITNESS: Okay. What I would say, though, there is mention to the remedy potentially being a slot divestiture, but it is not the dominant -- the sort of, I'd say, position of this paper.

Again, one of the things that we have to remember with this is we didn't -- we didn't know everything about the -- in fact, we still don't know everything about the NEA. At the time, we knew it was a co-chair. And so we're looking at this, really, with fresh eyes, saying -- and again, the

e-mail that we looked at yesterday, that I think you also pulled up, my first response was, "I think this is bad." But part of due diligence process is you have to look at all the possibilities. At the time, we were thinking this was a codeshare. You have to consider that there may be divestitures, and while that wasn't the first thing that I thought of, you have to at least do the due diligence, but since we've learned more about the level of coordination, corporation, and revenue sharing of this document, we have stopped working on any kind of remedy. We've stopped working on any analysis of the divestitures. We think this is a bad thing.

- 13 Mr. Kirby? Q.
- 14 Α. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

21

22

- Throughout the entire process of the DOT, you were 15 Q. arguing that there should be slot divestitures, weren't you? 16
- I was not. Our attorneys suggested that that was -- that 17 was -- that could be a potential remedy. 18
- 19 0. Spirit was arguing, throughout the entire process, that there needed to be slot divestitures, weren't there? 20
- They were arguing that that could be a potential remedy, but they -- the strongest argument that we put forth was 23 transparency. This process was very different than any other codeshare review done by the DOT to my knowledge. 24
  - Since you are suggesting that this was sort of an

```
evolution of thinking, why don't we just move on to a little
1
     later in the chronology?
 2
               MR. DAVIS: Objection to counsel testifying.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
 4
     BY MR. WALL:
 5
          Spirit found out that there were likely to be slot
     divestitures as a result of a DOT agreement, before that
 7
     agreement was publically announced, right?
 8
 9
     Α.
          Before it was publically announced?
         Yes.
10
     Ο.
11
          Well, when was it publically announced? When we filed --
     if we're talking about the January 6th, would that be
12
13
     public -- I don't recall it being publically denounced.
14
     Q.
          When the agreement was -- you recall the date. You
     recall the event, right?
15
        Maybe I'm -- maybe I'm misunderstanding what
16
     publically --
17
18
               MR. WALL: Okay.
19
               THE COURT: Is your question did Spirit learn that
     there would be slot divestitures before DOT -- or JetBlue and
20
     American pubically announced that?
21
               MR. WALL: Yes.
22
23
               THE WITNESS: Oh, did you say publically announced?
     BY MR. WALL:
24
         Right. You had a heads up through your counsel --
25
```

- A. Before it was approved.
- 2 Q. -- before it was approved.
- 3 A. Okay. Sorry, I misheard you, I thought you said it was
- 4 publically de -- yes, we were aware that there could be
- 5 divestitures prior to the approval.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what you did was you ended up filing a formal
- 7 complaint against the NEA just on the eve of the announcement
- 8 of the DOT agreement, right?
- 9 A. We filed the complaint on, I believe, Jan 6th or 7th.
- 10 Q. Right. And let's pull that up that was discussed
- 11 yesterday. It's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 895, and this is another
- e-mail that you're sending to the Corp communications team at
- 13 Spirit, right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And then it references -- you're giving them a heads up
- on the filing that you're making at the DOT, right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And you're saying it's a public filing, right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And the filing asks for investigation -- a formal
- investigation of the NEA, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the date that you filed that request is January 7,
- 24 2021, right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And that's almost six months after the NEA was announced, right?
- A. The agreement was announced publically, yes, but before it was approved.
- Q. And in your complaint, you come right out and state very specifically that more slot divestitures are required to remedy what you see as the effects of the NEA, correct?
- 8 A. Again, I've got to look at that document.
- 9 Q. It's paragraph 4, sir, we'll put it up on the screen.
- 10 A. Okay. That will be great.
- Q. And you can see the last sentence of paragraph 4

  states, "At a minimum, significant slot divestitures in the

  range of 16 slot pairs at each of the affected New York

  airports and DCA would be required."

15 Right?

- 16 A. Yes. I see that statement.
- Q. Okay. Now, today, the department has not granted

  Spirit's request for that new formal investigation, right?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 Q. But you keep trying, right?
- 21 **A.** We keep trying.
- 22 **Q.** You keep trying to get the DOT to take action, right?
- A. Well, this was -- my understanding is this is our last
- filing before the deal was announced and we had not filed
- anything subsequently to that, subsequent to that.

- Q. Let's talk about the effects of the NEA. I -- I asked
  you at your deposition whether you were aware of any ordinary
  course internal analysis within Spirit that shows that
  JetBlue has changed its pricing philosophy as a result of the
  - A. Yes.

7

8

9

23

- MR. DAVIS: Objection. Hearsay, improper impeachment, counsel is reading from a deposition without any foundation.
- MR. WALL: I'm just going to ask him whether he has anything more recent.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.

NEA. Do you remember that?

- 13 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Okay. So given the time that's passed, have you come
  across any ordinarily course internal analysis within Spirit
  that shows that JetBlue has changed its pricing philosophy as
  a result of the NEA?
- A. As I said before, I believe they are on their best behavior, and no, I have not.
- Q. On the other hand, you have observed JetBlue taking
  aggressive competitive actions against American in nonNEA
  airports like Miami, right?
  - A. I wouldn't characterize it as aggressive.
- Q. Would you characterize it as borderline reckless?
- 25 A. Well, at the statement I made, I was talking very

- broadly, because I know what e-mail you're talking about. I
  was talking about a broad amount of new markets that they had
  added at that time, I believe, in that e-mail.
- Q. Let's pull up Defendant's Exhibit 464. Can you identify for the Court what this e-mail is and what caused it to come about?
- 7 A. Yeah, JetBlue made a fairly substantial service expansion announcement.
- 9 Q. In South Florida?

Miami. I think they were --

- A. My understanding was if you keep scrolling down, there's services that are not included, that are not -- do not touch
- Q. I'm sorry. You're right. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to suggest otherwise, but you're particularly focused on the service adds that affected South Florida, where Spirit is
- 16 based, right?

- A. No, this statement was based on really the broad amount of capacity that they were adding at that time.
- Q. What happened -- what happens in this e-mail is Tim
  Archer e-mails you and Mr. Bartolotta, stating, "So B6 is
  taking on AA and UA in Miami?"
- 22 Right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you respond, "Yes, I would call this route expansion borderline reckless."

Yes?

- A. Yes, I said that. But again, I don't explicitly cite Miami. I say "route expansion," and I really was talking broadly in this document.
- Q. Now, one thing that you do not believe about the NEA,

  Mr. Kirby, is that it is going to be the cause of changing

  JetBlue from what you regard as a true low cost carrier into

  something else, right?
- 9 A. I'm sorry. Say that again?
- Q. Let me put it this way. You have taken the position,
  personally, and your company has taken the position for some
  time that JetBlue wasn't a low cost carrier by the time that
  it entered into the NEA, right?
  - A. What I would say is that, yes, we have used that in some documentation. And what I also said with -- previously was that they still have lower cost than the legacy carriers.
  - Q. Indeed and Spirit has consistently been arguing in the last few years to the DOT that because it doesn't qualify as what you regard as a true low cost carrier, it shouldn't be -- have the privileges with respect to things like slots that are afforded to low cost carriers, right?
  - A. Yeah, and I think you'd have to show me that, because that's a very broad statement that we've been making.
  - Q. Well, happily. So let's go to Defendants' Exhibit 491, which is that letter that we've already talked about, that

Spirit sent regarding the NEA days after the announcement in July of 2020. And on the third page of the letter, and the third full paragraph, an assertion is made, quote, "The carriers that generate lower fares are Southwest and the

ultra low cost carriers, ULCCs." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

5

7

18

22

23

- Q. You left out JetBlue, right?
- Well, yes. But I also think that in this document, we 8 Α. were, again, responding to -- so we were leaving JetBlue out 9 because it was part of the NEA, as well. But I'll be fair to 10 11 you, I know in the Campbell-Hill document, there is a chart that talks about that JetBlue is moving away from the LCC 12 13 model. So I will say that what I've observed is they do --14 they are moving away from a traditional LCC model and moving towards more of a legacy type model. 15
- Q. Right. You have taken the position that overtime JetBlue has been losing any claim to low cost carrier status, right?
  - A. Again, where --
- 19 Q. It's a different document, sir. Let's pull up --
- A. Yeah, if you could just pull it up. It's not that I'm doubting you, but it's helpful for me to see it in print.
  - Q. Okay. Defendants' Exhibit 492, which is one of these letters that was written to the Department of Justice in early August. Third page of the letter, top paragraph.
- "Over time, JetBlue has been losing any claim to low cost

carrier status."

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

A. Sorry, I'm not quite -- I'm on page 2. It looks like you need to go one more page. Okay.

We're still not quite there.

- Q. Hold on. Give us a second.
- A. Okay. So again, that statement is that it has been losing, not lost, and we're saying that this agreement, we think, will likely exacerbate, not -- and we do agree with that.
- Q. Okay. But just to finish it out, in the context of -- of advocating in favor of a merger with Frontier, Spirit went so far as to refer to JetBlue as a high cost, high fare carrier, right?
  - A. Yes. Relative to Spirit. If you want to show me the statement, I don't know if that was the one that -- if it's in the broad document, or if it's attributed to our CEO.
    - MR. WALL: Thank you, Mr. Kirby. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Redirect.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF USA

22 BY MR. DAVIS:

Q. So, Mr. Kirby, starting with the last point, Mr. Wall was asking you whether JetBlue, over time, had been observed losing some claim to LCC status, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And some of that trend you observed before the NEA,
- 3 correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What did the NEA do to that trend?
- A. Well, again, if you play through what's likely to happen,
- 7 really you're talking about a duopoly at JFK and LaGuardia,
- and we've talked about the various (G8) studies that say
- 9 legacy carriers really don't compete on price. We also know
- that part of the NEA is reciprocity with an earn and burn
- 11 loyalty program. And we know that American enjoys the
- 12 largest loyalty program in the world, as well, and that
- JetBlue's cost will have to go up, one way or the other,
- 14 because of the earn and burn -- well, let me say -- let me
- 15 back up a little bit.
- MR. WALL: Objection, Your Honor. This is getting
- way into speculation and there's no foundation for it at all.
- 18 THE COURT: I think it might be beyond the scope of
- 19 the question at this point.
- 20 MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: I think it might be beyond the scope of
- 22 the question.
- MR. DAVIS: I'll narrow it.
- 24 BY MR. DAVIS:
- 25 Q. In your opinion, does the NEA hasten JetBlue's movement

toward being a higher cost carrier? 1 In my opinion, yes. Α. 2 And that their cost structure will go up as a result of Q. 4 the NEA? 5 Objection. MR. WALL: MR. SCHWED: Objection, speculation and leading. 6 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form. 7 BY MR. DAVIS: 8 What's your opinion about the effect of the NEA on 9 JetBlue's cost structure? 10 MR. SCHWED: Objection, foundation and speculation. 11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him answer it and then 12 you've got to ask him a follow-up question as to what's the 13 basis for that and then we'll see. Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: There's two things that I think of. 15 The larger footprint, we talked about this a little earlier, 16 LaGuardia and JFK are some of the more expensive airports. 17 18 So to the extent that JetBlue has more service in and out of 19 LaGuardia and JFK, their costs are likely to go up. And then, as I was starting to talk about a little 20 bit, the frequent traveler program is the other element that 21 can come into play, as Mosaic travel members on JetBlue use 22

American Airlines to redeem their points, the JetBlue, then,

would have to purchase, at least that's usually what happens,

American Advantage miles at some cost. And the likelihood is

23

24

2

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JFK and LaGuardia, right?

```
that that purchase would lead to higher costs from JetBlue,
again, not knowing the full extent of the NEA agreement, for
all I know, maybe American is giving them for free, but then
American would have that liability.
    So Mr. Kirby, what's the foundation for the answer you
just gave?
    The combination of the likely cost to JetBlue of the
loyalty program coupled with the higher cost concentration at
airports that they serve.
          THE COURT: Can I just -- so you say one reason the
cost would be more is because they have more service at these
expensive airports.
          THE WITNESS:
                        Yes.
          THE COURT: But the cost -- but that would be
spread across more flights.
          THE WITNESS: Yes.
                             But the absolute cost of
operating at these very expensive airports is still going to
raise their overall cost. And Your Honor, my point, really,
is that --
          THE COURT: But how does that change -- I mean,
that's just -- if you have flights there -- you're an ultra
low cost carrier, right?
          THE WITNESS: Yes.
          THE COURT: And you would like to have flights at
```

THE WITNESS: 1 Yes. THE COURT: And assuming you get a critical mass, 2 or what have you, right? But then that increases your cost 3 structure. 4 5 THE WITNESS: THE COURT: But that's spread across flights in New 6 York, where that's presumably baked in, to some degree. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, but really the point is -- and 8 I'll just -- if 50 percent of your flights are in LaGuardia 9 and Newark versus 25, your overall costs have to go up. Now, 10 11 you're doing that because you believe serving the New York area airports, you're also able to get higher revenue, as 12 well. So it's that tradeoff. 13 14 THE COURT: But everybody has higher costs in New York. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: That seems -- okay. 17 THE WITNESS: Really, again, it's just a higher 18 19 percentage. The more flights you operate out of New York airport, the percentage of your overall service levels, your 20 21 costs are going to go up. THE COURT: But that seems like a different cost 22 factor than say running five flights a day out of one gate 23 versus ten flights a day out of one gate. 24 25 THE WITNESS: You can lower your cost by --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: By doing that, but one is how you operate, and one is where you operate. Someone is operating in New York. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. I understand. Go ahead. BY MR. DAVIS: Q. All right. Mr. Kirby, you were asked about --MR. SCHWED: Based on the foundation, I would move to strike his testimony on the frequent flyer benefits. He has no basis. He doesn't know what the agreement is, and I would move to strike that. THE COURT: You got a point. BY MR. DAVIS: Q. Mr. Kirby, have you worked at airlines that have frequent flyer plans? Α. Yes. And are you familiar with the costs associated with servicing those plans? Not intimately the exact cost, but again, obviously Spirit has a frequent traveler program, and prior airlines I work with have frequent traveler programs. THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do with the objection. I'm going to overrule the objection, but I'll just tell all of you, I don't know how much weight -- that

strikes me as a possible issue, but it depends on what the

contract says and it depends on how the contract works. If the contract works the way he says it works, that would be something that might have — I don't know how much weight, but something, if the contract works differently than that, then I mean, I'll be honest with you, I won't pay any attention to that, because it's not what the contract provides.

I will say one thing that just — this reminds me of something that I've been thinking about, because I've been reviewing, going through the contracts. The relevant portions or the key portions of the contract, I assume somebody is going to be digging into that at some point in testimony, and that would be, like, something I'll be thinking about, because I think, obviously, a lot of this — a lot of things that people referred to depend on the significance, import, and provisions of particular portions of the contract, like, for example, this. Not the only one, but it just comes up right here. So I assume you're probably all thinking about that, but I just wanted to raise it, because it's occurred to me. Go ahead.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. DAVIS:

- Q. Mr. Kirby, you were asked about the DOT proceeding regarding the NEA in which Spirit filed a complaint, right?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And you were asked about DOT's approval of the NEA. Do you recall that?
- A. I was asked about the -- the timing of the approval that was close to the complaint, yes.
- 5 Q. Is it your understanding that DOT approved the NEA?
- 6 A. Well, they're allowing it to go forward, yes.
- Q. They did allow it to go forward, but on what terms? Do you know?
- 9 A. No, I don't specifically know the terms.
- Q. And Mr. Kirby, you filed a complaint, as you said, Jan
- 7th, '21, Spirit Airlines, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And do you know whether other entities filed in support of your complaint?
- 15 A. My recollection was that Southwest Airlines also filed.
- Q. Right. And do you know the present, formal status of that complaint at the DOT?
- 18 **A.** I do not.
- 19 THE COURT: The Southwest complaint or the Spirit?
- MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry, Spirit's complaint,
- 21 Your Honor.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, subsequently, Your Honor,
- 23 Southwest also.
- THE COURT: Right. And you don't know the status
- of the complaint.

- THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 2 BY MR. DAVIS:
- Q. So you don't know whether DOT stayed resolution of that complaint, pending the outcome of this lawsuit?
- 5 A. I do not.
- Q. All right. Now, counsel asked you, in your cross-examination, to imagine ways that American Airlines could get more slots at LaGuardia and JFK. Do you recall that?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. He was asking you to come up with some way that you could
- think of that American Airlines could reach even higher and
- get a higher level of slots at those slot constrained
- 14 airports, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. But you've also testified that American Airlines already
- 17 has slots at JFK, right?
- 18 A. They do. They're the second largest carrier there.
- 19 Q. And American Airlines already has slots at LaGuardia,
- 20 right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And actually, before the NEA, they had more slots than
- they do now, because of their transaction with JetBlue,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Well, that goes to the sort of whole versus operate. So

```
what I've observed is that JetBlue has grown dramatically.
1
     In LaGuardia, especially, they're up about almost 200 percent
 2
     in departures at LaGuardia, and up about just under
     20 percent at JFK.
          Right. So before the NEA, did American need more slots
 5
     at LGA and JFK?
          I don't think I can answer that question.
 7
     Α.
          All right. Do you know whether they were efficiently
 8
     Q.
     using the slots they already had?
 9
          Well, what I would mention -- and this is --
10
     Α.
               THE COURT: Efficiently or maximally?
11
               MR. DAVIS: Sorry?
12
               THE COURT: Efficiently or maximally?
13
14
               MR. DAVIS: Maximally.
               THE WITNESS: Yeah. What I would say is what I
15
     observed is that American at JFK asked for slot waivers from
16
     the FAA in the summer 2018 season and also in the winter 2018
17
     season -- or sorry, '19 winter -- Summer 2019, Winter 2019
18
19
     slot season. In the summer season, they proactively
20
     approached the FAA and volunteered to operate about 20 slot
     pairs less than they held to help with construction. And
21
     subsequently, they used -- in the wintertime, they blame the
22
```

MAX aircraft and asked FAA to give them a waiver for

approximately that same number, 20 slot pairs for the winter

23

24

25

season.

- Q. So was there any sign before the NEA that American was bursting at the seems with a need for more slots in order to compete with Delta?
  - A. Certainly not at JFK.
- Q. Now, what does the NEA allow American to do with its not maximally used slots at JFK and LaGuardia?
- A. I believe they call it pooling and so they pool their slots with JetBlue and American, and then they discuss and optimize who should you fly and what capacity.
- Q. And as you say, JetBlue is now doing a lot of that flying out of LaGuardia, right?
- 12 **A.** Yeah. It definitely appears that JetBlue is prioritized
- flying the NEA capacity over some of the previous
- 14 initiatives.

- Q. All right. And does -- you already testified that Spirit
- wants slots at LaGuardia, right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And potentially at JFK, right?
- 19 A. If we should achieve critical mass, yes.
- Q. If Spirit could do that, would Spirit underutilize those
- 21 slots?
- 22 A. Well, I would say history says the answer is no.
- 23 Q. And why is that?
- A. Because Spirit is a high -- every slot that Spirit has is highly utilized.

- 1 Q. All right. Let's talk -- you were asked by Mr. Wall at
- the beginning of your testimony about benefits to consumers
- 3 from the frequent flyer plan expansion. Do you recall that?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, a more expanded frequent flyer plan is more expanded
- by definition, right? It's bigger for consumers?
- 7 A. Yes. It provides benefits to the frequent travelers of
- 8 those -- the carrier.
- 9 Q. All right. But Mr. Wall didn't ask you about the cost of
- an expanded frequent flyer plan, did he?
- 11 **A.** No.
- 12 Q. And would you agree that to evaluate whether an expanded
- frequent flyer plan is actually better, you have to consider
- 14 the cost?
- 15 A. I just want to make -- can you clarify that a little bit?
- In other words, are you talking about the cost of the program
- or the cost to the consumer?
- 18 Q. Both. They're costs associated with a frequent flyer
- 19 plan, right?
- 20 A. Certainly the more passengers that are members, the more
- 21 liability raises the cost. I think in one of the documents,
- 22 the American Airlines liability is greater than JetBlue's
- total revenue, annual revenue. So certainly as the program
- 24 gets bigger, the liability would get larger.
- And you know, in terms of cost to the passenger,

- certainly a larger program could make, say, benefits harder
  to achieve. So in theory, it could take more miles or points
  to achieve something that -- a vacation that maybe they could
  achieve previously for a lower number.
- Q. Now, you understand further that a frequent flyer agreement is only one part of the NEA, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that the NEA also involves capacity coordination and revenue sharing, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So the NEA comes along with -- coming along in the NEA with a frequent flyer plan that's bigger is capacity coordination and revenue sharing, right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. All right. And are there costs to that?

MR. SCHWED: Objection, Your Honor. He's
speculating about costs I assume of JetBlue and American,
under agreements that he hasn't seen.

- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 BY MR. DAVIS:
- Q. Let me ask it this way, Mr. Kirby. Is there any reason why, to get an expanded frequent flyer plan, you have to have capacity coordination along with it?
- MR. SCHWED: Objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: To be honest with you, Mr. Davis, I

```
understand that there's a lot of feature to these agreements,
1
     right? Capacity, coordination, frequent flyer program, and I
 2
     would assume in the free market, they can -- putting aside
     whatever -- the approvals required or the antitrust review,
     other than that, they could make a deal with any sort of
 5
     combination of features. They could do a -- no one is
     arguing that you can't make a deal with frequent flyer
 7
     without more. And we've already heard evidence of
     international alliances where there might be a frequent flyer
 9
     relationship and not much else. I think I understand that.
10
11
     If that's your point.
               MR. DAVIS: And can I follow-up just on that point,
12
13
     Your Honor?
14
               THE COURT: Sure.
     BY MR. DAVIS:
15
     Q. Mr. Kirby, do airlines regularly have reciprocal frequent
16
     flyer agreements between themselves?
17
          Again, that's sort of broad, but you know, there
18
19
     certainly is -- there are carriers that have reciprocal
20
     agreements.
     Q. And again, you can do that without coordinating capacity
21
     with that other airline at the same time, right?
22
23
               MR. WALL: Objection. The leading, it's just
     constant at this point, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.
25
```

- BY MR. DAVIS:
- Q. Is it necessary to have capacity coordination in order to
- have a reciprocal frequent flyer plan agreement?
- 4 **A.** No.

- 5 Q. All right. And is it necessary to have revenue sharing
- in order to have a reciprocal frequent flyer agreement?
- 7 **A.** No.
- Q. Let's just look quickly at Defense Exhibit 489, which is
- 9 that analysis of AA/B6 strategic partnership. And again,
- this is prepared by Spirit in August of 2020?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And turning to page 21, please, ending in 499. Would you
- just read the banner up there at the top, please, Mr. Kirby?
- 14 A. "The strategic partnership is likely to harm consumers."
- 15 Q. And then the sentence under it?
- MR. WALL: Objection, Your Honor. This is a --
- there's no foundation that he has any idea at all about these
- 18 facts and figures, the numbers, the claimed harms that are
- 19 here.
- 20 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, this is in evidence --
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 MR. DAVIS: Yeah. Thank you.
- 23 BY MR. DAVIS:
- Q. Can you read that sentence, please, Mr. Kirby?
- 25 A. "A five percent fare benefit from the Advantage program

- is likely. It would result in a \$383 million in higher costs
- for air travelers and increased fares would price 2.7 million
- origination and destination passengers out of the air travel
- 4 market."
- Q. All right. Let's go to page 22, the next page. And can
- 6 you read the top there, please?
- 7 A. "Impact of higher fares on consumer prices."
- 8 Q. All right. And can you read the two sentences underneath
- 9 the black line?
- 10 A. "Given JetBlue's recent fare trends, it is likely that
- the strategic partnership will increase fares in the range of
- 5 percent or more. Each 1 percent increase will raise the
- cost to consumers by roughly 80 million annually."
- 14 Q. All right. And finally, page 23, ending in 501, what
- does that say at the top?
- 16 A. "Impact of higher fares on consumer demand."
- 17 Q. And can you read the sentence under the bar, the two
- 18 sentences?
- 19 A. "As prices increase, more passengers will be priced out
- of the market. For each 1 percent increase in price,
- 21 slightly more than half a million travelers will be lost; the
- 22 impact of the strategic partnership will negatively impact
- over 2.5 million."
- 24 Q. And so the bottom bullet, finally, Mr. Kirby, would you
- 25 read that, please?

- "The displaced demand of higher fares would normally 1 present an opportunity for more efficient competitors to 2 3 enter the market and satisfy that demand. But congestion at these airports limit effective new entry." 4 And as we've been talking about LaGuardia, JFK, Newark, 5 0. and Boston are congested airports; is that fair? 7 Α. Yes. So is --0.
  - THE COURT: Well, is Boston -- Boston is not slot
- 11 THE WITNESS: It is not, Your Honor, but it has
  12 very limited available capacity for growth.
- 13 BY MR. DAVIS:

controlled?

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. All right. So Mr. Kirby, is an expanded frequent flyer plan benefit to consumers, does that come without cost?
  - A. Typically, there is a cost associated. I do recall, I think it was a few earnings calls ago, that JetBlue mentioned that they increase that they showed in their cost for that quarter was associated with divestments made for the NEA.
    - MR. DAVIS: No further questions. Thank you.
  - THE COURT: Any recross?
    - MR. WALL: Just a couple of things.
    - RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF AMERICAN AIRLINES
- 24 BY MR. WALL:
  - Q. Just a clarification. In the questioning about waivers,

- you made a passing reference to the MAX, do you want to tell the Court what the MAX waivers were about?
- A. Sure. The 737 is a Boeing aircraft. The 737 MAX, it had a couple of well publicized tragic accidents and that fleet was shut down. American was the one of the carriers that were receiving deliveries of the aircraft, and so because they weren't getting more aircraft, they used that as the reason that they could not operate the JFK capacity.
- Q. American and Southwest were the largest US carriers that
  were presently flying the MAX at the time of those crashes,
  right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So they had substantial parts of their fleets grounded by the FAA, right?
- 15 A. Well, the entire MAX fleet, right?
- Q. Right. In fact, the MAX was essentially grounded worldwide through a combination of jurisdictions, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the MAX waivers that you raised were strictly in reference to the fact that some carriers, including
- 21 American, were reliant on MAX aircraft to meet their slot
- 22 flying requirements, right?
- 23 A. I wouldn't say specifically the slot requirements, but
- let's say their growth ambitions. Because at the same time
- 25 that American didn't operate those to capacity, they added

- approximately 120 flights from Charlotte to Dallas. So they still could have shifted passing ground, but I would still say that's something that they didn't plan on and they didn't
- Q. Right. And in all events, there's no question that that
  was the rationale for the waivers was the grounding of the
  MAX fleet?
- 8 A. That was the basis for their ask. That's right.
- 9 **Q.** And that grounding ended up lasting quite a bit longer than anyone had originally expected, right?
- 11 A. Well, yeah, I think so. I would say that's fair.
- Q. And as a result of that, the waivers were extended on more than one occasion by the FAA, right?
- 14 **A.** What waivers?

receive.

- 15 \ Q. The slot waivers?
- A. Well, no. What happened they were waived for one season for the MAX, for that situation. And then I think we talked about yesterday, the next season, my understanding was that American did not get a waiver for that subsequent season.
- Q. You testified yesterday that there was an occasion in
  which American called up Spirit and tried to get it to cover
  some -- some slots at JFK, right?
- A. Yeah, they asked us if we were interested for that subsequent season.

- Right. And that approach was related to dynamics around 1 Q. the -- around the MAX, as well, wasn't it? 2 I can't say that American's ambition was -- or their --3 the catalyst for that ask was the MAX, but it certainly could 4 have been. 5 Okay. One last point. Counsel shows you some of the advocacy that you put in around the potential effects of the 7 NEA, and your most aggressive advocacy that you put in 8 through this entire process, did you ever claim that the 9 effect of the Northeast Alliance was going to be increase air 10 11 fares by 30, 50, even 90 percent? Not to my recollection. 12 Α. MR. WALL: Thank you, sir. No more questions. 13 14 MR. DAVIS: No questions. THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Kirby. You're 15 excused. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Who is the next witness? 18 19 MR. JONES: Your Honor, may I request a very brief break to check on our technology issues before the next 20 witness? 21
- THE COURT: Sure. Yeah. All right.

24

- Who's going to -- just for the court reporter, who's going to examine the next witness. That will help her.
  - MR. JONES: The next witness will be examined by

```
Bonny Sweeney -- Ms. Sweeney is the person at the podium.
1
                THE COURT: I'm beginning to think the DOJ has a
 2
 3
     bigger army than the defendants.
 4
               MR. JONES: Just deployed differently, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. We'll take a brief break.
 5
                (Court in recess at 10:27 a.m.
 6
                and reconvened at 10:41 a.m.)
 7
                THE WITNESS: The United State District Court for
 8
     the District of Massachusetts is now in session, then
 9
     Honorable Leo T. Sorokin --
10
11
                THE COURT: Please be seated.
                I understand you're ready with defendants'
12
13
     assistance.
14
               MR. JONES: Yes, sir.
               And plaintiffs call Mr. Vasu Raja of
15
     American Airlines.
16
                THE COURT: All right.
17
               MR. JONES: And Ms. Sweeney will be conducting the
18
     examination.
19
                THE COURT: Great. If you come forward, and just
20
     remain standing in the witness box, for Ms. Belmont to
21
     administer the oath.
22
23
                (The witness was duly sworn.)
                THE DEPUTY CLERK: Can you please state your name
24
     and spell your last name for the record.
25
```

THE WITNESS: Vasu Raja. My last name is spelled 1 2 R-a-j-a. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated. 3 THE WITNESS: Sure. 4 THE COURT: Go ahead. 5 MS. SWEENEY: Good morning, Your Honor, Bonny 6 7 Sweeney for the United States, representing the plaintiffs. And Mr. Raja is the chief commercial officer for 8 9 American Airlines, so we will be examining him as an adverse witness. 10 11 THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead. VASU RAJA 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF USA 14 BY MS. SWEENEY: 15 Some of them we'll have to look at in the binders because 16 they are confidential. They have a lot of redactions. Or 17 18 you may want to look at them on the screen, which will be easier for some of them. 19 So you currently serve as American Airlines chief 20 commercial officer, correct? 21 Correct. 22 Α. 23 Q. And how long have you held that position? A. Since roughly November of last year. 24 And in that role, are you in charge of network planning? 25 Q.

A. I am.

- 2 Q. And that's for international and domestic?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. And how about alliances and partnerships? What's your
- 5 role with respect to alliances and relationships?
- 6 A. That division reports to me, also.
- 7 Q. And although you've only been in this position for a
- 8 short time, since 2019, you have had responsibility for
- 9 alliances and partnerships, correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. When you were vice president of strategy?
- 12 A. SVP of strategy, but not to quibble, yes.
- Q. And you were also in charge of network planning; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. That's also true.
- Q. Okay. And in 2019, did you have any particular
- initiatives that you were focusing on?
- 18 A. Certainly. Several.
- 19 Q. And one of them was to grow American more aggressively?
- 20 **A.** Absolutely.
- Q. And another one was to assess and evaluate American's
- alliances and partnerships; is that right?
- 23 A. Also true.
- Q. Now, so you were senior vice president of strategy until
- 25 June of 2020, right?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And then at that time, you became the chief revenue
- 3 officer?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And you served in that role until you became the CCO of
- 6 American, right?
- 7 A. Also true.
- 8 Q. And in all of those positions, you have had
- 9 responsibility for network planning and for partnerships and
- 10 alliances?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. So let me start by asking you some background
- questions about American Airlines. First of all, American
- has the largest network in the world; is that right?
- 15 A. That is true.
- Q. Okay. And it serves around 370 destinations?
- 17 A. Off the top of my head, that sounds correct.
- 18 Q. It's got ten hubs?
- 19 A. Off the top of -- yes, yes. That's how we would count
- 20 it, yes.
- Q. And let's look at one of defendants' exhibits.
- Mr. Klein, this is DX89.
- This is a redacted exhibit which has already been
- 24 admitted into evidence.
- MR. WALL: I don't think it's in the binder, right?

```
THE COURT:
                           It is.
 1
               MR. WALL: Oh, it is?
 2
 3
                THE COURT: Near the back. Last one, I think.
               MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.
 4
               MS. SWEENEY: May we publish the redacted version,
 5
     Your Honor?
 6
 7
                THE COURT:
                          Yes.
                THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm there.
 8
               MR. KLEIN: Counsel, you said DX89?
 9
               MS. SWEENEY: DX89. Thank you very much.
10
11
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
          So looking at the first page of that exhibit, Mr. Raja,
12
     or you can page through it if you like, but would you agree
13
14
     that this is a document, an exhibit consisting of an e-mail,
     a cover e-mail, along with two slide decks?
15
     A. Yes, I see the e-mail. I certainly see the one slide
16
            Yes. Okay. Yeah, I see the two slide decks.
17
     Q. And were these slide decks prepared for a board of
18
19
     directors meeting at American in July of 2021?
     Α.
20
          Yes.
          And you helped prepare those slides; is that right?
21
     Q.
     Α.
          I did.
22
          And you also presented this material to the board of
23
     directors?
24
     A. Also correct.
25
```

- Q. Okay. So let's turn to slide 16 in DX89, and that would be DX89-8.
- MS. SWEENEY: And can we show that slide? Thank
- 4 you.
- 5 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 6 O. We can start with this one on the screen. This is DX-8.
- 7 And this is a map, sort of, of the world, with American's
- 8 hubs, its ASMs, and its ODs, right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. And ASMs are available seat miles?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q.** And ODs are origins and destinations?
- 13 A. That's correct, too.
- 14 Q. So this just confirms what you testified to a moment ago
- about the number of destinations served and the number of
- 16 hubs, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. So can we turn now to DX89-16. And this slide
- 19 shows American's position in relationship with Delta, United,
- and Southwest, correct?
- 21 A. It does.
- 22 **Q.** On a number of dimensions?
- 23 **A.** True.
- 24 Q. And the first one is ASMs, right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And the first one it shows that American has more ASMs than any of the other three big airlines, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And the next column is seats. And here again, American
- 5 has more seats than any of those other big airlines; is that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- Q. And how about origins and destinations? Does American
- 9 have the most?
- 10 A. It does. Of note, this is also our domestic system only.
- 11 It would look slightly different if you included
- 12 international.
- 13 **Q.** Okay.
- 14 A. But the general directionality of it is the same.
- Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification. Let's turn to
- 16 slide 18?
- THE COURT: What do the letters mean at the top?
- 18 BM&K?
- 19 A. Billion, million, and thousand.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- Go ahead.
- 22 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Slide 18, can we please look at that one.
- Okay. And this slide refers to single carrier
- 25 American Airlines origins and destinations, right?

- A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And what are single carrier destinations?
- 3 A. Those are ones where we operate the entirety of the
- 4 itinerary. There's not a partner, like, for example, British
- 5 Airways flying one leg of the itinerary.
- 6 Q. Okay. And here again, American Airlines has more single
- 7 carrier ODs, origins and destinations, than United and Delta,
- 8 correct?

- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, would you agree that American has achieved
- its position as the largest global network in the world by
- 12 entering into joint ventures with partners?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, will you say that last part again? I
- 14 | didn't --
- 15 Q. Sure. So you agree that American has the largest network
- in the world, right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And it's achieved that position, in part through its
- 19 partnerships and alliances, right?
- 20 A. In part, true.
- 21 Q. We can take the slide down, by the way.
- THE COURT: When you say the largest network, are
- 23 you referring to the network as encompassing just what
- 24 American flies or what American flies with its partners.
- THE WITNESS: That's an excellent question. When

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we think of the network, we think of the network that we offer to our customers, what we call the market network, and that is, indeed, the biggest. We also offer, at least until COVID times, the largest operated network, which is what these slides have been showing. But when we think about our business commercially, really, it's the marketed business. THE COURT: The market. THE WITNESS: That's what a customer can actually buy. THE COURT: But those slides are referring to what you operate, in the sense that they're American planes, American pilots. THE WITNESS: Exactly. Because so much of this deck at the time was talking about this is what we can do as an operating carrier, which is American Airlines. This is what we can do, as we expand our aperture, think about this from the customer's perspective. As a marketing carrier, we're something much larger than that. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. MS. SWEENEY: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MS. SWEENEY: So now you mentioned that part of what's helped you achieve that size is through partnerships, and this includes with international partners, correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And also domestic partners?
- 3 A. Yes. For the time period of this, that would be true.
- Q. Okay. And then in the last couple of years, American has
- 5 entered into two domestic partnerships, correct?
- 6 A. True.
- 7 Q. And one was with Alaska, right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And the other is the Northeast Alliance with JetBlue?
- 10 A. Yes, correct.
- 11 Q. And you negotiated both of those agreements. Is that
- 12 fair?
- 13 A. In large part. Yes, true.
- 14 Q. Okay. And the American/Alaska agreement is called the
- West Coast International Alliance, right?
- 16 A. That's right.
- Q. And that was signed in 2020?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Just like the NEA?
- 20 A. Yes. That's right.
- 21 Q. In the sense that they were both signed in 2020?
- 22 A. They were both signed in 2020, correct.
- 23 Q. All right. Thank you.
- Now, at American, you sometimes talk about
- inorganic growth as compared to organic growth, right?

True. Α.

1

2

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And organic growth is when American grows its network through its own flying, on its own planes, right?
- Α. Yeah. Not to be over-technical about it, but we think of it as organic is when we take the capital assets of 5 American Airlines and we devote it to buying airplanes and real estate and growing. Inorganic is through a partnership 7

we can sell something bigger to the customer.

- So when you're working through a partnership and you're Ο. growing your network through the partnership, then you don't have to invest those capital resources. Is that it?
  - No, not necessarily. Indeed, what we say very often is partnership means growth for us. The point of the partnership is there's some things that we just can't credibly do, from a regulatory standpoint we can't fly it. And in other cases, it's just not profitable for us. not infrastructure available. But the beauty of the partnership is that they facilitate the growth. Almost every partnership that we've had, we've actually used it to go
  - Now, let me focus on something that you said there. But it's true, isn't it, that when you're growing the network through inorganic growth, you're using someone else's plane, right?
- A. Yeah. 25 Sure.

drive more growth.

- Q. Yeah. So you don't have to purchase those planes and fly those routes yourself, right?
- A. No. If, for example, you mean that there are partnership
- 4 with British Airways, they have an airplane that flies from
- 5 London to Geneva, we don't need to purchase that airplane.
- 6 That's very true.
- Q. Now, inorganic growth is sometimes called synthetic growth, right?
- 9 A. Yeah, sometimes we've used that word, too.
- 10 Q. And sometimes you've used the expressions "build and
- 11 borrow"?
- 12 A. Yes. Yeah. Yes, we've used that, too.
- 13 Q. Build versus borrow, I should say, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And "build" means organic growth, correct?
- 16 **A.** That's right.
- 17 Q. And "borrow" means inorganic growth?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Let's turn to another slide in that same deck. This is
- DX89. I think it might be DX89-C. It's at the very end of
- 21 the deck. It's the second deck, actually. And if we could
- 22 turn to slide 5 in this second deck.
- Let me know when you're there, Mr. Raja.
- 24 A. I'm there.
- 25 Q. And again, this second deck was something that -- it was

- a summary that was circulated to upper management in
- 2 connection with that July 2021 board of directors meeting,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes. True.
- 5 Q. So this was after you guys entered into the NEA?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So let's focus about halfway down the page. There are
- 8 two little pictures on the left-hand side, and they're kind
- 9 of hard to see.
- 10 MS. SWEENEY: If you could just expand that middle
- 11 area there.
- 12 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 13 Q. Do you see where there's a little picture of a wrench,
- and next to it the words "Build"?
- 15 A. Indeed.
- Q. So that's what we've just been talking about, building
- means growing capacity organically, as opposed to
- inorganically, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And then below that, it says "Borrow," and it says -- and
- there's also a picture of a handshake, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And it says, "Develop mutually beneficial partnerships
- via actions ranging from codeshare agreements to equity
- 25 partnerships resulting in varying levels of influence. For

- example, innovative partnerships with Alaska and JetBlue."

  Did I read that correctly?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So through the Northeast Alliance, American has grown its network by borrowing, right?
- **A.** Yes, we have.
- Q. Now, I'd like to ask you about one of the phrases in this second section here, under Borrow. It says, "Resulting in varying levels of influence." So that means that you can have a partnership with another airline that is that contains more or less entanglement between the two airlines, correct?
- 13 A. That's true.
- Q. And so, for example, there is something called, "interline"; is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And would you describe that as a minimal amount of entanglement between the two airlines?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** And what is interlining?
- 21 A. Effectively, interlining is really so that you can sell
- an itinerary from one operating carrier, call it
- 23 American Airlines, to another operating carrier, whomever it
- might be, Delta Air Lines, British Airways, anybody. It's
- primarily there to facilitate operational issues. A flight

stranded somewhere, you can go and rebook a customer on someone else.

- Q. So if there's a flight cancellation, American can put that passenger on somebody else's flight. Is that it?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And American has a lot of interline agreements with other airlines, right?
  - A. True. Including many of our domestic competitors. So, for example, with the hurricane that's going on in Florida, every airline in Florida is rebooking customers on one another, and the interline facilitates that.

THE COURT: The interline.

THE WITNESS: The interline.

THE COURT: And would interlining lead to -suppose American flies from Houston to Santiago, Chile, and
somebody wants to go to a further destination in Chile that
you don't fly to. Would interlining let you book them all
the way through on some local airline that might go to that
destination, if there's no other partnership?

THE WITNESS: No. This is where the technical limitations leave off. So you need to tell me if I'm getting too technical here. But if what you're saying is could we sell somebody flying Houston to Santiago on United Airlines and then they connect on LATAM, Santiago to Patagonia, no.

THE COURT: If the first leg were on American,

could you sell them -- like American, if you --

THE WITNESS: Oh, if we flew. Yeah. Dallas,
Santiago, yes, interline could do it, so long as we had an
interline relationship that's there.

And then another case is, too, well, the interline, of course, is not free. We have to go and pay for the space. So in a number of case, we have what we call "operational interlines," which are primarily there if situations like Hurricane Ian happened. But they're really expensive to both carriers, so it may not be commercially viable for us to sell it.

- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
- MS. SWEENEY: Thank you.
- 14 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Mr. Raja, another option for collaborations with other airlines is through codeshare, correct?
- 17 **A.** True.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

- Q. And codeshare means that you can market your flight and then that passenger can fly it on another airline, right?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. And it's often reciprocal, correct?
- 22 A. It can be and it can't be, but, yes, usually it is.
- Q. Okay. And you can have a codeshare agreement without a revenue sharing, right?
- 25 **A.** True.

- Q. And you can have a codeshare agreement without capacity planning, right?
- 3 **A.** Absolutely.
- Q. And in fact, American had a codeshare agreement with Alaska Airlines, correct?
- 6 A. We did.
- Q. All right. And that -- and I'm speaking of the preWCIA period, and that codeshare agreement didn't come along with any revenue sharing, right?
- 10 **A.** True.
- Q. And didn't come along with any -- any coordination of capacity, right?
- 13 **A.** True.
- Q. Okay. And then you can also have relationships with other airlines that includes both a codeshare and a frequent flyer benefit, right?
- 17 A. That's true.
- Q. And, in fact, prior to, I think, late 2019,
- 19 American Airlines and Alaska had such a relationship, right?
- 20 A. That's true.
- 21 Q. So you had both a codeshare agreement, which allowed
- 22 passengers to purchase on one airline and fly the other,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 \ Q. And you had a -- excuse me, reciprocal frequent flyer

- benefit, right? 1
- 2 Α. True.
- Okay. And again, this was preWCIA, so there was no Q. revenue sharing, no capacity coordination? 4
- Correct. 5 Α.
  - **Q.** Okay.

8

9

10

12

13

14

20

21

THE COURT: When you say reciprocal frequent flyer benefit, you mean that not only could someone fly on a flight operated by one of the airlines, but get frequent flyer credit on the other airlines' program.

11 THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: But also they could use their frequent flyer points on either program to buy a trip on the other airlines.

15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. We call it redemption. That's correct. That's right. 16

THE COURT: Thank you. 17

BY MS. SWEENEY: 18

- So I think of it as a continuum, would you agree with me 19 that the first option we talked about, interline, includes less collaboration than codeshare?
- Α. 22 True.
- 23 And codeshare is less a collaboration than codeshare plus frequent flyer benefits? 24
- This isn't to parse the word "collaboration." It's --25

- for the customer, you get a lot more out of codeshare plus
  frequent flyer, because you can earn frequent flyer benefits,
  but it's a technical thing. In fact, very often if we do
  codeshare, we do frequent flyer, because so much of the value
  of codeshare is to enable frequent flyer. I'm not trying to
- Q. No, that's helpful. Thank you. And then sort of at the other end of the continuum, you have something called joint business agreements, right?
- 10 **A.** True.

parse the words.

- 11 Q. And those are agreements with international carriers?
- 12 A. Yeah, that's right. I don't -- I don't know if I'd call

it a continuum, because I don't know what the continuity is,

- but another tool for partnership is, indeed, joint venture.
- Q. Okay. And those joint ventures, and we're talking about the international arena now, those -- well, first let me ask
- you, do you have some of these joint business arrangements
- 18 now?

- 19 A. Indeed.
- 20 Q. And with whom?
- A. As of today, IAG Group, the owner of British Airways,
- 22 Qantas, JAL.
- 23 **Q.** What was the last one?
- 24 THE COURT: What was the last one?
- 25 THE WITNESS: JAL, Japan Airlines.

- BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 2 Q. And these agreements require approval by regulatory
- 3 authorities, right?
- 4 A. True.

- 5 Q. And that's called antitrust immunity?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And each of these three joint business arrangements that
- 8 you just identified, they all have antitrust immunities; is
- 9 that right?
- 10 **A.** They do.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then so would you agree that the Northeast
- 12 Alliance is situated somewhere above codeshare plus frequent
- 13 flyer benefits and the joint business arrangements?
- 14 A. Yeah, it -- I don't know where you're locating the word
- "above," but yeah --
- Q. Between would be better. I'm sorry to interrupt you, but
- I think I misspoke. Would you situate that agreement between
- a relationship that involved codeshare plus frequent flyer
- benefits and one that involved antitrust immunity?
- 20 A. Yeah, look, for us, the value of it is much greater,
- 21 because we can do so much more for the customer, because we
- 22 can coordinate schedules and things like that. But it
- doesn't mean that every partnership is optimized if we deploy
- it as a JV or as the kind of partnership that we have with
- 25 JetBlue.

- Q. I'm not sure my question was very clear. So what I'm getting at is the arrangement that American now has with

  JetBlue requires a lot more collaboration and coordination than a simple codeshare plus --
- 5 A. That's true.
- 6 Q. -- frequent flyer benefit, right?
- 7 A. That's true.
- Q. Now, would you agree that one of American's objectives in entering into these partnerships is to create value without deploying American metal?
- 11 A. Yes, in some cases, because we really can't, but yes.
- 12 Q. And by metal, that means aircraft, right?
- 13 **A.** Yeah.

18

19

- Q. Okay. And let's turn to -- I'm still in the second deck of DX 89, if we could turn to slide 9, which is very near the end. Thank you.
  - And I just want to refer you to the top of the page, there's a bunch of arrows, and the third one down says, "Use partnerships to create value without deploying American Airlines metal," right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Okay. Now I want to go back to the first deck in this exhibit and turn to slide 17. So this is DX 89-17.
- 24 A. Okay, I'm there.
- 25 Q. You there?

- A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And at the top of the page, it says, "We classify
- our origins and destinations based on type of competition"
- 4 right?

- 5 A. True.
- 6 Q. Okay. And then if you look at the chart below, on the
- 7 left hand side, it says, "OD type" and then below that it
- 8 says, there are three rows, right, "American Airlines only,
- 9 legacy only, and LCC/ULCC." Right?
- 10 **A.** True.
- 11 Q. So does this reflect that American Airlines classifies
- competition based on who American is competing with?
- 13 A. Yes. Meaning that we separate network carriers like
- 14 Delta and United from other carriers like Southwest or
- 15 Spirit? True.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so for example, "AA only" means there's no
- competition on that particular OD, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And if you look over at the right-hand side, there's a
- 20 picture of American's symbol, right?
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. And legacy only means that American is only competing
- 23 against United and/or Delta?
- 24 **A.** True.
- 25 Q. And then if you look at the right-hand side, there's

- 1 their symbols, right?
- 2 A. True.
- Q. And LCC/ULCC, that would include JetBlue, correct?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And it also includes ultra low cost carriers like Spirit?
- 6 A. True.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, let's turn two pages forward in this deck to
- 8 slide 19. Okay. And this slide is about varying margins
- 9 that are generated at American across different types of
- 10 origins and destinations, right?
- 11 A. Yes. When we do margins -- and it's called indicative
- margin range and not to be too detailed about it, but we call
- it that because we tend -- we look at route profitability on
- a segment level, and so there's a little bit of estimation
- 15 going on here, but that's correct --
- 16 Q. Understood.
- 17 A. -- directionally.
- 18 Q. And I'm not going to ask you just yet about indicative
- margin range, but I want to focus on the left-hand column
- where it's talking about yield. Do you see that?
- 21 **A.** I do.
- 22 **Q.** And this is for the third quarter of 2019, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And cents/R PM. What does that refer to?
- 25 A. It's cents per revenue passenger mile, it's a means of

```
gauging how much a customer paid for the seat.
1
          Okay. And then if you look at the different types of --
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Per revenue mile?
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Say that again, Judge?
 4
               THE COURT: You said per revenue mile?
 5
               THE WITNESS: Revenue passenger mile. So it's --
 6
 7
     maybe the simplest way to think of it is like a metric like
     average ticket value, where we just take revenue and divide
 8
     it over passengers. We at the airlines tend to look at it as
 9
     our passenger miles because, you know, if you fly from
10
11
     Charlotte to --
               THE COURT: So why does the bottom include -- if
12
     the top is cents, cents is the amount of like cents per each
13
14
     passenger mile, what's the revenue mean in the bottom?
15
               THE WITNESS:
                             The revenue in RPM?
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
               THE WITNESS: Is just somebody who actually
17
     purchased a seat.
18
19
               THE COURT: Oh, as opposed to somebody who's flying
     for free.
20
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, as opposed to the other metric
21
     we'll use, which is cents per ASM, RASM, revenue over all the
22
23
     available seats, but the mileage thing is important because,
     you know, we'll fly a flight 150 miles and a flight 1500
24
     miles and it's a means of being able to equate that.
25
```

THE COURT: Sure. Okay. Go ahead. Thank you. 1 BY MS. SWEENEY: 2 3 Q. And the -- on the screen, the numbers are blacked out, but would you agree that --4 5 I'm sorry, so this bakes in a little THE COURT: bit the yield. In other words, if you have planes that are 6 7 always 100 percent full, your cents per revenue -- you don't have that many people flying for free. 8 9 MS. RIGGS: Correct. THE COURT: So those days are over when you work 10 11 for the airline, you get to fly for free, right? THE WITNESS: You still can, but the planes are 12 more full than they once were, which is actually a good 13 14 thing. THE COURT: But if the planes were at half load, 15 then that's going to effect this equation, because -- or it's 16 going to -- as compared to the ASMs. 17 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Exactly. This is the RASM 19 calculation, so if you take this yield times what you just said was load factor, so you're flying a 50 percent load 20 factor, so and -- you would half that number. If you were 21 100 percent, then that would be your RASM. Exactly right. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. BY MS. SWEENEY: 24 So looking at that first column there under "AA yield."

- You can see that American earns the highest yield on American Airlines only flights, right?
- 3 A. True.
- Q. And those are flights where American faces no competition, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. And the second highest yield is from legacy only routes, right?
  - A. Correct. And this isn't to quibble, but these aren't looking at segment route; these are origin and destinations.
  - Q. Thank you very much. Yes.

THE COURT: What's the difference?

THE WITNESS: So an AA only route are truly things that are only constructed by us. Every network carrier has them. And it would be New Bern, North Carolina, to Knoxville, Tennessee. We're the only ones who fly there. Stillwater, Oklahoma, to São Paulo. We're the only ones who go and connect it, because one of those two things we're the only ones that fly.

And that's a unique thing that exists for network airlines, because we drive connection. Legacy only are markets where one or both of our principal network competitors offer it, too. So think Birmingham to Los Angeles.

THE COURT: So the first often have changes or

```
connections?
1
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: The AA only.
               THE WITNESS:
                              The AA only is -- yes. All of these
 4
     account for connections. And so even in markets like
 5
     LCCU/LCC, a market like Orlando to Nashville, Southwest will
     fly that market. We don't fly it nonstop. But when we go to
 7
     classify our O and Ds, Nashville to Charlotte to Orlando
 8
 9
     would be considered an LCC competitive O and D.
               THE COURT:
10
                           I see.
11
               I'm sorry, go ahead.
               So just so I get it right, though. So the segment
12
13
     is like Nashville to Charlotte, and the origin destination is
     Nashville to Orlando or whatever.
14
15
               THE WITNESS: Exactly.
               THE COURT: And these are segment numbers -- no,
16
     these are origin and destination numbers right here.
17
18
               THE WITNESS:
                              That's right. That's exactly right.
19
     So that's why, for this, the cents per RPM becomes really
     important, too, because we're taking the mileage over the
20
     entirety of that itinerary, Nashville to Orlando, with
21
     Charlotte in between. We take all the revenue over all the
22
23
     mileage that's there.
               THE COURT: I see.
24
25
               THE WITNESS: That's where I say, it's not quite --
```

- the indicative margin range is, I believe, indicative for that.
- BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Now, focusing on what you were just referencing with
  respect to the American Airlines only O and Ds, isn't it also
  true -- you talked about these segments that no other airline
  is in. But it's also true, isn't it, that you can have a
  nonstop route between an origin and a destination where there
  are more competitors than just American. And say there's two
  competitors in the market, if that second competitor leaves
  the market, then it becomes an AA-only O and D, correct?
- A. I think so. Could you give me a for example? I think I follow you, but just so I --
- Q. Sure. Let's say the Boston to LaGuardia route, if

  American Airlines was in that route; and JetBlue were in that

  route and JetBlue left that route, that would then become an

  American Airlines only route -- excuse me, O and D. I thank

  you for that correction.
- A. Well, in that route, Delta and United are both in it.
- But as a core example, if there were only two carriers, yes.
- 21 I'll follow your example. I'm sorry. Yes.
- 22 Q. That was a bad example.
- A. No, no, I get you. I get you. All is fine.
- Q. And again, so what this chart shows is that the O and Ds where American faces competition from LCCs and ULCCs have the

- 1 lowest yield, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.

7

- Q. Okay. We can put that document aside. Now I'd like to turn to another topic, which is the role that you played in negotiating and implementing the Northeast Alliance.
  - So you were the lead point of contact at American in negotiating the Northeast Alliance with JetBlue; is that right?
- 9 A. True. I was.
- 10 Q. And you actually initiated the idea. Is that fair?
- A. I did. That probably gives me a lot more credit than I deserve, but I'll take it, yes.
- 13 Q. And you started negotiations in January of 2020?
- 14 A. We did, yes.
- Q. And those negotiations continued through the spring and summer, right?
- A. Yes. Yes. I think they were largely concluded by the summer, but, yes.
- 19 Q. The agreement was signed in mid July; is that right?
- 20 **A.** True.
- Q. And pubically announced the day or so after it was signed, right?
- 23 A. That's right.
- Q. And you continue to have a role in overseeing the NEA, right?

A. I do.

- 2 Q. And as part of that oversight role, you meet regularly
- 3 with JetBlue executives?
- 4 A. True.
- Q. In fact, you meet quite regularly with American's chief
- operating officer; is that right?
- 7 A. Well, I meet a lot with American's chief operating
- officer. He's six feet away from me.
- But I meet with JetBlue's chief operating officer a
- 10 lot, too.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. So you meet a lot with JetBlue's chief
- 12 operating officer?
- 13 **A.** Yeah.
- 14 Q. And how about other executives on JetBlue's team?
- 15 A. I meet with them regularly, too, but not the same
- frequency as I meet with her.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's turn now to the features of the
- 18 Northeast Alliance.
- So to start with, the Northeast Alliance includes a
- reciprocal codeshare agreement, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And we've already talked about that a little bit. And
- 23 that codeshare agreement applies to all domestic O and Ds?
- A. As I recall it, no, not to all domestic O and Ds.
- 25 Q. Just those that arrive in or depart from the northeast

- airlines -- I'm sorry, Northeast Alliance airports, right?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. Can we call those the NEA airports?
- 4 A. Sure.
- Q. So that's what the codeshare covers, is all flights that touch those four airports, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.

10

11

12

13

Q. Okay. And that includes?

THE COURT: So the codeshare doesn't cover American operations from Dallas, say, to South America or Europe?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, what it very much doesn't cover is, for example, Fort Lauderdale to Caribbean, where they're a competitor.

- 14 THE COURT: Right. Got it.
- 15 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. So the codesharing agreement also includes nonstop flights served by both JetBlue and American Airlines, right?
- A. In those four airports that you're talking about,
- 19 correct.
- Q. Okay. So if a flight touches one of the four NEA airports, the codeshare is included even for those flights that are nonstop overlaps, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And when I say "nonstop overlaps," you understand me to mean a nonstop flight where there's overlapping service by

- 1 JetBlue and American, right?
- 2 A. Yes. For example, New York to Raleigh Durham. We both
- 3 fly it, we both codeshare. If that's what you mean, then
- 4 yes.
- 5 Q. And we already talked about this, but just to confirm,
- 6 the NEA also includes a frequent flyer program benefit?
- 7 A. True.
- 8 Q. Okay. And it also includes a joint marketing agreement,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. It does.
- 11 Q. And this enables JetBlue and American Airlines to jointly
- approach potential corporate customers, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And make joint bids to those customers; is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Now, under the NEA, American and JetBlue coordinate on
- all aspects of network planning for domestic flights to and
- 18 from the NEA airports, right?
- 19 A. I don't know if I would say all aspects of it, but we
- 20 coordinate network planning, especially in the four NEA
- 21 airports that you mentioned, yes.
- THE COURT: Why especially, as opposed to only?
- THE WITNESS: Well, I'm sorry, only in the four
- 24 airports that are there. But sorry, I was thrown by all,
- because there's a lot of technical things that both network

- 1 planning teams work through that we don't coordinate on,
- 2 maintenance routes and things like that. But we coordinate
- 3 our schedule pattern and JFK to Raleigh and what kind of
- 4 equipment we fly and things like that.
- 5 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 6 Q. Okay. So why don't we break down coordination part. So
- 7 there's really several pieces to it. Would you agree with
- 8 that?
- 9 A. Yes, I would.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I think of it in terms of who, what, where,
- when, and how many. So let's start with who.
- 12 **A.** Okay.
- Q. Okay. So to coordinate their flying in and out of the
- NEA airports, American and JetBlue discuss who will fly each
- 15 route?
- 16 **A.** True.
- 17 Q. And for the what, the airlines also discuss what aircraft
- should be flown on which routes, correct?
- 19 **A.** We do.
- 20 Q. Okay. Another what. They also discuss what slots they
- 21 will use in those airports that are slot constrained,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And American and JetBlue also coordinate on what gates
- 25 | will be used, correct?

- A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And the which is, and then they also coordinate on which aircraft will fly those routes, right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Okay. And then they -- American Airlines and JetBlue also discuss how many flights they will fly to each origin and destination, right?
- 8 A. Yes. That's right. Each city pair.
- 9 Q. Each city pair. Thank you.
- And would you agree that, under the NEA, American and JetBlue now coordinate on O and Ds where they previously competed?
- A. Yes. In some cases. In many others, we've carved them
  out, too. I wouldn't say at large like that, but there are
  cases where that's true.
- Q. Well, there are routes that -- for which American and
  JetBlue previously offered competing service, correct?
- 18 A. Yeah, that's true.
- Q. And those routes, some of those routes are included under the NEA, right?
- 21 A. That's true, yeah.
- Q. Now, the NEA also includes a revenue sharing agreement, right?
- 24 A. It does.
- 25 Q. And that's contained in the Mutual Growth Incentive

Agreement?

- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. And can we refer to that as MGIA?
- 4 A. Yeah, we can. Sure.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, the MGIA applies to all domestic routes,
- except a handful, correct?
- 7 A. That's true.
- Q. And the handful are these so called care-out routes,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- THE COURT: When you say all domestic routes, all
- domestic routes in and out of the four.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Of the four, correct.
- 14 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Now, so that includes, again, some routes on which
- 16 American and JetBlue provide nonstop service, right?
- 17 **A.** That's right.
- 18 Q. These nonstop overlaps, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And would you agree that the purpose of the revenue
- 21 sharing agreement is to align American's and JetBlue's
- 22 incentives?
- 23 A. Yeah, they are very much to align our incentives to get
- 24 people away from Delta.
- 25 Q. And are you familiar with the expression "metal

- neutrality"?
- 2 **A.** I am.

- Q. Okay. And what does that mean?
- A. For me, what metal neutrality means is that AA and

  JetBlue work together to go and get customers away from
- Delta. It doesn't mean we're necessarily trying to be the same metal, though.
- Q. Metal neutrality means that American Airlines will be indifferent as to whether a passenger chooses JetBlue or American on those NEA routes?
- 11 A. Yeah, certainly. On a transactional basis, we want a
- transaction to come to us, not to Delta. On the customer
- basis, the lens changes a little bit. But you're right in
- what you're saying.
- Q. So it doesn't matter to you whether a customer flies on
- American or JetBlue, on a flight between Boston and New York
- 17 City, right?
- 18 A. Yeah, it's important to think of, now that you're asking,
- both in the transactional lens and the life of the customer.
- 20 On the transaction, no, it doesn't. We want the customer
- 21 coming away from them to come to us.
- THE COURT: "Us" in that case being JetBlue and
- 23 American.
- 24 THE WITNESS: JetBlue and American.
- But what we very much want is that the customer --

the value of doing that is that the customer keeps flying
American Airlines, right? One of the things that we've seen
on JFK-Tel-Aviv is a number of the customers who are on
JFK-Tel-Aviv weren't our customers before. They were flying
on JetBlue. They got introduced to American through them,
and now they're flying us on JFK-Tel-Aviv. We want them
transactionally to come away from delta on JFK-Raleigh, but
we want the customer to go and fly American Airlines to
Tel-Aviv.

10 BY MS. SWEENEY:

1

2

4

5

7

8

- 11 Q. So earlier you said it doesn't matter -- the agreement
- makes American Airlines and JetBlue indifferent to whether
- they fly American or JetBlue, and the reason that it doesn't
- matter is because your economic interests are aligned. Is
- 15 that fair?
- 16 A. Yes, our economic interests are aligned. True.
- Q. Now, does American Airlines have any other domestic
- airline alliance where you jointly plan daily schedules for
- 19 nonstop overlaps?
- 20 **A.** No.
- 21 Q. And for example, there's an agreement between
- 22 American Airlines and Alaska, which we referenced earlier,
- called the WCIA, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And that agreement does not have that provision, correct?

- A. It does not.
- 2 Q. How about where two airlines coordinate on how many
- 3 flights each will offer on overlap routes? Does American
- 4 have any other domestic alliance where that occurs?
- 5 A. Not domestically, no.
- Q. And that's not part of the Alaska/American WCIA, right?
- 7 **A.** No.

- Q. And you said not domestically, because you were
- 9 referencing these international agreements where there is
- antitrust immunity where that occurs, correct?
- 11 A. That's true.
- 12 Q. What about pooling of slots and gates? Does American
- have any domestic airline alliance in which airlines pool
- slots and gates and then jointly decide who's going to use
- 15 them?
- A. No, but it's not really necessary anywhere.
- Q. That's not part of the WCIA with Alaska, is it?
- A. No, it's not. It wouldn't need to be. There's no slots
- 19 there.
- 20 Q. Oh. Correct. Thank you.
- 21 How about gates?
- 22 A. Gates are there, but it's a little bit of a different
- 23 situation there than what it is in the northeast.
- Q. Got it. Okay. So how about deciding -- how about the
- joint decision about which domestic routes each airline will

- 1 fly? Do you have that in any other domestic partnership?
- 2 **A.** No.
- Q. And it's not part of the WCIA, correct?
- 4 A. It is not.
- 5 Q. And how about coordination on what size airplane each
- 6 will fly? Is that part of the WCIA?
- 7 A. It is not.
- Q. How about reciprocal revenue sharing on overlap routes?
- 9 Is that part of the WCIA?
- 10 A. Reciprocal revenue sharing on overlap routes is not part
- of the WCIA, no.
- 12 Q. Now, some of these provisions are contained in these
- immunized arrangements that American Airlines has with
- 14 foreign partners, correct?
- 15 **A.** True.
- 16 Q. Okay. So one of the benefits to American and the
- 17 Northeast Alliance is that it allows American to deploy
- airplanes to markets that have more value to American. You
- 19 would agree with that?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And a high margin route is a high value route for
- 22 American, right?
- A. Typically speaking, yeah. If it's high in margins, it's
- 24 high in value to us, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Let's go back to DX89. And this is slide 19.

```
THE COURT: Is that what they're called, "gang of
1
     McKinsevites?"
 2
                              That's what we call them sometimes.
 3
                THE WITNESS:
                THE COURT: Do they have markings?
 4
                THE WITNESS: Not that I've checked.
 5
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
 6
          So let's look at slide 19 in this first deck, in this
 7
     exhibit.
               And we talked about this slide earlier, but now I
 9
     want to focus on the column in the right-hand side. And this
10
11
     is "Indicative Margin Range" right?
     Α.
          Sure.
12
13
          And again, American generates the highest indicative
     Q.
     margin on flights that only American Airlines flies, right?
14
     On O and Ds that only American flies?
15
     Α.
          Correct.
16
          And that's -- even -- you could see the numbers there,
17
     right? They're blacked out in the redacted version, but you
18
     can see them in the document, correct?
19
     Α.
          Indeed.
20
          And American earns the second highest indicative margin
21
     on legacy-only O and Ds, right?
22
23
     Α.
          True.
          And those are flights, again, where American faces
24
     Q.
     competition, but only from United and/or Delta, right?
25
```

A. True.

- 2 Q. And American receives the lowest indicative margin on
- 3 those flights where American faces competition by LCC or a
- 4 ULCC, correct?
- 5 A. True.
  - Q. Okay. We can put this document away.
- Now I'd like to turn to the specific markets that
- 8 are covered by the Northeast Alliance and start with Boston.
- 9 So Boston is a -- a very important market in the domestic
- airline industry, would you agree with that?
- 11 A. I would.
- 12 Q. And it's an important market for American?
- 13 **A.** It is.
- 14 Q. In part because of its size and demographics?
- 15 **A.** True.
- 16 Q. And also in part because of its high percentage of
- 17 business travelers?
- 18 A. Also true.
- 19 Q. So if American had not entered into the Northeast
- 20 Alliance, it would continue to try to compete in Boston,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. It would try to compete in Boston, whether it would be
- 23 successful competing in Boston would be a different issue.
- 24 Q. And in 2019, American was planning to grow in Boston,
- 25 right?

- 1 A. Yeah. That's right. We were going to add a few flights.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 66. Let me know
- 3 when you've had a chance to look at that.
- 4 **A.** I'm on 66.
- 5 Q. Okay. This is an e-mail chain between you and Mr. Puech
- at American Airlines, right? In which he reported on
- 7 highlights and schedule changes made that week by several
- 8 airlines, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And he reported on schedule changes by Delta, United,
- 11 Southwest, and JetBlue, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And Mr. Puech, at least at that time, was the director of
- 14 domestic planning?
- 15 A. I don't know if he was the director of domestic planning
- or not then, but he, effectively, was coordinating our
- domestic planning function, sure.
- Q. And this was in December of 2019, before the NEA, right?
- 19 **A.** True.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at the bottom of the page, where there
- is a paragraph that starts with "B6." Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- Q. And Mr. Push writes, "B6, add an extra Austin-Boston."
- Do you see that?
- 25 **A.** I do.

- 1 Q. And that means that that week JetBlue added another
- 2 Austin-Boston route?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And that was right around the time that American
- announced its new nonstop service between Boston and Austin?
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. So in this time period, in December of 2019, American and
- 8 JetBlue were competing on that route, correct?
- 9 A. True.
- 10 Q. And then he goes on to say, in the second carat below
- 11 B6, "added extra Boston frequency to a dozen of places
- including Chicago O'Hare, Charlotte, Phoenix, and LAX,"
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. And this means that JetBlue added extra frequencies to
- all of those places, right?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. All of those airports?
- 19 **A.** Yup.
- Q. And all of those airports that are indicated there, those
- 21 are all American hubs, right?
- 22 **A.** True.
- Q. So fair to say that, in this time period, December 2019,
- JetBlue and American were competing for service between
- cities -- between Boston, in particular, and American hub

cities?

- A. Yeah, they were competing with us. I suspect that's probably in retaliation to us starting Austin-Boston, but
- 4 yeah, that's fine. We were competing.
- Q. We can put this exhibit aside and turn to, please,
- 6 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 122. This is admitted into evidence, but
- 7 it is redacted. So I request permission to display the
- 8 confidential, redacted version.
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 11 Q. All right. Do you recognize this e-mail, Mr. Raja?
- 12 A. Indeed I do.
- Q. Okay. This is an e-mail conversation between you and the
- vice chair of the American Pilots Association in Boston,
- 15 correct?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And it's from late September of 2019?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. So, again, before the NEA?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And in this e-mail, he is asking you about American's
- 22 plans for growth in Boston, right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if you look at the bottom of the paragraph,
- 25 the very bottom paragraph on this page, in the middle of

that, he says, "I am reaching out to you to find out when we're going to step up our game here in Boston. As you know, our gate utilization at just over three is not quite where we would like it to be, and our lone wide-bodied gate often sees a commuter on it going to Rochester, New York."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

- Q. And so you respond the next day, right? So let's look up at the middle of the page to find your first response. And you say, "We are not done in Boston, we are going to kick ass globally, even if it kills me, including Boston." Did you say that?
- 13 **A.** I did.
- Q. And then below that you say, "Don't take my word for it, when the metal comes then you take my word for it, but I don't BS about these thing, and I am done [expletive] around."
- A. That is what it says. I was wondering if you would read all those words. You didn't redact that.
  - THE COURT: You can actually say those words in court, including to me.
- MS. SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Okay. Mr. Raja, other than the omission of the salty language, did I read that correctly?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. So and then looking at the top, he thanks you for
- 3 your response, and then you respond again, right? And so
- 4 looking at the very top, you respond, "No doubt. In 2021, we
- 5 can get more gates there and we plan to do it."
- And so this is referring to getting more gates in
- 7 Boston, right?
- 8 A. True.
- 9 Q. Because as vice chair the American Pilot's Association
- 10 pointed out at that point in time, American was not fully
- 11 utilizing its gates in Boston. Is that fair?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And then you also go on to say, "In one hour, we will
- announce the return of flight 108/109, 777 200, Boston.
- 15 London-Heathrow starts 2020."
- And in fact, American Airlines did launch that
- 17 flight to London-Heathrow, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So here you're expressing your intention both to get more
- 20 gates, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 **Q.** And to utilize your gates more fully, right?
- 23 A. Also true.
- 24 Q. That's something that you said in this e-mail to -- and I
- can't say his name, but the vice chair of the American Pilots

- Association?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you also referenced an intention to add service,
- 4 right?

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And then let's look at the last paragraph of your
- 7 response. It starts, "It may take us a bit of time and a lot
- of change," and then you go on to say, "but we are going to
- 9 fight like hell in Boston if I have anything to do with it."
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Did I read that correctly?
- 12 A. Yes, you did.
- 13 Q. Okay. We can put this exhibit aside.
- And then can we turn please to Plaintiffs'
- Exhibit 119. This is a document that's in evidence. And
- there are no redactions.
- Now, this is an e-mail conversation that you had
- with someone at American Airlines in late 2019, just a couple
- months after you had that conversation with the APA vice
- 20 chair, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And that was with Jim Butler, correct?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And he, at that time, was the senior vice president of
- 25 airports?

A. That's right.

- 2 Q. And in this e-mail, Mr. Butler --
- THE COURT: For American Airlines?
- THE WITNESS: For American Airlines, yes.
- 5 MS. SWEENEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. In this e-mail, Mr. Butler is thinking about how to structure his team and wants to understand American's plans for growth in the northeast, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you respond to Mr. Butler on December 1?
- 12 **A.** I do.
- Q. And you have several responses. You talk about New York
- 14 first and JFK and then LaGuardia, and then you talk about
- 15 Boston. I want to focus for the moment on Boston.
- 16 **A.** Sure.
- Q. And you write, "Boston is and will be the largest nonhub mainline operation."
- 19 A. Yes, it says that. I wrote that.
- Q. And "mainline operation," that refers to a situation
- where you're flying American planes as opposed to planes of
- regional carriers with whom you have an agreement?
- 23 A. Yeah. Technically. Any of our jets with more than 100
- seats are mainline; anything less are regional.
- Q. Okay. So here you're expressing that it's going to be

the largest nonhub operation where you're flying the big jets, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you go on to say, "So much so I don't know why we call New York City a hub, but not Boston." Did I read that correctly?
- 7 A. You do. Or you did.
  - Q. And then you go on to say, "Assume we are at least 120 daily flights from 2025, up from 90 or so today," right?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And so that reflected your understanding at the time, correct?
  - A. It reflects my understanding.

A really important thing, though, is actually part of the New York thing. Butler in this is trying to staff his team. We're having — outside of this, we're having a long conversation about this, because operationally, in New York, the size on a departure basis is similar to Boston. Now, in the weird world of how we go and build our airport staffing, whether you call something a hub denotes the titles of the people who are there, which is on his mind.

What I'm trying to convey to him in this is, look, in New York, it's a relatively -- though there's a similar level of departures, there's a lot less going on in New York at this point in time, than what's going to be going on in

- Boston. You have to get somebody who is a lot better than what we've historically had in Boston.
- Q. Mr. Raja, I'm not sure you that you understood my question, which simply asks for a yes or no answer.
- 5 A. I'm sorry.
- Q. I'm asking whether what you say here in this e-mail to your colleague, who is senior vice president of airports, I guess --
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. -- you say, "Assume we are at least 120 daily flights by 2025, up from 90 or so today."
- 12 A. Yes, I did say that.
- Q. And my question is, that reflected your understanding at the time; is that correct?
- A. Correct. And for purposes of him preparing the

  staffing -- like this is a -- we call this our max output

  schedule, and yes, that was my -- certainly the understanding

  that I wish to convey.
  - Q. Okay. We can put this exhibit aside.
- And can we have a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 65, which is in evidence, and does not contain any redactions.
- Okay. This is an early January 2020 e-mail chain,
  that starts with an e-mail from Jhonatan Ma teus to you,
  correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And looking about two-thirds of the way down the page,
  he's writing about the rationale for point-to-point additions
  for 2020, correct?
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, what date was this? I just missed that. The e-mail.
- 6 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 7 Q. This is from early January, Mr. Raja?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 THE COURT: 2020.
- THE WITNESS: 2020.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Am I right that Mr. Mateus, at least at that time, was a
- manager of the team responsible for fleet and infrastructure
- 15 strategy?
- 16 A. That's true.
- Q. And he reported to Massimo Mancini, correct?
- 18 **A.** He did.
- 19 Q. And Mr. Mancini, during this time period, reported
- 20 directly to you, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And let's look at the next-to-last bullet point on the
- 23 bottom of the page. Do you see that?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. It starts with Boston?

A. Yes.

1

2

4

5

7

9

Q. "Planning on going from 96 to 104 peak day departures by summer of 2020, to start incremental growth towards a 2024 peak date departures around 140."

Do you see that?

- A. Ido.
  - Q. So at this point in time, before the NEA, American had plans to increase its Boston peak day departures from 96 to at least 104, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And it's true, also, that at this point in time, preNEA,
- 12 American's goal or aspiration was to increase peak day
- departures in Boston to around 140, correct?
- 14 A. Aspiration is a good characterization, yes.
- Q. That was the intention expressed in this document,
- 16 correct?
- 17 **A.** Yeah. That was exactly the aspiration expressed.
- 18 Q. Okay. And then Mr. Mateus goes on in that same
- paragraph, "tentative adds are Austin 2, Raleigh-Durham 4,
- 20 Indianapolis 2." Right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And what he's saying there is that American, at this
- point in time, January 2020, is planning on adding two daily
- 24 flights from Boston to Austin, right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. And four daily flights between Boston and Raleigh-Durham.
- 2 A. Also correct.
- Q. And two daily flights between Boston and Indianapolis?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. And then Mr. Mateus goes on in that same paragraph to
- 6 talk about American's underutilization of its gates in
- 7 Boston, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And he says, "We need to get to that level of
- departures, because our utilization lags that of our
- competitors. In 2021, '22, we need to have a high gate
- utilization to try to claim the three Air Canada gates" -- is
- that what AC stands for there?
- 14 **A.** It is.
- Q. "To claim the three air Canada gates. Also in 2023, when
- our lease expires, we will be at risk of losing more gates if
- we do not have a good utilization."
- Now, let me stop there for a second. So at this
- 19 time, American Airlines was aiming to get three additional
- 20 gates in Boston, right?
- 21 A. We were -- this was part of the aspiration, there's three
- 22 things going on. We needed to go drive more utilization on
- what we have, and then by doing so, to enter the pool for the
- 24 three Air Canada gates.
- 25 Q. Okay. So you were going to enter the pool for the three

- 1 Air Canada gates; is that right?
- 2 A. We hadn't yet. This is -- like I said, the important
- thing is this is an aspirational sense, like the whole
- 4 context of this e-mail is he's trying to build a rationale
- around doing more of what we call point to point flying,
- flying that doesn't touch one of our hubs.
- 7 Q. And so -- and he also says, "if we do not have good
- 8 utilization." So American Airlines was not fully utilizing
- 9 its gates in Boston at the time; is that right?
- 10 A. We were not.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then he goes on to say, "We already lost four
- gates in recent years. We went from 22 to 19. In 2019, we
- had less than five departures per gate."
- 14 Correct?
- A. Yes. 22 to 18, but yes. You're right. That's right.
- Q. Okay. And so -- and five departures per gate is not
- fully utilizing that gate, right?
- 18 A. Sort of. It depends on what airport you're in. In
- Boston, utilization is measured relative to how other people
- are using the gates. That's why the next sentence is there.
- If other people are utilizing it more, than whoever utilizes
- 22 the gates the most, gets the gates.
- Q. And in Boston, is it fair to say that there are gate
- 24 utilization requirements?
- 25 A. True, there are.

- Q. And so if you don't utilize your gates, you can lose them, right?
- A. Absolutely.

Q. And we can put this exhibit aside. Let's turn now to Plaintiffs' Exhibit --

THE COURT: Is that not true at other airports?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Is that not true at other airports?

THE WITNESS: It depends on the airport. So some airports have an abundance of real estate, and the gate utilization requirements are very, very low. Others have a high degree, but one carrier uses a lot of the gates. Think Atlanta. And then there's a lot of markets and there's an increasing number of them, like Boston, where the number of gates is restricted, and as you can see from the airport, you can't easily go and build more. And in those cities, there's usually a utilization metric, where you have to use the gates — it's measured against everybody who's otherwise using the gates. So the people who use the gate the most get the capacity.

Where it becomes a really important thing for us, though, is -- and indeed what part of the rationale in Boston at this time is that we saw this happen to us in New York. In our business, when you lose access to the infrastructure, you lose the access. It's hard oftentimes to make more

gates, more runway, and even if you can do that, you can't make more air space. So in New York, overtime, because we weren't --

THE COURT: Airspace is different than slots?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, but they serve a similar thing.

Effectively, it's different choke points on the same thing,

right? The first thing is gate capacity, how much physical

airplanes you can hang on things. Slots are really designed

to measure airspace capacity, the number of takeoffs and

landings that you can do. But depending on your airports,

either or both can be an issue. In the New York City

airports, both are an issue. In Boston, gates are the issue.

THE COURT: In New York, do they do the prioritization of the gates the way they do here?

THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. New York -- nothing is particularly straightforward. In LaGuardia, it's allocated. In -- Newark has a different mechanism. JFK is a completely unique airport where the different terminals are actually owned by either the carriers or the consortia who operate out of there.

THE COURT: But airspace is not -- with a slot, there isn't another choke point of airspace separate from the slot. If you have a slot and you can get the plane off the ground, assuming you can get people on and off at the gate, you're good to go.

```
THE WITNESS: That's correct. Certainly for
 1
     commercial aviation, that's correct.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Thank you.
 4
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
     O. Let's turn now to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 64. This
 5
     Exhibit is not in evidence, so please don't publish it.
 7
               THE COURT: Which one? What number?
               MS. RIGGS: 64. So that's in your binder,
 8
     Mr. Raja.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
11
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
          This is an e-mail exchange that you had on January 18,
12
13
     2020, right?
          It is.
14
     Α.
               MR. WALL: Your Honor, we don't have any problem
15
     with this going into evidence and being displayed.
16
               MS. SWEENEY: Okay.
17
18
               MR. WALL: This is actually a document that we
     talked about in court today.
19
               MS. SWEENEY: I just want to ask one question of
20
     the Court. I move to admit it into evidence.
21
               THE COURT: Allowed. Admitted.
22
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 64 admitted into evidence.)
23
               MS. SWEENEY: Because defendants had previously
24
25
     objected, we don't have a redacted version. But there are
```

```
third-party names in this exhibit, so I'm not sure whether we
1
 2
     should publish or not.
 3
               MR. PAIK: Your Honor, I believe the name here
     isn't necessarily a customer, so I think we had agreed that
 4
     we don't actually need to redact this particular name here.
 5
     So I think you should be able to publish it.
 6
                And this is Andrew Paik from Latham & Watkins.
 7
                           Fine.
 8
                THE COURT:
               MS. SWEENEY: It's published.
 9
                THE COURT: And there's no phone number or
10
11
     anything, so it seems fine.
               MS. SWEENEY: No, Your Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Go ahead.
13
14
               MR. WALL: There's a phone number on the second
     page, but I don't think she's going to be using --
15
                THE COURT: Yes, getting to that page.
16
               MS. SWEENEY:
                              I am not.
17
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
18
19
     0.
          Okay. So this is an e-mail chain between you and someone
     who has a business who wants to enter into kind of a
20
     marketing arrangement with American Airlines, right?
21
22
     Α.
          Yes.
23
          And he starts off the e-mail to you, and that's at the
     bottom of the first page of this exhibit, right?
24
          That's right.
25
     Α.
```

- Q. And he's congratulating you on some -- American Airlines, on some new service, some new routes between Boston and a couple other cities, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. And in fact, he's talking about three different cities
  where American Airlines had just announced new service
  between Boston and those cities?
- 8 A. That's right.
- Q. And in your response, you thank him. And then you add
  Jim Carter, who leads American's sales and marketing efforts
  in Boston, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And you tell the author of this e-mail that Mr. Carter, because of his position, can respond, correct?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then you have an e-mail exchange with Mr. Carter, correct?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And Mr. Carter writes -- and then I'm looking now at
  the -- near the top of the first page of the exhibit. And
  Mr. Carter, on January 18th, says, "Hey, man, I think I've
  gotten a dozen of these since the announcements. Working
  closely with our team in Boston on ensuring these flights
  work now."
- Do you see that?

- 1 **A.** I do.
- 2 Q. So he's saying he's gotten a lot of inquiries since
- American Airlines announced those new routes from Boston,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. True.
- 6 Q. Okay. And then your response is up there at the top.
- 7 And you say, "Awesome. Gird your loins. Time to swing the
- 8 bat in Boston."
- 9 A. Yes, I did write that.
- 10 Q. So that was my next question. Did I read that correctly?
- 11 **A.** Yeah.
- 12 **Q.** Okay.
- 13 A. I see you didn't redact that, either.
- 14 Yes, I wrote that.
- 15 Q. Okay. We can set that exhibit aside.
- Let's turn now to New York, which is another part
- of the Northeast Alliance. And just like for Boston, New
- 18 York is an important city for American Airlines, right?
- 19 **A.** It is.
- 20 **Q.** And probably the biggest city aviation-wise in the world?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And even if American had not entered into the
- Northeast Alliance, it would have continued to compete in New
- 24 York?
- 25 A. Yeah. The effectiveness of its competition is a --

- something that I would probably take issue with, but it would have stayed in New York, absolutely.
- 3 Q. Now, before American Airlines and JetBlue entered into
- 4 the Northeast Alliance, you and others at American had
- 5 discussed ways in which American could expand its capacity in
- 6 New York, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And one way to expand capacity is to use planes with more seats; is that right?
- 10 **A.** True.
- 11 Q. And in, say, mid 2019, American had a number of small,
- regional jets flying out of JFK; is that right?
- 13 A. That's right.
- Q. And there were around 25 of them; is that right?
- 15 A. I don't know if that's what the aircraft count was, but
- yeah, a large part of our schedule was out of Kennedy.
- 17 Q. Okay. A large part of your schedule was using those
- small, regional jets?
- 19 **A.** True.
- Q. And how many of those passengers can be seated on one of
- 21 those small, regional jets?
- 22 A. It depends on the jet, but between 50 and 76.
- Q. And do these jets have more than one class of service?
- 24 A. They can.
- Q. You're talking about the small, regional jets that

- 1 American Airlines was flying from JFK in mid 2019?
- 2 A. At the time in JFK, we were -- as I recall it, all 50
- 3 seaters. Maybe we had a flight or two on the 75 seater. The
- 4 50 seaters are single class, the 75 seaters have a first
- 5 class and an economy class.
- 6 Q. Okay. Well, let me go back then, in 2019, at JFK,
- 7 American was only flying -- when you're talking about these
- regional jets, it was only flying the 50 seaters?
- 9 A. Yeah, disproportionally, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And those 50 seaters do not have two classes of
- 11 service, right?
- 12 A. Also true.
- Q. And what your plan was at that point in time, 2019, was
- to replace all of those small, regional jets, with larger,
- regional jets?
- MR. JONES: Yeah, that's been our plan across our
- 17 system.
- Q. Okay. And so those larger regional jets were the ones
- that you were just talking about a moment ago, which seat 65
- 20 to 75 passengers, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And those larger regional jets also have two classes of
- 23 service, correct?
- 24 A. Also correct.
- 25 Q. Now, if American had all two class jets, regional jets

- flying from New York City, it could better compete for corporate customers, correct?
- A. Ideally, it could. Whenever we tried them in New York,
  we weren't very successful in getting them for corporate
  customers.
- Q. Let's focus for a moment on JFK. So in 2019, at JFK, you only had the smaller jets that did not have two classes of service, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And shifting from small regional jets to these larger regional jets that you just described, you sometimes call that up-gauging?
- 13 A. That's true.
- THE COURT: The larger regional jets are the 76 seaters with two classes?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 18 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. So another way to expand capacity, besides up-gauging, is to add flights to more destinations, correct?
- 21 A. That is true.
- Q. And another way to expand capacity is by adding frequency to existing destinations?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 105 and this is

- 1 in evidence.
- 2 So Mr. Raja, this consists of a May 2019 e-mail
- 3 Mr. Goodman sent to you, and he CCs Jason Reisinger,
- 4 attaching a document he calls a "one-pager on New York City
- 5 strategy," right?
- 6 Q. And at this point in time, in May of 2019, Mr. Goodman
- 7 was in network planning; is that right?
- 8 A. He was.
- 9 Q. And what about Mr. Reisinger?
- 10 A. Yeah. He was our head of capacity planning, determining
- where the airline flies, what schedule pattern, where.
- 12 Q. Okay. And this was several months before you started
- negotiating the Northeast Alliance, correct?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And on the first page of the attachment, if you could
- turn to that, please, this is the one pager strategy that is
- described in the cover e-mail, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And I hope you can read it. It's a little small. But if
- you look at the first page, around two-thirds of the way down
- the page, under the black header title, "Objective: Fly a
- competitive products in all markets," did you see that?
- 23 **A.** I do.
- Q. Okay. And then below that, it says, "Develop plan to
- 25 have all single class RJs out of New York City." And what

- this refers to is what you just spoke about a moment ago, right? That American Airlines planned in 2019 to switch out all of the single class regional jets from New York City, right?
- A. Not to quarrel with the word, but we tend to use the word
  "plan" a lot, but our plans change like every week. So this
  is -- like some of these things, it's really more of a

  proposal of what we can do. We didn't have a plan as yet.

  What we were searching for through all of this stuff was some
  means of being more competitive in New York.
- Q. And this was part of your New York City strategy, correct?
- A. Yeah, it was -- I'd say it was an attempt at a New York strategy. In the 18 years I've been at the company, we've had at least that many different New York City strategies until the NEA came along.
- Q. And that's what the document is called, New York City strategy, right?
- 19 **A.** Yeah. This is one of the documents on New York City strategy.
- Q. Okay. And then I'm reading along where I was a moment ago. "Develop future narrow body with lie-flat business class that can fly all TCON markets, not just LAX and SFO."

  Do you see that?
- 25 **A.** I do.

- Q. Now, that lie-flat transcontinental service, that's something that was started by JetBlue; is that right?
- 3 A. No. It was started by American Airlines.
- Q. It was started by American Airlines on these two routes;
- 5 is that right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then later on, American Airlines expanded that, as indicated here, correct?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. You never expanded transcontinental lies-flats?
- 11 A. No. We've only flown it on LA and San Francisco.
- 12 **Q.** Okay.
- 13 A. Oh, and the NEA, we started flying in Orange County, too.
- Q. Okay. I'll move on, then.
- Now, let's look at the top of the document under
- "Objective. Serve Box 2.0 markets with schedule depth."
- Do you see that?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 Q. First of all, what is Box 2.0?
- 20 **A.** In the particular geography of the American Airlines hub
- 21 network, if you think about the geography of Chicago,
- Philadelphia, Washington, D.C., Charlotte and Miami and DFW,
- it creates a sort of a box. And just because of the
- geography, we can serve cities in those box more economically
- 25 than what our competitors can. If we -- if you just draw a

line from, pick something in the middle, Lexington, Kentucky, 1 we spend less airplanes than if you were to go to draw the 2 connection over Houston or Minneapolis or something. So it's ten percent less airplane, ten percent less crew, ten percent less fuel. So we tend to have a lot of flying in those 5 markets. They tend to do really well and drive a lot of 7 connectivity. So the idea -- what this is really saying is that 8 in New York, we can't really win a New York City customer. 9 But we're great in Lexington. Let's figure out how we get 10 11 more customers from inside of the country to New York. So Mr. Raja, the e-mail says to -- well, it says 12 "schedule depth." Does that refer to frequency? 13 14 Α. Yeah. So what he's referring to is -- so take a market like, at the time, if I recall right, they were looking at 15 like northwest, Arkansas, Bentonville, Arkansas. We don't 16 have as many slots as what Delta has in Kennedy. We have 17 18 what's called 150 -- I'm rounding it. Fewer than --19 0. I'm sorry to interrupt. I think maybe you misunderstood my question or I asked a poor one, so let me --20 MR. WALL: No, I think he was answering the 21 question. She should not interrupt. 22 23 MS. SWEENEY: And I apologize. But we're on a --THE COURT: So I think let him go on a little bit, 24 because I'm not really clear. 25

MS. SWEENEY: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Raja.

THE WITNESS: So because we don't have as many slots as what Delta has, we can't offer a competitive schedule in all the same markets they have. They have 150 more, or something. So we have to figure out, when we have less access to the infrastructure of New York, how can we credibly go and compete when customers buy on network?

And what we found is that if Delta flies -- I'll make it up -- 30 cities, and they fly them all five times a day, we can't match the same thing. We don't have the slots. So what this is, we're not doing particularly well for the New York-originating customer, but we do great with a northwest Arkansas originating customer. Let's really focus on having a depth of schedule in northwest Arkansas to New York. We're going to be a carrier that gets people to New York, as it's commonly described.

And then Delta may match us frequency for frequency, but there's just going to be some markets that we don't compete in as much or as hard.

- Q. Okay. So here Mr. Goodman is proposing adding new service between New York and cities like "CAE." Is that Columbia, South Carolina?
- 23 A. That's correct. That would be one of those cities.
  - Q. And Charleston, South Carolina, is that "CHS"?
- 25 A. Correct.

And how about EYS? What's that? 1 Q. 2 THE COURT: E or T? THE WITNESS: Oh. "TYS." Thanks Knoxville. 3 MS. SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you. 4 BY MS. SWEENEY: 5 And then for "Future," he's proposing adding frequencies 7 and another O and D, right? He goes on to say, "Continue to add frequency in strong box 2.0 markets. Austin" --8 And what's SAI? 9 Α. That's "SAT," San Antonio, Texas. 10 Okay. 11 Q. Thank you. So in this time period, it's fair to say that 12 Mr. Goodman, together with Mr. Reisinger, were proposing 13 14 adding these markets for the New York based flights, correct? Yeah, they were proposing them. The irony of this New 15 York strategy is it's actually not a New York strategy; it's 16 a rest-of-the-country strategy. And yeah, they were 17 proposing those things, things that didn't come to 18 19 materialize because Austin and San Antonio are, in the world of the airline, more expensive than Knoxville. Because 20 they're further away, it consumes more airplanes. So that's 21 why that's a future thing to do, because it's a very 22 23 expensive thing. And we know that if we are going to Austin, it probably wouldn't be performing as well for us. 24 25 Q. But these were strategies -- so in other words, your

- answer is, yes, they were proposing adding these new flights, correct?
- A. They were proposing it. And as you can tell, it was a pretty -- as I assessed at the time, a pretty marginal strategy to go. It's making the best of a bad situation is really what it is.
  - Q. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 128. And this exhibit is in evidence, but it has redactions, so we'll need to publish the redacted version.
  - So this is a June 15, 2019, e-mail exchange that you had with the pilot, correct?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

9

10

- Q. Okay. And this was -- this was around the time that you received that New York -- this was maybe a month after you received that New York strategy one-pager; is that right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, and so in this e-mail that is Plaintiffs'
  Exhibit 128, the pilot is asking you about American's plans
  to expand its capacity in New York City, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And you respond -- let's look at the first paragraph of your response. And in the fourth line down, you say -- and this starts near the end of that -- yeah, fourth line.
- "Over" is the first word. You say, "Over time, the little
  RJs will slowly get up-gauged," right?

A. Yes.

1

2

7

9

- Q. And that's what we were talking about before, American had this plan to replace those single class, small regional
- 4 jets with larger, two-class regional jets, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
  - For what it's worth, that's kind of across our system at this time. But, yes, true.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
  - And then below that, in the second paragraph, you say, "We are looking at MCO again?"
- 11 What is that?
- 12 A. Orlando.
- Q. Orlando. "In fact, we are rethinking a lot of New York City to sunshine markets as winter comes."
- 15 Right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And those other sunshine markets that you're referring to there are Florida, the Caribbean, and South America; is that
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yeah, we oftentimes -- when we talk about the sunshine,
- it's pretty much anything in the Southwest or the southeast,
- 22 so that includes things like DFW, Phoenix, other stuff.
- Q. Thank you. Let's turn -- we can put this aside and let's
- turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 119. And again, this is in
- evidence. It's one that we already looked at today. And

- earlier when we looked at this Exhibit, it was in connection
  with Boston and now I want to focus on New York. And in
  particular, the paragraph that starts "NLGA," under the
  numbered paragraph 1. Do you see that?
- 5 **A.** I do.
- Q. Okay. In this e-mail, he says, "Total flights and NML/RJ slit is unlikely to change."

And that's a reference to mainline regional jet?

9 A. Correct.

8

- Q. So you'll have the same number of mainline jets and regional jets, but then he goes on to say, "But all RJ are two class." Right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And here, again, what he's referring to is this
  up-gauging that we've been talking about. American was going
  to replace all of those regional jets with two class larger
  regional jets, right?
- 18 A. Yeah, this is me saying it, though, not him.
- Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you very much for that clarification. Okay. We can put that exhibit aside.
  - Now, you mentioned a couple times that the three slot constrained airports?
- 23 **A.** Yes.

21

22

Q. Right? And at JFK, American has, what, around 200 slots; is that fair?

- A. Individual slots, yes, we usually count them in pairs, so we call it 100 pairs, but --
- Q. And then at LaGuardia, American has around 170?
- 4 A. Ballpark, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And would you agree that slots are a scarce
- 6 resource in those airports?
- 7 A. Certainly.
- 8 Q. And would you agree that they're a valuable resource?
- 9 A. I do. I would.
- 10 Q. And would you agree that there is high demand for those
- 11 slots or slot pairs, as you call them?
- 12 A. There's a lot of demand, but oftentimes from very few
- 13 carriers, yes.
- Q. And in those airports, if you don't use your slots, you
- can lose them; is that right?
- 16 A. Certainly.
- Q. And it's also true, isn't it, that some airlines don't
- have any slots at LaGuardia and JFK?
- 19 A. Yes, that's true.
- 20 Q. And is it also true that there are some airlines that
- 21 have tried to get slots at those airports and couldn't?
- 22 A. I suspect that's true, yes.
- Q. And at JFK, for example, there are no ultra low cost
- carriers that have slots, correct?
- 25 **A.** Not to my knowledge right now.

- Q. So your answer is correct, there's no ULCC that has a slot at JFK?
- A. Correct. And I'm not trying to be too cute by half, but the way these slots work, sometimes people own slots but they lease out the slots and things like that. I don't know the entirety of everyone else's slot holds, other than what gets publically filed.
- Q. Okay. And how about at LaGuardia? At LaGuardia only a tiny percentage of the slot pairs are held by ultra low cost carriers, correct?
- 11 **A.** Yeah, I don't know how tiny tiny is, but yes. A smaller percentage than there are for us and Delta. That's for sure.
- Q. And going back to the use it or lose it issue, so if you don't use your slot, then the FAA can recall that slot, right?
- 16 **A.** True.
- Q. And then the FAA can auction it off; is that right?
- A. Whatever the FAA deems is -- the FAA has jurisdiction over the slots. They can grant them, they can auction them, they can do whatever they think is right.
- Q. So the FAA can give that slot or sell that slot to one
  American Airlines's competitors; is that right?
- 23 **A.** True.
- Q. Is your answer yes? I'm sorry. I don't mean to speak over you.

- A. Yes. No. No, you're fine.
- 2 Q. And that would include the ULCCs?
- 3 A. It would.

- Q. Now, it's also true, isn't it, that some slots are leased
- by airlines to other airlines, right?
- 6 A. That's also true.
- Q. And in that case, if you lease your slot to another
- 8 airline for, say, a short period of time, you get that slot
- 9 back at the end of that period of time, right?
- 10 **A.** When the lease expires, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And if, say, American Airlines had leased a slot
- to Delta, during that period of time when that slot was
- leased, it would not be available to any other bidder, say a
- 14 low cost -- an ultra low cost carrier, right?
- 15 A. Yeah, because Delta would be using it.
- Q. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 298. This is in
- 17 evidence.
- This is a February 5th, 2019 e-mail regarding
- 19 updates to American Airlines' JFK slot portfolio. And
- there's several different e-mails here, and I want to focus
- on Brent Alex, he's the manager of Global Access; is that
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Correct. At the time he was, yes.
- Q. So this is a couple of different e-mails and it starts
- out -- Brent Alex writes to his colleagues at American, not

- including you, and I'm looking at the paragraph -- I think it's on the second page.
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And he says "We've been in talks." Do you see that?

  "We've been in talks with the FAA?"
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. So he says, "We've been in talks with the FAA regarding
  American Airlines' utilization of our JFK portfolio, dating
  back to at least the time of the merger."
- Do you see that?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Now, when he says dating back to at least the time of the
- merger, is he talking about the American Airlines/US Airways
- 14 merger?
- 15 **A.** He is.
- 16 Q. And what year did that occur?
- 17 A. That close date was 2014.
- Q. Okay. And then Mr. Alex goes on to say, "Since then,
- 19 American Airlines has not utilized our full portfolio."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then later, I'm not sure if it's in the same paragraph, he says, "We've been advised that slot utilization
- 24 at JFK/LaGuardia will be under stricter scrutiny going
- forward. In summary, we came to an agreement with the FAA to

1 give back seven permanent slots."

Did I read that correctly?

3 A. Yes. Yes.

2

- Q. Okay. So American Airlines had to give back seven permanent slots at JFK because it was not fully utilizing those slots; is that right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then you respond -- there's a step in the middle here which is that e-mail gets forwarded to you by someone else, right?
- 11 **A.** Yup.
- Q. Okay. And you respond to that e-mail. You say, "One important thing. Don't cast this that we lost slots. We didn't use all of our slots for years, to the point that no one knew our true baseline. We thought 216. They thought 200."
  - Did you write that?
- 18 **A.** I did.

17

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. So you're saying here that American Airlines didn't use all of your slots for years. Right?
  - A. Yeah. And we didn't for the worst of reasons. When we put together the merger, our process for accounting for slots was extremely manual, and we had the wrong count. I was saying don't cast this that we lost slots because I was beside myself that we had. So my advice to Glenn Martin, who

was the director of this group at the time, is that I am going to handle the explanation of this that our post-merger accounting for these slots was poor, and this is what's happened.

On But you would agree that there was an understillization.

- Q. But you would agree that there was an underutilization for years, right?
- A. An unintentional one for the worst of reasons because we didn't count right.
- Q. Mr. Raja, let's turn to another exhibit. Can you put -- let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit --

THE COURT: So what you're saying is there were slots you didn't use.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: And you say that the reason that you didn't use them was because you didn't realize you had them.

THE WITNESS: That's exactly right.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Which -- before I make everybody sound completely ridiculous in this, AMR had slots, US Airways had slots, and these slots are denominated at different times through the year and there's a process by which we track them. Whenever we put these two things together, effectively, in that merge, we lost tracking of this number of slots and the unique slot IDs that go with them. And along the way in the merger -- there's no good

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
reason for it, but, yes. And as you can tell, I'm still
probably a little beside myself that that happened.
BY MS. SWEENEY:
    I would like to turn now to Plaintiff's Exhibit --
                     I'm sorry, one more question.
          THE COURT:
         MS. SWEENEY:
                       I'm sorry.
          THE COURT: The underutilization, one aspect of
underutilization is whether there were these slots that you
didn't use, right?
          THE WITNESS: Correct.
          THE COURT: As to the slots that you did use, were
those underutilized? Was this referring to that, also, or
no?
          THE WITNESS: This, as I recall it -- and I'm going
off of memory here. This is really recalling the fact that
we weren't using the slots, because effectively, the FAA
thought that we had 16-ish slots more than what we -- they
were counting us as having more than what we did. So when
you take all of our operations divided by slots, it looks
like that.
          THE COURT: Were you underutilizing the slots that
you knew you had?
          THE WITNESS: We were not endeavoring to
underutilize the slots at all.
          THE COURT: Not endeavoring, but that fact.
```

THE WITNESS: There were periods along the way where we had, sure. But unfortunately, for the worst of reasons, as we were putting the airline together, the actual — the schedule processes of these two things were not always very lined up.

I mean, there's a lot of things in our institution at that time that was not great business processes, and that drove a lot more of the underutilization than anything else. So yes, there were definitely periods along the way where we did, true.

- THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 12 BY MS. SWEENEY:

- Q. Can you turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 257. This is not in evidence. This is a December 8, 2019, e-mailing -- e-mail updating Mr. Raja on the FAA position before -- before your formal meeting with the FAA. Is that right, Mr. Raja?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. And this is an e-mail that you received on or around that date, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - MS. SWEENEY: Okay. Plaintiffs' move for the admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 257.
  - MR. WALL: Your Honor, this issue is this is hearsay. There's a hearsay issue, but it may not have anything to do with what she's asking about. I don't know.

```
There's a reporting here of what third-parties are saying.
 1
     And again, if it's not offered for the truth, it's fine.
 2
 3
               MS. SWEENEY: We're not offering the third-party
 4
     statements for the truth, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Fine. Then I admit it with
     that caveat.
 6
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 247 admitted into evidence.)
 7
               THE COURT: Go ahead. You can display it.
 8
               MS. SWEENEY: Thank you.
 9
               Now, I apologize for my slowness, but I'm looking
10
11
     for it in the documents.
               THE COURT: That's fine. You're not slow.
12
13
               MS. SWEENEY: And it says FAA may not look -- I
14
     can't find it in the document, though, so that's what I'm
     struggling with. I'm trying to direct the trial director.
15
               THE COURT: What are you looking for?
16
               MR. DAVIS: It starts out, "FAA may not look as
17
18
     favorably."
               Top of page 2. Thank you very much.
19
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
20
          The top of page 2 it says, "FAA may not look as favorably
21
     upon an S20 MAX waiver request as it has."
22
23
               Now, S20 refers to the summer of 2020, right?
        Correct.
24
     Α.
     Q. And so the slots pairs come in seasons of winter or
25
```

- summer; is that right?
- 2 **A.** That's right.

- 3 \ Q. And the MAX waiver is a waiver that American Airlines
- 4 received to underutilizing the slot below the 80 percent
- threshold because of the grounding of the MAX jet, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. And it goes on to say, "Where FAA may not look as
- favorably upon a waiver request, particularly with a large
- 9 number of slots we're not planning to use at JFK."
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And then it goes on to say, "Demand for JFK is high, so
- 12 sitting on unused capacity is not good."
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. So it's true that during this time period, demand
- for slots at JFK was high, right?
- 16 **A.** True.
- 17 Q. And here is it -- it looks as if American Airlines was
- 18 sitting on unused capacity, right?
- 19 A. Not by our choice. It was because the 737 MAX wasn't
- 20 flying; otherwise, it would not have been underutilized.
- 21 Q. Well, but that's only true for a certain period of time
- 22 that you had that MAX waiver, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct. The summer of '19 and winter of '19
- 24 season was when the MAX was down.
- 25 Q. And at some point, the FAA refused to renew the request

for a waiver for the grounding of the MAX, correct?

- A. Yes. Indeed. What this is the precursor to is a meeting that I took with some senior officials at the FAA, in which they were though they were very sympathetic about the MAX and at the time, we didn't know when the MAX would be back up and flying. They said, "Before we go and do any further waivers, we want to know that you and American are doing all that you can to go and get other people to go cover slots for you, until such time that the MAX is back."
- 10 Q. We can put this exhibit aside.

- And ultimately, you entered into some lease agreements; is that right?
  - A. We did. We tried to enter into a lot of these agreements.
  - Q. And you leased five to Delta; is that right?
  - A. I should say this. We started entering into those lease agreements shortly after that. A few weeks before the start of the summer '20 season, COVID happened, so nobody was flying any of the slots at that point in time. A little bit of this became moot.
  - Q. Understood. But ultimately, you leased the bulk of those slots that you couldn't use or weren't utilizing at that time to JetBlue; is that right?
- A. We -- I mean, ultimately the agreement was moot, but we had a deal in principle, yes. A deal in principle to do so,

true.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MS. SWEENEY: Okay. Let's look at one of those documents. Let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 267. This is in evidence. It has some redactions.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. SWEENEY: And this is a demonstrative for Plaintiffs' Exhibit 267. And I don't believe there's any objections to the use of this demonstrative.

THE COURT: Okay. It's fine. No objection.

- 10 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Okay. This is a series of text messages between you and
- 12 Brent Alex, right?
- 13 **A.** It is.
- 14 Q. And this is in early January of 2020?
- 15 **A.** True.
- Q. And in the first text, Mr. Alex writes, "B6 taking up to
- 27 of 40 slots. The other day they said 11 slots. Holy
- smokes, jackpot," right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you respond by saying, "Just saw it and had the same
- 21 reaction"?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. So both of you are expressing relief and excitement that
- those slots are being released, correct?
- 25 A. Absolutely. And the context of this document is very

important. So coming out of my meeting with the FAA, they told us, "Look, we're very sympathetic about the MAX, but we don't know how long this is going to be down in the future. In summer of 2020, we have a lot of demand for slots. You, American Airlines, we don't care if you have to hold your nose and do deals with Delta or Spirit Airlines or JetBlue or anybody else, go try to cover as many slots as you possibly can on your own. Then if you're unable to cover them and the MAX is still down, come back to us and let's talk."

So this is now January. In April, the summer of 2020 season starts, so people are in the final throes of actually building their schedules. So we're basically going as beggars to our competitors trying to get them to cover these things, or we would lose them.

So we called everybody: JetBlue, Delta, Spirit.

And everyone recognized the relative amounts of leverage that they had on us. JetBlue saw it as a sign to go and get more. Delta Air Lines reached out to me, and Esposito, when we actually did talk, what he wanted to say was he just wanted to buy up all of our New York slots, which that conversation didn't go any further. Spirit Airlines we had talked to, but there was some issue because they didn't want to be hosted by us in our terminal, forget the particulars.

And so what ended up happening was the people who ended up -- the first ones to the party were JetBlue, and so

we were reacting, holy smokes, jackpot, because in this thing where we literally thought we would have 14 days to go and move these slots, we were able to move a material number of them.

And we were also reaching out to international carriers. I think we reached out to cargo carriers along the way. It was a uniquely crazy period brought purely by the MAX.

- Q. Mr. Raja. Thank you for that answer. I just want to request that you answer the questions that can be answered with a yes or no with a yes or no. I understand you want to explain, but your counsel will have an opportunity to ask you questions after I sit down, and we are operating on a clock.
- 15 A. Sure. Sorry.

Is that okay?

Q. Okay. I'd like to introduce -- I'd like to look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 51. And I don't think this is in your binder, so I'm going to hand out some copies.

My mistake, it's in the binder.

THE COURT: What exhibit number did you say?

MS. SWEENEY: Plaintiffs' Exhibit 51.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SWEENEY: This is in evidence, it's an e-mail from Mitchell Goodman to you, dated October 8, 2019. And your response. Correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. It actually starts out at the bottom with an e-mail from Margaret Muir, correct?
- 4 A. I'm catching up, but yes. That looks right.
- Q. Okay. And what was Ms. Muir's position at that point in time?
- 7 A. She was a manager in our domestic route planning team.
- Q. Okay. And her e-mail is about highlights of this week's

  OFS changes. What are OFS changes?
- A. OFS is a shorthand for out force sale, the schedule that

  customers can actually purchase and see we refer in shorthand

  as OFS, out for sale.
- Q. Okay. And then looking at -- okay. Let's turn now to
  the response to her e-mail from Mr. Goodman. And he asked a
  question about LGA, which is LaGuardia, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then I believe you're on the next e-mail -- or the answer is not the season, and then there's an e-mail from you above that. This is dated October 8, 2019, at 6:13 p.m.
  And you say, "Roger that, keep pushing it." And then you say, "Related, when we start flying our New York City slots,
- what if we actually flew some JFK we liked and take the RJs out?" Right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. This is what we were talking about before, this was

- replacing the small regional jets with larger regional jets, right?
- A. This is actually trying to compare it with the scale of cost at Kennedy. The challenging with the larger regional jet at JFK, is it's still the beauty of JFK is you can fly outside of the LaGuardia perimeter. So the reference to what if we were to take something out is me kind of pushing that like could we actually do something bigger or bolder with Kennedy?
- Q. And then above that, you have a response from Mr. Goodman, right?
- 12 A. Right.

Q. And the third paragraph down, he says, "The long term plan for JFK still involves some regional jet flying so we can have the best spoke patterns to New York City." And then he goes on to say, "And RJs, regional jets, are good to fly slots we may want for international growth in the next few years."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You did.
- Q. So here what Mr. Goodman is alluding to is that sometimes

  American has sat on slots in order to hold on to them in case
  they wanted them in the future, right?
- A. No, he's actually referring to something -- a unique contrivance in the schedule. Like people want to be able to

go from -- I'll make it up, JFK to Raleigh Durham at 8:00 a.m. and come back at 5:00 p.m., but in order to go and make the aircraft rotation work efficiently, something's got to fly at 2:00 p.m. or 4:00 p.m.. Those slots are not particularly valuable when you do them domestically, not a lot of people go places at 2:00 p.m. Internationally, those things start to become much more valuable. Those start to come in arrivals when European flights can come in. So what he's trying to say -- I'm trying to push like how can we do something bigger in New York and he's saying, effectively, in Kennedy, we still have some RJ flying, because once -- like if we ever are going to do the international stuff, we're going to need to keep a schedule pattern to places like Raleigh-Durham or what have you, whatever he was thinking at the time.

Q. Let me ask a follow-up question on that.

He says, "And RJs are good to fly slots we may want for international growth in the next few years." So let me ask you about this. When you have a regional jet flying a slot, say it's a small regional jet, it doesn't seat very many passengers, that fulfills your obligation to use it or lose it, right?

A. Oh, sure, if it fills the obligation. But that's not actually the thing he's -- I didn't read it as that's what he intended here.

- Q. So if you fly a small jet, it doesn't matter the size of the jet, as long as you're using that slot to fly an airplane somewhere with some passengers, correct?
- A. Yeah. Once you're flying something on the slot, you get to use the slot. But he's talking here, the spoke patterns is the keyword there.
- Q. Mr. Raja, would you agree that American thinks that further consolidation of the domestic airline industry is a good thing?
- A. I -- when you put it like that, I wouldn't say it exactly like that. Consolidation has been good for us. What consolidation looks in the future, we don't know. We're open to it, certainly. But we think there's a lot of ways that we can go do it, like go create value for the customers is what I mean.
  - Q. Let's look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 306. This is in evidence. It's redacted, so we'll display a redacted version.
- Do you have that in front of you?
- 20 **A.** I do. I do. Sorry. I didn't know you were waiting on me.
- Q. And I'd like you to take a look at the page -- this is -- it's an e-mail chain between you and Mr. Mancini; correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.

17

18

25 **Q.** And it's May 16, 2021?

Correct. Α.

1

4

5

8

9

10

- Q. And these are some slides that you prepared in advance of 2 the July board of directors meeting?
  - Α. That's right.
- Q. And then if you could turn to the page that has the footer on it that says, "What is our strategy?" This is in 7 the attachment.

Do you have that?

- I do have the attachment. I'm sorry, was there someplace Α. that I should be looking right now?
- Q. Yeah, there are footers at the bottom, and one of them 11 says, "What is our strategy?" There's a number there, it is 12 13 called a Bates number, and it ends in 1238. And we can 14 display that on the screen -- we can, but it is completely redacted. 15
- A. Oh, yeah. Fair enough. 16
- MR. WALL: Just for clarification, are you talking 17 18 about a slide?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. I see it now. I'm sorry, I find it. 20
- MS. SWEENEY: I believe it's an Excel sheet. 21
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I got you. It is. 22
- 23 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- Q. Now, because American has redacted this page, I'm going 24 to ask you not to read it aloud. But looking at the column 25

```
B, the second column to the right, at the very top, starting
1
     with the word "given," can you please read that silently?
 2
          (Witness reads document.) Okay. I've read it.
     Q. And this reflects your thinking at the time that you
 4
     prepared these slides in May of 2019. Is that fair?
 5
         Yes, that's what I was thinking at the time.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Can you just direct me? Which page
     again?
8
 9
               MS. SWEENEY: It has Bates number that ends in
     1238, and it has the words, "What is our strategy," in the
10
11
     bottom, right-hand corner. And I apologize, it's hard to
12
     read.
13
               THE COURT:
                           I have 1238. It says, "How to create
14
     value," in the bottom, right corner.
               MR. WALL: Your Honor, every page here ends with
15
     1238, and then they have different words after it.
16
               THE COURT: Oh, I see.
17
               THE WITNESS: It's the second to the last page.
18
19
               MR. CONGDON: I believe it's the fourth page.
               THE COURT: I thought it was --
20
               THE WITNESS: Ouch.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Got it.
22
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
23
          So in May of 2019, this sentence at the top of this
24
     redacted section reflects your thinking at the time, right?
25
```

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Okay. Let's put that exhibit aside.
- And can we look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 125. This also is in evidence and is redacted.
- And this e-mail is a cover e-mail containing some slides, right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you prepared the draft of some of these slides, which is reflected in the text of the e-mail itself, below your e-mail, dated October 9, 2019, correct?
- 11 A. Yes. That looks like it, yes.
- Q. Okay. So let's look at the very first page of this
- exhibit, and in the left-hand column, the first row is
- 14 JetBlue, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And at the time, you did not have any kind of a
- partnership with JetBlue, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And then reading across that first row, in the third
- 20 column, under the heading of "Potential Value," there are
- several bullet points. Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the very first bullet point says, "Further domestic
- 24 consolidation," right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. So this was, what? Three months before you started discussing with JetBlue the partnership that ultimately
- 3 became the Northeast Alliance?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Let's look at another Exhibit. This is
- Plaintiffs' Exhibit 161. And again, this is in evidence, but it has some redactions.
- This is an e-mail thread between you and Robert
  Isom and Sue Sanders from February of 2020, regarding an
  agenda, correct?
- 11 **A.** I'm sorry, I'm not on the same page. Will you tell me again what number it was?
- Q. It's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 161, and I'm looking at the first page, which is a cover e-mail?
- MR. WALL: I don't think we have that.
- MS. SWEENEY: Okay. I'm sorry, let me look here.
- 17 I have it here.
- 18 THE COURT: It's not in my binder, either.
- MS. SWEENEY: Okay. We'll move on to another
- 20 exhibit, Your Honor. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 74.
- 21 And this is in evidence.
- 22 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 23 Q. So Mr. Raja, are these some materials that were prepared
- for a meeting that you were going to have with Robert Isom?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And this is in February of 2020?
- 2 A. That's right.
- Q. And if you could turn to the third slide in the
- attachment, the title is, "Partnership post Big 3"?
- 5 A. Sure.

8

- 6 Q. Do you see that?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And a lot of this is redacted, but the questions that I'm going to ask you right now aren't part of the redacted part.
- But "Partnership Priorities post Big 3," now Big 3

  there is referring to the partnerships that American

  established -- strike that.
- Two of the big three partnerships that are being referred to there are the WCIA and the NEA, right?
- A. I don't actually recall, but I -- I believe that to be the case.
- Q. Okay. And then if you look at about two-thirds of the way down the slide, just below that redaction, there's a bullet point that says, "Continue what we started in the Americas."
- Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then there's a bullet point there, and the part that's not redacted refers to JetBlue, correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. So the plan was to continue to develop these
- 2 partnerships, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And then if you go to the next page of this -- the next
- 5 slide in this deck --
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Here you are assigning roles; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the first box says, "Parker," right?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. And Doug Parker, is that who that's referring to?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And at that time, was he then the CEO of American
- 14 Airlines?
- 15 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. And in this slide that you helped prepare, why don't you
- read the role that you assigned to Mr. Parker in the second
- 18 column?
- 19 A. "Godfather of consolidation, involved only at deal
- 20 closing or for true M and A."
- Q. So you referred to the then CEO of American Airlines as
- 22 the godfather of consolidation; is that right?
- A. That's how we tease him, because others in the media have
- referred to him in that fashion.
- Q. And that's how you refer to him yourself, right?

```
A. More pulling his leq. His personality is anything but
 1
     what it would be like in that movie. That's why we got such
 2
     a rise out of it.
               MS. SWEENEY: I'm sorry. I'm looking for that
 4
     missing exhibit. It will just take me one second.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Take your time.
 7
               MS. SWEENEY: Your Honor, I appreciate that it's
     early; would you mind if we broke for lunch now, and I could
 8
     find that exhibit?
 9
               THE COURT: Sure.
10
               MS. SWEENEY: Thank you very much. I appreciate
11
     it.
12
13
               THE COURT: We'll break now.
14
               So I have a 2 o'clock hearing in another case, so
     I'm fine. What we'll do is we'll break, we'll resume --
15
               What time are we resuming today.
16
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: 2:45.
17
               THE COURT: 2:45. I'll make sure we're done by
18
19
     2:45, and I'll just add 15 minutes at the end of the day.
               MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
21
               MS. SWEENEY:
               THE COURT: We stand in recess.
22
                (Court in recess at 12:47 p.m.
23
               and reconvened at 2:50 p.m.)
24
               THE COURT: Everybody ready to go?
25
```

```
MS. SWEENEY: Yes, Your Honor.
1
               THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
 2
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
 3
     Q. Mr. Raja, before the break, I -- I was trying to find a
 4
     particular document, and I think we finally found it.
 5
     is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 161, which is in evidence. It has
     some redactions, but we can publish the redacted version,
 7
     Your Honor?
8
 9
               THE COURT: Yes.
10
               MS. SWEENEY: Okay.
11
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
          Have you had a chance to look at that, Mr. Raja?
12
     Q.
          Yes, I'm just reading it now.
13
     Α.
14
               Okay. All good.
     Q. Okay. Thank you.
15
               So this is an e-mail that you sent to Devon May
16
     in -- on October 6th, 2019; is that right?
17
     Α.
          Correct.
18
19
     Q.
         And the subject is transition and there's an attachment
     called "partner priorities thoughts," right?
20
          Yes.
21
     Α.
          So this was around the time that you transitioned to the
22
     position of -- where you were in charge of the strategy
23
     for -- the network strategy; is that right?
24
```

A. Correct.

him. It was going to come report to me.

- Q. Okay. And this is some ideas that you had; is that fair?
- A. Yes. It sounds like it. I think it's really about transitioning, because a lot of this stuff was reporting to
- Q. Okay. So let's look at the -- I think it's actually the second page of the exhibit, but the first page of the attachment -- or -- strike that.

So on the first page, you say, "Here's a list of transition thoughts." And then -- so let's go back to the first page. My apologies. And you say, "I made a list of what I think are near-term projects and long-term projects"?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And then -- I'm trying to figure out what parts are redacted, which is most of it. Let's see. Let's go to the next page of this exhibit.

Okay. So because these -- these bullet points are redacted, I'm not going to ask you any questions about the first couple of bullets, but I'd like you to go to the next page.

THE COURT: I only have two pages.

MR. WALL: Yeah, we only have two pages.

MS. SWEENEY: We're --

THE WITNESS: Front and back? You mean the back?

24 BY MS. SWEENEY:

Q. Yeah, just front and back. So let's look at the back.

- 1 It's the second page. I'm sorry. And at the top, it says,
- 2 "Next six months' projects. All are concurrent."
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- 5 Q. And then it says, "secure the homeland," right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And underneath, that it says, "B6, develop Boston codeshare, slot swap, too."
- 9 Is that right?
- 10 A. It does.
- Q. And that's something that you ultimately didn't pursue
- 12 with JetBlue, right?
- 13 **A.** This is the formulation of a lot of things which turned
- 14 into the NEA.
- Q. Okay. And then below that it says, "Alaska Airlines
- rebuild with new baseline, develop a Pacific northwest
- presence," right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And that ultimately ended up being the WCIA; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 A. That's right.
- Q. Now, there's a third bullet point, and I'd like you do
- read that to yourself because American has redacted that
- information. Do you see it?
- 25 A. I'm sorry. The one right under Alaska? Because there

```
are a couple --
1
 2
               THE COURT: Yes. Read it to yourself.
 3
     redacted.
               THE WITNESS: Okay. I've read it.
 4
     BY MS. SWEENEY:
 5
         It -- and is it fair that this was a part of your
 7
     thinking at the time about developing partnerships for
     American Airlines?
 8
     A. Yeah, although to put it by analogy, it's a bit like
 9
     throwing darts at the dart board. I mean, there are some
10
     things on here which, you know -- we were -- we were working
11
     on a lot of things. Most of the things on this list never
12
13
     came to be and some of these things were -- like, the
14
     documents. It's just there for us to discuss.
15
     Q. Okay. And I want to make sure -- my question was
     probably a little unclear because it's hard with all the
16
     redactions to see, but on the left, there are bullet points,
17
18
     right? And then there are sub-bullet points. So what I
19
     wanted you the look at is, after the fourth left-hand bullet
     point and then the third sub-bullet point, right? Do you see
20
     that?
21
     Α.
         Got it.
22
23
         Okay. And that's one of your transition thoughts, right?
     0.
     A. Yeah, for discussion --
24
25
               THE COURT: I'm not sure I got it.
```

```
MS. SWEENEY:
                              Sure.
1
               THE COURT: The fourth what?
 2
               MS. SWEENEY: So it's the fourth-most left bullet
 3
     point, and then within that paragraph, it's the third bullet
 4
     point down.
 5
               MR. WALL: Your Honor, just to take the mystery out
 6
     of it, it's the one that says "domestic consolidations." I
 7
     think we can all talk about that.
8
               THE COURT: I got it. Okay. Fine.
 9
               MS. SWEENEY: Okay. Thank you. We can set that
10
     aside.
11
               And, Your Honor, I'd like to -- I -- my colleagues
12
     reminded me that I forgot to move into evidence DX89, which
13
     is a Defense Exhibit. There are no objections. It's,
14
     actually, I believe, DX89-A, B, and C.
15
               MR. WALL: No objection.
16
               THE COURT: There's no objection. It's admitted.
17
                (Defendant Exhibit No. DX89-A, B, and C admitted
18
               into evidence.)
19
               MS. SWEENEY: Thank you.
20
               Now, Mr. Raja, I'd like to return to Plaintiffs'
21
     Exhibit 298, which we talked about earlier this morning.
22
23
     That document is in evidence.
               Can we put that on the screen, please.
24
25
     BY MS SWEENEY:
```

- Q. And you were -- in your testimony you gave an explanation about American's underutilization of its JFK slots, right?
- A. Correct.

8

9

- Q. So turning to the exhibit, let's look at the top of the page. And you wrote this on February 5, 2019; is that right?
  - A. Correct. This is the one on the screen?

7 THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Yes.

- 10 BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. And you write, "We didn't use all of
- our slots for years to the point that no one knew our true
- 13 baseline," right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And then after that, you say, "We thought 216, they
- 16 thought 200." Right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And then the "we" here refers to American Airlines,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. So American thought that it had 216 JFK slots, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And then the second half of that sentence says, "They
- thought 200." Do you see that?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And "they" is referring to the FAA, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

4

5

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. You can set that exhibit aside.
  - MS. MALTAS: Now, I have a new exhibit which is not in the binders. This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 282. And this is in evidence.

May we publish, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

- BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 10 Q. Mr. Raja, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 282 is a letter from the
- 11 FAA to American Airlines dated February 26, 2020; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes. That's what it looks like. Yep.
- Q. Okay. Can you please turn to the fourth page of this
- 15 exhibit?
- 16 A. I'm there. Sorry.
- Q. Okay. And if you look at the first full paragraph on
- page 4, the letter states, "American's request with respect
- 19 to JFK notably seeks waivers for slots for which American had
- 20 not planned in the first instance to operate MAX aircraft."
- 21 Did I read that correctly?
- 22 A. You did.
- 23 Q. Now, please look at the second sentence where it says,
- "FAA is not aware of instances where the MAX was scheduled by
- 25 American to be operated at JFK, nor has American asserted

otherwise."

1

2

3

9

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You read it correctly.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to the third sentence, which says,

  "This aspect of American's request" -- and this is the FAA,

  right? -- "is intentioned with a general expectation that a

  slotholder seeking to retain its slots bears responsibility

  to prioritize usage of its slots over other operations."

Do you see that?

- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 Q. Now, in this letter, Mr. Raja, the FAA did grant
- 12 American's prior request for the MAX waiver for the
- summer '19 -- summer 2019 season, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And it also granted the extension of the waiver for
- 16 winter 2019/2020, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. But you understood, didn't you, Mr. Raja, that this
- 19 letter was plainly signaling that the FAA would not grant the
- 20 extension for the season summer 2020, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And -- and one of the reasons the FAA would not provide
- 23 the waiver for summer of 2020 was because American was not
- 24 actually using the MAX at JFK prior to the waiver; is that
- 25 right?

A. This actually was the entirety of the nature of our debate with FAA, because you see the thing with the 737 MAX, which this is actually probably a very important technical issue, the 737 MAX and how we operated and a 737 standard is the same scheduled airplane. So pilots can interchangeably go between the two.

For American Airlines, one of our big 737 bases is New York. The other big base is in Miami. The MAX was an airplane which we had set to go into those two places. Before we took delivery, the way the schedule resembled it, it showed as an old 738, which in our scheduling code would be a 38D. The 73 MAX or 73M had not yet been introduced. We needed to take delivery of the airplane.

So though that sounds really technical, as the FAA looked at it, they looked at the world and said, well, you had 737s flying there, and you had other things that were there. It doesn't look like the MAX was in place. For us, those airplanes were going to fall out, and the MAX were going to come in.

So this was exactly the nature of the whole thing. The FAA would have to talk about their decision-making process through it. But for us, so much of the airplane, its support, its line maintenance, everything was based out of New York. So as long as we weren't taking the MAX -- especially -- we were finding out when the crew schedule was

- built that we had to go put the -- as we called it, the pain of the MAX disproportionately into New York and Miami. So -- sorry.
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you. Are you done?
  - A. I was just going to say, so that's why they granted the thing in arrears because nobody knew that the MAX was coming.

And, yeah -- and so indeed when you went back and looked at it, it would say okay. Well, we had the 737s. The MAX wasn't necessarily flying there. That's true. But that's also why I said, but going forward, you know, you've got to go and find your own sources for figuring out how you go and manage slots. That's why in the -- probably concurrent with this thing, that's when we were going out and trying to lease slots to -- especially Kennedy slots to anybody we otherwise could.

**Q.** And --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Three weeks after this COVID came along, though.
- 19 Q. And the FAA also concluded that what was initially a
- 20 highly unusual and unpredictable condition became a
- foreseeable condition once the grounding went on in time,
- 22 correct?
- A. Correct. And we concurred with it. That's why we were trying to find leaseholders or lessees.
  - Q. Okay. We can put that exhibit aside.

And can we turn, please, back to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 282, which we looked at earlier today and is in evidence. I'm sorry; I -- DX89-B, which is the first slide deck in the -- the slide deck that we looked at, number of slides at, this morning.

- A. Got it. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.

MS. SWEENEY: And can we put that on the screen, please?

10 BY MS. SWEENEY:

1

2

5

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Let's turn to slide 93 in DX89. And, again, that's the first slide deck in this composite exhibit.
  - Okay. And I guess what I was going to ask you about is -- is redacted.

So I'm not going to talk about the numbers. So I'm just going to talk about the concepts in this chart, if that's okay. And we see a graph depicting the ASMs of American, JetBlue, and Alaska together, right?

- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And below that line, we see United ASMs, right, right --
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. -- and Delta ASMs? So in this exhibit, what's happening is you're comparing the American marketing network, including JetBlue and Alaska by virtue of the WCIA and the NEA to the other airlines' networks; is that right?

A. Correct.

- 2 Q. Thank you. We can put that exhibit aside.
- MS. SWEENEY: Now I'd like to turn to Plaintiffs'
- 4 Exhibit 917. And this is not in your binder, so we're going
- 5 to distribute that.
- BY MS. SWEENEY:
- 7 Q. Do you have that in front of you, Mr. Raja?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. Okay. And the first page indicates that this is an
- e-mail from Devon May to you and to Jason Reisinger, right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. From March of 2019, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And it's -- let's turn to the attachment, which is titled
- 15 "JFK slot waiver stat sheet." Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And I'm just going to read the first paragraph
- there, which says, "Historically, the FAA has tracked slot
- 19 usage through a very time-consuming manual process. However,
- 20 they have recently introduced technology allowing them to
- 21 track slot usage in a more precise realtime manner. As a
- 22 result, American Airlines will need to build and operate a
- 23 larger schedule to maintain slot compliance, which would
- result in approximately eight to twelve more daily departures
- 25 than what we operated in the summer of 2018."

Did I read that correctly?

A. You did.

1

2

4

5

7

8

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So in this time period, then, in 2019, you were anticipating operating a larger schedule so that you could maintain these slots, right?
- 6 A. True. Correct. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And then it goes on to say -- it talks about the construction that's about to occur at JFK, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. And then -- and then the FAA granted American Airlines' request for a slot waiver because of that construction; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then it goes on to say, "The waiver suspends the 80 percent usage requirement for these 53 slots during the construction period."
  - And then I want to read the -- I'm sorry; did I read that correctly?
- 19 A. You did.
  - Q. Okay. And then the last sentence says, "This will lessen overall capacity in JFK thereby improving the operational performance of the airport during construction. It also allows American Airlines to reduce capacity in poor-performing markets and redeploy 7 aircraft to other hubs during the summer 2019 schedule."

Did I read that correctly? 1 You did. 2 Α. 3 MS. SWEENEY: Your Honor, at this time I have no 4 further questions for the witness. 5 THE COURT: All right. MS. SWEENEY: Thank you, Mr. Raja. 6 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 7 MR. WALL: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 8 We'll distribute the binders here. 9 THE COURT: 10 Sure. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR AMERICAN AIRLINES 11 BY MR. WALL: 12 13 Good afternoon, Mr. Raja. Q. A. Good afternoon. 14 We've got a lot we want to talk about, but before we get 15 to sort of what we had planned, let me ask you just a couple 16 quick questions to follow up on Ms. Sweeney's examination. 17 18 Mr. Raja, if you wanted to know what American 19 Airlines' growth plans were for a particular region or route, is there something in the nature of a periodic network plan 20 that you could consult? 21 Yes, there is. For better or worse, in our business, it 22 changes with great frequency. 23 But there's something formalized out there? 24 Q. Yes. 25 Α.

- Q. You wouldn't have to search your e-mails for what you might have said to a pilot about that, would you?
- 3 **A.** No.
- Q. Or an exchange with an airport operations person about staffing levels?
- 6 A. No. None of that is official.
- Q. Or an e-mail telling your head of northeast corporate sales to swing the bat?
- 9 A. Yeah, correct.
- Q. Is American's growth plan for any market normally characterized a "bat swinging"?
- 12 **A.** No.
- Q. Okay. Do you know, sir, of any regular course American
- 14 Airlines network planning documents that, in the year or two
- prior to the onset of COVID, established a plan to grow
- Boston to 140 departures over any time period?
- 17 **A.** No.
- 18 | Q. Over 120?
- 19 A. There were documents, but they never became a plan. We
- 20 might have gotten close, somewhere out there, to try to
- 21 figure out how we qualify for gates.
- 22 **Q.** When you actually come up with a network plan, do you
- prepare some sort of evaluation of the resources required to
- 24 make it a reality?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- 1 **Q.** Okay.
- 2 A. And the financial viability of those resources too.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you know of any regular course American
- 4 network -- American Airlines network planning documents that,
- in the year or two prior to the onset of COVID, establish a
- 6 plan to grow New York by more than whatever the capacity
- 7 increase is that you get by swapping out the 50-seat regional
- 8 jets with 65- or 76-seat regional jets?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. Do you think that swapping out 50-seat regional jets with
- 11 65- to 76-seat regional jets would have any material impact
- on your relevance issues in New York?
- 13 A. Not at all. That's why we did the NEA.
- Q. Do you think that adding five to ten new departures out
- of Boston would have any material impact on your relevance
- 16 issues in Boston?
- 17 A. None at all. That's exactly why we did the NEA.
- 18 Q. Let's pull up the document that counsel has been talking
- about quite a bit, which is DX89-B. And if you could, when
- 20 you get that up, please go to slide 17, which is the one that
- 21 has the Bates stamp that ends in 1663.
- 22 A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. So this was discussed with Ms. Sweeney, and it has
- this three-part classification of the OD types according to
- 25 AA only, legacy only, and LCC/ULCC, right?

A. Correct.

- Q. Now, looking at the AA-only line, I notice that it says
- that that constitutes 33 percent of ODs, but 13 percent of
- 4 passengers. Do you see that?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And the three examples all involve a hub in a smaller
- 7 city. Do you see that?
- 8 A. Correct, yes.
- 9 Q. Is that typical of the markets that are AA only?
- 10 A. Yeah, almost categorically. One of those two things is,
- typically, one of the smaller cities in the country.
- 12 Q. And do -- and are those typically markets, then, that
- either connect to or at least through one of your hubs?
- 14 A. Almost every single time.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, if we go down to the next category, which is
- the legacy only, that is 58 percent of domestic ODs, but
- 17 | 19 percent of passengers, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, is there any way that you can characterize
- 20 for the Court the O&Ds that tend to fall in this category?
- 21 A. Yeah, they're usually -- one side or the other is usually
- 22 a large city like New York City or Atlanta or something like
- 23 that. And the other side of the city is a meaningfully
- 24 populous US city, but not as big -- Birmingham, Santa Fe,
- 25 Pittsburgh, Rochester -- a different scale of population,

```
economic growth, things like that, than Fayetteville,
1
     Arkansas, or, you know, Stillwater, Oklahoma.
 2
 3
         But the definition of that is that more than one legacy
     carrier -- possibly all legacy carriers -- has decided to
 4
     serve that route?
 5
         Correct.
     Α.
 7
               MS. SWEENEY: Objection. Leading.
               THE COURT: You can do it, but not too much because
 8
     he's sort of -- is your witness. It's cross, so there's some
 9
     latitude, but -- I'm not going to sustain the objection,
10
     but --
11
               MR. WALL:
                          Okay.
12
               THE COURT: Plus he knows more about the airline
13
14
     industry than you.
               MR. WALL: I take that very personally, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Well, let's put it this way.
16
               THE WITNESS: I take it as job security.
17
               MR. WALL:
                          Thank you.
18
19
     BY MR. WALL:
     Q. Okay. Let's go to the last category, the LCCs and the
20
     ULCCs. And so -- so what's going on there where you have
21
     9 percent of ODs and 68 percent of the passengers? What's
22
23
     the phenomenon that explains that?
         Well, these are simply the largest markets in the
24
     Α.
```

country -- Denver to Los Angeles, Chicago to New York, things

```
like that -- that they don't -- we don't -- they don't constitute a very large number of O&Ds, but that's where everybody lives or everybody wants to go.
```

- Q. Is -- have you made any observations in the course of your career about what LCCs and ULCCs do in terms of the kinds of routes that they target for --
- A. Absolutely. They go to the absolute biggest markets that are there. In places like Lawton, Oklahoma, there's just not that much demand. And what the customer in Lawton, Oklahoma, values is connectivity to a lot of places. In Lawton, we compete with the amount of money it costs them to drive to DFW or Oklahoma City or whatever the next biggest airport is.

But in these places -- Denver to LA, Boston to Las Vegas, what have you -- they're huge markets. And at peak times, there's a lot of demand, and if you're a carrier that's one of these that flies primarily point to point, that's how you make a living.

- Q. In this chart, as counsel pointed out earlier, JetBlue is listed in the LCC/ULCC row, right?
- 20 A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. So in that -- would that imply that the nonstop overlap routes that the Department of Justice is focusing on in this case would also be in that same categorization?
- A. I would say it depends, but -- some of them potentially could, but many of those we've carved out, otherwise.

- 1 Q. Well, but take, for example, something like Boston to
- 2 Los Angeles. Who are the competitors on Boston to
- 3 Los Angeles?
- 4 A. Oh, everybody in America is a competitor on Boston to LA.
- $\mathsf{Q}$ . But the names the ones --
- A. Delta. United is a competitor in Boston-LA, even when
- 7 they connected over one of their hubs. Southwest will be a
- 8 competitor. They can connect at one of their hubs.
- 9 Literally every carrier in America make Boston-LA. We
- 10 compete with all of them.
- 11 Q. JetBlue?
- 12 A. JetBlue does to in Boston-LA.
- 13 Q. So that route would then fall within that third row?
- 14 A. Absolutely, it would. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir.
- Now, I want to back up a bit to this time that you
- were promoted to the position of senior vice president of
- 18 strategy in October of 2019. And Ms. Sweeney talked to you
- 19 about that. Did you have a predecessor in that role?
- 20 **A.** Not quite. It was a role that was -- that was pieced
- 21 together from other things. The closest person who did it
- 22 before was Devon May, but he had some different duties too.
- 23 Q. How did you end up in that role with that set of
- 24 responsibilities?
- 25 A. I advocated for it.

Q. Why?

- A. Well, look, so much of the strategy of the what are the now the three network airlines -- AA, Delta, United -- was based on building big networks, building big, national networks that --
- Q. Doing what? I'm sorry. Say that again. I couldn't --
- A. So much of the strategy of the three big network carriers -- Delta, American, United -- was building big national networks through mergers from much smaller ones.

And what happened after the AMR-US Airways merger it that our company -- that we had completed a merger. We had been falling behind on every objective measure, right? We -- our margin growth was not keeping pace with the other ones. Our customer satisfaction scores were behind. Our revenue performance wasn't growing at the same rate. And I felt it was time that we needed to have what we call a "postmerger vision" for the airline.

And it was really a pretty simple one, which is the north star's -- figure out what our customers want and then how do we go and engineer ourselves around that? And if we can do that really well, indeed, that could be -- it could improve our financial performance.

Q. Okay. I want to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 120.

Again, this is a version that's been redacted for confidentiality. You were shown this earlier. And I think

- you'll -- as you'll see, there's a cover e-mail asking for a copy of a board deck, and then the rest is -- is a board deck
- 3 that we've seen earlier.
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, how was it that you came to present a board strategy deck in October of 2019?
- A. Well, I'd just been promoted to do a job to lead the company's strategy, and the board wand to hear my thoughts, and I wanted to share them.
- 10 Q. And did you prepare the presentation here to do so?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q.** Okay.
- 13 A. That's right.
- Q. So why don't we go ahead and first show up the title page
- of the -- of the slide. "The Future is Now:
- Growing Our Global Advantage." What are you referring to by
- the phase "the global advantage"?
- 18 **A.** To expand the utility of our network to make it more comprehensive for our customers.
- MR. WALL: Can we just -- page 4, to the next slide, please. And blow that up a little bit more, if you could. Nope, nope. You had it. And just blow that up so it's more visible on the screen.
- 24 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Okay. This slide, if you could just read this slide out

loud, not the speaker's notes, but slide itself?

- A. Sure. "When we make more and are better O&Ds" -- origin/destinations -- "than our competition, we create unique value for our customers. Our strategy is to offer a global network that creates increasing value to customers."
- Q. Can you explain what you were intending to convey, to the Court, with those thoughts?
  - A. Yeah. The more origin and destination markets we make for our customers, the more they like us and fly us.
- Q. Why do both bullets incorporate the idea of customer value?
  - A. Because that's the north star. This this, as much as anything, was certainly what I was behind and trying to advocate for the role and what I wanted to make really clear to everyone that because this is our north star for everything that we do.

And then it's a -- what we still do to this day.

Our -- for us to work, customers have to actually go and value this big network that we've built. And we compete by offering a ton of O&Ds that are out there. What the rest of this starts to get into is how do we go and create more utility for customers, sometimes in place where our network can't touch on its own?

Q. Okay. In the speaker's notes, there is a reference there to "expanding our domestic advantage through consolidation

and partnerships." What's the distinction that's drawn there been consolidation and partnerships?

A. Well, actually — the operative word here is actually the "and." For us, keep in mind for where we've been. We've thought of all network development as a series of consolidations. And what so much of this document is, in fact, so much of what we covered earlier too, is this thing that is partnership can create the utility for the customer of consolidation.

What we've got to figure out is how do we actually make that work? What does that look like for us? And so this is actually -- the key thing is through consolidation and partnerships, the emphasis being the "and," as much as anything.

- Q. Okay. And then the final bullet in the speaker's note has using partnership -- partnerships -- excuse me -- in consolidation to complete the "white spaces" of our global network. What's that phrase "white spaces" mean?
- A. Those are places that we don't fly to at all -- Southeast
  Asia, things like that.
  - Q. Okay. Now, if we can turn to slide 37. This is the one that's titled "Domestic Partnership Opportunities."

Okay. So can -- some parts of this that don't deal with the United States have been redacted for confidentiality, but can you just describe for the Court how

you use this slide with the board and what you were trying to convey.

A. Yeah. The slide is, frankly, trying to convey a lot of things. Its titling is very important. These are domestic partnership opportunities that are there. And the -- I'll go left to right.

In the case of Alaska, it's to just simply convey, first of all, the value that's associated with it and then to give some outline of what the risks are, primarily as a means of teasing out a conversation, which is there's real utility.

American Airlines -- we have very little overlap with Alaska Airlines up and down the West Coast. There's a lot of utility to the customer if we can go and offer that to them. We need to figure out how.

- Q. Under both the Alaska and JetBlue entries, there's a reference here to "further domestic consolidation." What does that mean, and why is it in here?
- A. Well, quite frankly, it's on there because if that wasn't on there, that would be the first question anybody on the board would ask about. I mean, we've spent 20 years doing different forms of mergers and things like that. So it's on there to say, yeah, one of the options that could be out there is domestic consolidation.

What's also -- though one can say it, though, part of what we were talking about is consolidation is an easy

thing to envision, but you've got to have money. The other person has got to want to take your money. Other people can go pay more money, and on top of that, there's got to be a regulatory process.

So some of that is addressed also in that risk column below. But if we didn't write it, it wouldn't be complete; but there are also a bunch of other things that are on here too that are all potential — they can be together, and they can be apart on how we think about this.

- Q. Since the time that you prepared this and presented it to the American Airlines board, have you made any efforts at all to try to acquire Alaska Airlines?
- **A.** None.

- Q. Have you made any efforts at all to try to acquire

  JetBlue?
- **A.** None.
  - MR. WALL: Let's turn to slide 48, please. And if we can just bump that one up again, please. There we go.
- 19 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. So this one is entitled "Partnership Approaches." And there's a set of categories of partnerships on the left-hand side. Can you please explain what this is showing and how you explained it to the board?
- A. Yeah. This is an education about our partnerships.
- Again, so much of what this deck is, is, indeed, what can

partnerships be. And this is educating the partnerships that we have today as a means of starting to talk about what they can be in the future.

- **Q.** Can you briefly explain the various kinds of partnerships that are depicted?
- A. Yeah. I'll go top bottom. Bilateral partnerships are just two airlines coming together. And to my earlier comments, it can be codeshare. That's what marketing one another's flights are. It can also involve frequent flyer reciprocity, but there's no pricing or scheduling coordination. That is a thing that adds a certain level of value.

The next thing is a multilateral alliance, which is -- essentially, this is Oneworld or SkyTeam, where a lot of different carriers engage in a series of bilateral partnerships together.

And there's some, as much as anything, back-office elegance, that you agree to have similar systems. It makes it easier to link frequent flyer programs and things like that.

The final thing is the joint business. And there, there's a means of coordinating, scheduling, pricing. It's usually — and certainly in all cases of American Airlines that we know of — combined with some form of revenue sharing or gain sharing among the airlines so people are incentivized

- 1 to go and grow.
- 2 Q. All right. Are these -- is that the type of joint
- business arrangement that is typically at issue in these ATI
- 4 applications?
- 5 A. That is what's being described here. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So those are the ones that DOT and other
- 7 regulators look at?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, it is -- is that what you do with the
- 10 Northeast Alliance?
- 11 A. It is not.
- 12 Q. How does it differ?
- 13 A. We do not coordinate pricing, and we've never made a
- joint investment decision together other than buying a couple
- of buses for JFK.
- Q. We'll get to the buss at JFK later. Thank you.
- So in -- and there's no application for antitrust
- immunity with respect to the NEA, is there?
- 19 A. There's not.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, what impact --
- THE COURT: I was wondering why you don't get them
- 22 immunity. Then you wouldn't be here.
- MR. WALL: It would be so much easier, now and in
- 24 the future.

BY MR. WALL:

- Q. What impact on competition have you observed from these joint venture-like, immunized partnerships around the world?
- A. Everyone drives competition.
- Q. Can you explain that?
- A. It changes in different forms and fashion. I can explain it in maybe a couple ways. First, the transatlantic joint ventures -- ourselves, Delta, United -- each have a transatlantic joint venture. Since the time of those joint ventures, there's probably been a greater proliferation of long-haul, low-cast carriers -- take Norwegian Airlines, premium airlines, alternative business models, different routings, such as using Canada as a transit point for going to Europe. The nature of the competition changed.

Similarly, we did a partnership with Qatar. A few years later, United did one with Emirates. Almost every response, it just changes the nature of the competition that's there.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Raja.

Now, after this October board meaning in 2019, did you thereafter go about trying to see if you could create some of these domestic partnerships?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And Alaska was the first one, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. Can you describe what the West Coast International Alliance is?
- A. Maybe the simplest way to think of it is it's a version of one of these international-style joint ventures, only instead of its demarcation point being the Atlantic ocean, it's Seattle.
- Q. It's Seattle, you said?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. It's Seattle. So it's our international -- we wanted to fly an international network from the West Coast. We've always struggled with that. Alaska wants to have an international network. They don't even known the airplanes to be able to do it.
  - And so the idea is how do we create a mutual incentive for us to work together so that we can access the connectivity of their domestic system, they can get the benefits of an international system?
- Q. So at the time that you took over as the senior vice president of strategy, did American Airlines have any kind of partnership arrangement with Alaska?
- 20 **A.** We did, but we were winding it down. It's -- it was in its final days.
- 22 **Q.** What -- what was it that you were winding down?
- 23 A. It was a codeshare and a frequent flyer program.
- Q. Did it have any revenue sharing?
- 25 A. None whatsoever.

Q. Okay. And why were you winding it down?

A. Well, frankly, for a set of reasons which I certainly no longer subscribe to, but there wasn't really a lot of incentive. It's true there wasn't a lot of incentive for these two companies to go and work together.

But there was a lot of thinking where we were trying to figure out just simply how we take more passengers going to the West Coast instead of first thinking about, like, what does the customer want, and then how should we arrange a partnership that makes so much sense since we — we're small on the West Coast. We want to fly long-haul, and they have a domestic network that can handle it.

- Q. So if this thing was winding down, why did you decide to try to reestablish some form of partnership with Alaska Airlines?
- A. Because it makes customer sense. I mean, you look at the West Coast, there's -- the -- Delta and United both have a much larger presence than us there. Delta has grown in Seattle. Even Southwest Airlines, intra-California, in many cases, is bigger than what Alaska is.

And so instead of looking at this as seeing everybody there as a competitor, it's really, hey, if we could go and work with Alaska Airlines, we can be together a much better competitor, much like what we see in the transatlantic JVs. We can put real competition on people

- 1 like United and Southwest.
- 2 Q. Could we please take a look at Defendants' Exhibit 22?
- 3 It's already been admitted into evidence. Do you recognize
- 4 this document, Mr. Raja?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And the date of the document is November 24, 2019. What
- 7 is this?
- 8 A. This -- this is my note to the -- our working team about
- 9 how to both conceive of our work with Alaska and to start to
- actually go about the modelling and analyzing of what we were
- 11 trying to do.
- 12 Q. Who are the various people to whom you sent this?
- 13 A. Sure. Henning Greiser was in charge of our international
- route planning. Don De Bona and Neil Watson both did joint
- venture analyses. And the three people on the copy line were
- all our managing directors in our alliance system at the
- 17 time.
- 18 Q. Now, at the top of the e-mail, it says, "Do not forward."
- 19 What's -- what's that about?
- 20 A. It was, frankly, about where we were culturally at the
- 21 time. The idea of -- we were winding down Alaska. People at
- 22 American didn't want to go and do Alaska, and we were all --
- 23 this group needs to conceive of this thing differently than
- 24 how we've thought of things before.
- So I didn't, frankly, want these people to go and

send this around; otherwise, the idea would never get off the ground.

- Q. So it says here, "As a reminder, the objective is to create a domestic/international West Coast network that is seamless for customers in which we are both financially incentivized to grow. Just like a JV, this should result in more new services, a more competitive network versus Delta and better choices for customers," end quote.
- A. Exactly.

- Q. Why did you say that? In other words, why were those the objectives?
  - A. Because we've realized that every time we do that, when we build a better network for customers, it works for us. As we like to say, the network is the product. What customers fundamentally buy is a more comprehensive network; and when we're able to deliver that to them, it really works.

And in these other JVs that we have, look, in the transatlantic joint venture, that's a place where we had a codeshare with BA before it; but after we had — after we started thinking of it in a JV where we could do capacity coordination and then revenue sharing, our services grew.

We were flying to places like Austin to Heathrow that we had never thought before. Our customers liked it a whole lot more. We were having more people sign up for our loyalty program. We enrolled more corporate accounts, and we

made money.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

But in other cases where we had code, we didn't. In the case of Alaska before, it wasn't like that. And so it was, look, the idea of being seamless for our customer and having a financial incentive to grow has to be the same thing in how we think about this.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Let's take a look next at Defendants' Exhibit 35, which has already been admitted. This is another one that's been redacted for confidentiality, and we'll put the redacted version up on the screen.

Do you recognize this document, sir?

- A. I do.
- Q. At least you will once it's up -- I guess you have it in your book.
- 16 A. "Our network strategy" is in front of me in this right now.
- Q. Okay. So this is a deck that is -- it is not just about the WCIA, but it references the WCIA among other
- 20 partnerships, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And did you present this deck?
- 23 **A.** I did.
- Q. Okay. Please take a look at slide 4. Now, this is very similar to something we saw earlier. And it has the

- potential domestic alliances. And I noticed that the -
  the -- on both, under the Alaska and JetBlue columns, the

  phrase about "further domestic consolidations" is no longer

  there, right?
  - A. Correct.

- $\mathbf{Q}$ . Why not?
- A. Because our thinking had evolved. You know, before, we were trying to think about how could a partnership create -
  I lost it on the screen -- how could it create that kind of utility. And at this point, we were starting to zero in on something where a partnership can go produce that -- that kind of benefit.
- Q. All right. Let's take a look at slide 5, if you could.

  And this is a description of what's called the "Alaska partnership construct," right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.

20

21

22

- Q. Can you please just walk us through each of the steps in the slide and explain the relationship that they have to what's labeled as customer benefit on the right-hand side?
  - A. Yeah. Absolutely. The idea that we were going through with Alaska was, okay, how do we envision this thing differently, knowing that we couldn't -- this was -- build it all at once.
- And so the first step was -- all right. We call it "rehabbing the relationship." Let's start doing codesharing.

Let's make sure the economics make sense, but as much as anything, design it in such a way where let's think, how do we make the customer have the benefits of a bigger network? And if that's our north star and not how we go and split the money, what does that turn into?

Step two is then create the -- what would later be the West Coast International Alliance. And this idea is, all right, we take AA international flights into the major cities in the West Coast. Somehow we put in the Alaska domestic flights. We share the revenue so that they're incentivized to go help our long hauls. we're incentivized to go help their domestic system.

And then step three of it is, okay, now the real -once you have that, you have a compelling customer network on
the West Coast, international, domestic, like that becomes
the best West Coast network.

So, now, how do we go and start thinking about frequent flyer benefits, corporate dealing, things like that, when we've actually got a good product?

- **Q.** Okay. Why revenue sharing? Why do you have to have revenue sharing to make that particular partner construct work?
- 23 A. So that our incentives get aligned.
  - Q. Right. Explain that.
  - A. Well, look, without something like a revenue share in

West Coast international, our flights are going, but they have no real -- like, they want to make their domestic flights work, but that doesn't necessarily mean they'll make the international flights work.

So it could lead to simple things where, you know, you'll time flights out of Seattle for people who go to and from Seattle, not people connecting to China or Asia or things like that. But when they have a financial incentive, they — like, Alaska spends as much time, and you can see it in their schedules, thinking about how they go and connect to our Seattle-Heathrow flight as they connect into their own Seattle hub.

Similarly for us, we want to go and make sure that the West Coast network is strong. So, like, we've deployed more resources in our sales team there, more people signing up for the credit card program, the frequent flyer program, because we both have the incentive to work together to get a customer away from Delta/United.

- Q. Now, the point has been made by the plaintiffs that there is no revenue sharing on domestic overlap routes in the West Coast International Alliance. Are there any overlap routes at all in the West Coast?
- A. Well, we don't fly to most of those places. I mean,
  DFW-Seattle, Phoenix-Seattle. There's not that many routes
  that overlap.

- Q. Right. And some of the overlaps there might be are subject to a consent decree?
- 3 A. Indeed.
- 4 **Q.** From a prior merger?
- A. From Alaska's prior merger, correct. There's a few routes that overlap, for example, out of Los Angeles, but we're not allowed to partner on them.
- Q. Okay. Now, the two columns here, "Key Features" and then
  "Customer Benefit," are those supposed to be linked in
  somehow -- somehow?
- 11 A. Absolutely.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And what is the linkage, as you see it, between revenue sharing and consumer benefit?
  - A. Well, look, the whole idea is the more in which we share, the more we create a more comprehensive network for our customers. It isn't to be pedantic about it or anything, but it is as simple as it sounds. Our system is best when we offer more O&Ds for customers because they value it. They can get to more places. And so the way that we do that financially with one another is through revenue sharing.
  - Q. Thank you, sir.
  - Is the West Coast International Alliance in operation today?
- 24 A. It is. Thriving.
- 25 **Q.** How is it going? How is it going?

A. Great.

- **Q.** Can you elaborate?
- A. Yeah, absolutely. In fact, it's -- it's surprised me in very positive ways. Even though COVID happened -- we originally had a plan to be able to fly to Bangalore, a city in Asia, and London Heathrow. COVID changed a lot of those plans.
  - But we still have the Heathrow route. Alaska is now a 35 percent of our Seattle Heathrow on boards, and, you know, for -- the benefit of people here, you know, in our system, a long-haul flight is negative 5 to 5 percent fully allocated margins. So --
  - Q. Say that again, please?
- A. A long-haul flight is negative 5 to 5 percent fully allocated margins.
- 16 Q. So negative 5 to positive 5?
  - A. Positive 5. So these guys are -- the last time I looked at it, 34½ percent of our on boards on Seattle-Heathrow -- these guys, Alaska -- so that flight wouldn't exist without -- without Alaska.

But we've also seen its benefits in domestic, like, in cities like Austin. By doing things, like, thinking about it through the lens of how do we go create, like, the biggest consumer product that we can, it's opened our eyes to a lot of different things.

The only city -- like, we expanded codeshare -
I'll elaborate on that, having said it. So one of the things
we did early on is we codeshared on Austin to Alaska's West
Coast services and that might not sound like a very big deal,
but for American Airlines, in the traditional way of
thinking, that's huge, because historically Austin to DFW is
the largest flow markets in -- in the DFW hub and people fly
that and they connect on to Asia Pacific.

And historically, we never codeshared with Alaska on Austin to the West Coast because we were worried that happen people would come off of Austin to DFW to Asia and fly Austin to Seattle and now that they were in one world, on another carrier to Asia, but we put our code on all of those.

The four routes that we have are -- or four of our five biggest codeshares markets on Alaska but what we also found was then a second order benefit which was huge, too, because when we did that, indeed, they came off of Austin to DFW.

But we were able to go and fill Austin to DFW with other customers. Austin to DFW is still one of our most profitable markets out of Austin and Dallas. So in effect, we grew DFW, without having to build another gate or do anything in an infrastructure challenge business.

The third order benefit was it got so good that, for the first time, certainly in the last 10, 15 years that

```
I've been around, we started flying point-to-point flights
 1
     out of Austin. So we fly Austin-Memphis, Austin-Vale,
 2
     Austin-Nashville, Austin-Costa Rica. We're the largest -- we
     market the largest airline network in Austin, Texas, today.
 4
     And that was all the through that.
 5
               Like, when we started the WCIA, if you had told me
 6
     that we would be doing that, I wouldn't have believed it, but
 7
     we see more opportunities for that.
 8
     Q. Thank you, Mr. Raja.
 9
               THE COURT: Is that -- is it WCIA?
10
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
11
               THE COURT: How much of that is Austin is a
12
13
     different place today than it was 15 years ago?
               THE WITNESS: Oh, a lot of it is. But that's the
14
     thing; there's more and more of those cities that are out
15
     there and without saying --
16
               THE COURT: So the market is changing, and you're
17
18
     changing with it?
               THE WITNESS: Absolutely. And for us, right -- so
19
     take a hub like DFW; like, infrastructure for us is a real
20
     thing. We didn't actually shove another flight into DFW in
21
     the peak times. We can go and build more facility there;
22
23
     well, that facility won't come online until 2027, or
     something like that, if we do it.
24
               So right now there's a real opportunity. We can't
25
```

```
go and grow, but through things like this, it's opening our
1
 2
     eyes to different ways where we can go and do growth.
 3
               So we -- we say it colloquially that partnerships
 4
     mean growth for us, because it always starts -- it's a
     network business. And we can always find a way, once we
 5
     create more connections, to go and add more flights.
               THE COURT: So those Austin to Seattle long-haul
 7
     flights are people connecting in Seattle to your flights
 8
 9
     overseas?
               THE WITNESS: Or in some cases, to other Oneworld
10
11
     partners. So a lot of Austin-Seattle is people just flying
     Austin-Seattle. In some cases, it's people flying Austin to
12
13
     Seattle on to Seoul.
14
               THE COURT: In another codesharing --
               THE WITNESS: On another codeshare to Tokyo-Narita,
15
     to one of those plays, too, which is fine because they're all
16
     part of the Oneworld alliance.
17
18
               And what's happened to us, is those customers
     have all become --
19
20
               THE COURT: You want them in the ecosystem.
               THE WITNESS: Correct. They all join our frequent
21
     flyer program. And what we find is once you join our
22
23
     frequent flyer program, our relationship with you changes
     materially.
24
25
               So -- you know, so this -- and the NEA, too, for
```

that matter, has opened our eyes to different ways of
thinking about it. But that's where I say we're strident in
this belief. And I know it's super simple that if you give
people a bigger network, they have a reason to come fly you.

BY MR. WALL:

Q. Thank you, sir.

Let's switch over to the Northeast. So as sort of a transition, did the arrangement that you were able to work out with Alaska have any influence on your thinking about what you might be able to do in the Northeast?

11 A. Very much so.

- Q. So let's talk about how they came about. You -- what was your role in the formation of the NEA?
  - A. I started to conceive of it. I -- I started to pitch the idea to Scott Laurence, and he developed it from there. So I'll call it one of its progenitors and then its lead negotiators later on.
  - Q. And Scott Laurence was at JetBlue at that time?
- 19 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about New York first. The Court has already heard quite a bit of testimony about the competitive conditions in New York, so I want to truncate this. And I would just ask you to very briefly summarize your perspective from this from this late 2019/early 2020 pre-COVID perspective about the competitive environment in

New York and American's place in it.

A. Sure. I'll touch on some of the things that came up earlier, of course, but for us in New York, I mean, the way I described it in times past is we were too small to succeed and too big to exit it. Because we were 300 departures, 400 departures smaller than United at Newark. We're more than a 100 departures smaller than each of JetBlue and Delta at Kennedy, and let's call it 150-ish flights smaller than Delta at LaGuardia. So we were just shifting for — at least my almost 20 years at the company, 18 years at the company, from one marginal New York strategy to the other. And I would describe none of them as getting us better. They were just sort of getting by, whether it was upgauge out of the RJs or focusing on places to New York.

Now, New York -- I say all of this because it begs the very obvious statement: Well, why don't you just go grow in New York? We would love to be able to go grow in New York. The reality is it's limited. The biggest limitation, its critical path, is slots. You just can't get any more slots.

Newark, too, it's not easy to go get any more gates. There's -- not very many people have been able to go and operate out of Newark for that reason, either.

So we realized that until we can do something different and think about this problem differently, we

couldn't credibly compete with Delta and United. And frankly, Delta and United didn't have to work too hard to compete with us.

But we realized we weren't alone. JetBlue had a version of the same problem.

- Q. So we'll get to that in a second, but there's just one thing I just need to cover, is the suggestion has been made in this case that you don't actually compete with the New York flights that United Airlines offers from Newark. Is that true?
- **A.** Absolutely -- that's false. We absolutely compete with
  12 United Airlines at Newark.
- Q. Why do you say that?

- A. If they lower a price, we match their price and they compete with us, too. It's just we're at much less level of scale, but we compete for corporate accounts. We compete for frequent fliers. We compete for on pricing. We compete for you know, even for gates at Newark Airport isn't easy to get ahold on to. We compete with them in every possible way we can at Newark.
- Q. So how were United and Delta able to achieve the larger scale that they had at Newark?
- A. The strange history of the airline business, which isn't to be flippant in my answer, but it's that through the legacy of consolidation mergers, things like that, United ended up

- with a massive hub in Newark. In the post 9/11 era, Delta was able to grow at a time when New York was kind of lightly slotted.
  - Q. And what's the significance of growing when it was-- what did you say?
- A. It was -- after.

5

7

12

13

14

15

- Q. When it was lightly slotted?
- A. Yeah, after 9/11 happened, there wasn't -- say a lot of flight capacity going into New York, and I'll call about the 2005 era, both JetBlue and Delta grew. American Airlines did not.
  - They added more slots in JFK. Later on Delta Air Lines and US Airways group did a transaction, and Delta got a lot bigger in LaGuardia. The US Airways group got a lot bigger in DCA, and now here we are, the products of all of that.
- Q. Okay. There's been some -- some testimony already in this case about an airline industry concept called "relevance." Are you familiar with that?
- 20 **A.** Sure.
- 21 Q. Can you give us your perspective on what that means?
- A. Yeah. I would -- I guess I would say it pretty simply,
  that you can have the very best product in New York to
  Las Angeles, but if you can't fly somebody to Toronto or
- 25 Paris or Raleigh, at some point, they stop flying you to

- 1 Los Angeles. And that's what we lacked in New York, right?
- 2 Q. And why didn't you just become more relevant?
- 3 A. We couldn't.
- **Q.** Why?

- 5 A. Well, the only way to get the slots were from the others.
- 6 We couldn't go and do that. We couldn't organically grow.
- We've done that in places like DFW or Miami or Phoenix, but we just simply can't do that in New York.
- 9 Q. Wouldn't it be a solution to just fly bigger planes than you fly?
  - A. Well, bigger planes don't expand our relevance, right? For example, this was what I was mentioning earlier in northwest Arkansas. We can put it all on 75-seat airplanes and fly it three times a day. That's great. That means something else is not going to fly as much. It's not going to fly as competitively as the Delta Air Lines network. So bigger airplanes, yeah, you can have more seats on a given

market, but you're not adding more markets.

When we -- through these decks, if we use this word "comprehensiveness," it really means, on the one hand, breadth -- I'm sorry -- being able to fly to more places, and depth, having a lot of different -- a service pattern, a number of flights within a market. Relevance or comprehensiveness is those two things, and we lack that in New York.

Q. Okay. Sir.

THE COURT: When you say -- one question about this.

MR. WALL: Yeah.

THE COURT: When you say that, you know, somebody in New York -- you don't fly, say, New York to Toronto, and they fly New York to LA and New York to Toronto, and eventually they give up on you on New York to LA. Is that because -- why is that? Or does that depend on the kind of customer they are?

THE WITNESS: Well, it can depend on the type of customer they are, but the customers whom we're most after — and I'm talking about, like, the actual — the flying human, not the transaction — is somebody who is a power traveler. They fly us for business. They fly us for leisure. They join our loyalty program. They want to —

Because once you join our loyalty program, it's an indication that you want to travel. You're more likely to buy business class when you fly to Rome. You're more likely to want to earn miles or spend on our credit card, buy access to our lounges and things like that.

So we consciously have a high-end product tailored to a power user of it. So if we can't offer more product more network --

THE COURT: And speaking of the frequent flyer,

they're going to skip you for LA? 1 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2 3 THE COURT: The frequent -- is -- so not people in your program, but people who fly a lot, who will then 4 become --5 THE WITNESS: Exactly. That's exactly the right way to think of it. And so for that reason, like what we 7 found in New York was --8 9 THE COURT: But the New York to LA market must be filed with a lot of people besides -- I mean, you have more 10 11 nonbusiness class seats than business class seats. THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Now, this is a concept 12 that we call being a "spill carrier." So earlier when Dan 13 14 was asking about these LCC markets, that O&D grouping, there's a ton of markets, especially in New York, which are 15 really big. 16 And if you fly seven times a day from New York to 17 Raleigh-Durham, you capture a lot of those higher end 18 19 customers, because a lot of those higher end customers realize that I can get to the airport early. I want to take 20 the five o'clock, not the seven o'clock. But the spill 21 carriers want to disproportionately fly at 8 a.m. or 6 p.m. 22 because that's when all the demand is. 23 And, really, it's -- you know, if Delta Air Lines 24 25 has seven of those flights, they still only have 150 seats on

the 6 o'clock, and so if you fly at the 6 o'clock, you can 1 2 capture whatever is left over. 3 Well, what's left over is not the customer you just 4 described, a frequent traveler, but a more marginal traveler. Somebody who is doing it once a year, twice a year. And for 5 us, like, a huge amount of the success and profitability of our company is attracting the power user of our product, less 7 so the marginal user of our product. 8 9 So that's why relevance matters so much. And indeed in New York, we find this, you'll see it in the 10 documents, like, we would -- no matter how much we might go 11 and give more genius frequent flyer benefits, we've spent 12 13 billions on lounges and on products and on things like that, 14 nothing made up for the network deficiency. So . . . THE COURT: For those kind of customers. 15 THE WITNESS: For those -- for that target 16 17 customer. THE COURT: All right. 18 19 THE WITNESS: And for us, I mean, so much of our cost structure, everything, is built around that. 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. Thank you. 21 Sure. 22 MR. WALL: Thank you. BY MR. WALL: 23 Okay. Let's -- hold on just one second here. 24 Q. 25 Okay. I'm just going to ask you this, this same

basic question now with respect to Boston, because, again, by Friday, we've heard a lot about it. But can you just give us sort of a succinct view of your perspective about the Boston competitive landscape and American's position in it?

A. Certainly. And I'll do it in that order.

Look, in Boston the critical path is not slots; it's gates. And in Boston it's uniquely impacted because when you think about the airport, you can't simply build more gates. That makes it a really unique thing.

So it's a really big market. Its gate constraints effectively act as form of slot constrain. The only difference is there's a mechanism where if you're not -- a redistribution mechanism if you don't use it enough.

Which brings me to our perspective on this. What you see and what you saw on all of those documents and my inquisitions about Boston or my, you know, colorful language about describing it, is that I've seen this movie before in New York. The way New York went about was in the post-9/11 era, American Airlines didn't grow in New York at the rates competitors did, and it got left behind. And it got left behind to a point where it was condemned to its position, to a weak position, because it didn't keep up with the growth that was there.

And in Boston, I saw the same thing happening. Like, we were losing gates. Delta was getting the gates.

And Delta and JetBlue were continuing to grow there, while we were continuing to lose gates. So at a very minimum, it was defensive. We have to go -- at the time, we were thinking -- and we have to go and be -- hold on to what we've got. We need to figure out how we can get ahead.

But, you know, none of my responses was I thrilled with the idea of whatever it was, 120 or 140 flights in Boston, because, really, all that was going to start to get us to was the same marginal spot we were in in LaGuardia; that what we really needed to think about is, okay, much like the WCIA, how do we approach this in a way where we have a real relevance, a broad and deep network for customers both in New York and Boston? And that's how we go and compete.

- Q. So there's been some testimony about Delta in Boston and having declared it first a focus city and then a hub. How did that affect your perspective on Boston?
- A. For me, it was seeing the past repeat itself. That's that is exactly what happened in New York. In 2005 I remember them doing that. And they grew they added a ton of markets, and American didn't keep pace. We added Atlanta and Minneapolis to LaGuardia, and it wasn't enough. And the more Delta was calling it a hub and all of those things, it was putting pressure on us.

But what I also noticed is it puts pressure on JetBlue. Because what Delta was also doing, much like what

they did in Seattle with Alaska, is they were flying international. So for that frequent traveler, they are actually becoming more relevant with them. They are consciously going and flying the biggest markets that were there. So if you are a frequent traveler in Boston, they were getting to a place where it's better to be on Delta, in many cases, than to be on JetBlue.

Q. Thank you, sir.

So we've heard some testimony that talks about the NEA started serving the January and February 2020 time frame, right?

12 A. Correct.

- Q. And as we can all remember, it was approximately

  March 2020 that the COVID-19 pandemic really started hitting

  hard, right?
- 16 A. That's right.
  - Q. At a high level, can you describe how the confluence of those two events, the discussions about the NEA and then the spread of COVID-19, affected your thinking about the network strategy and whether you wanted to do a deal with JetBlue?
  - A. Sure. Well, we were already, as you mentioned, talking about the NEA, and both sides were interested in it.

    COVID-19 probably accelerated it a lot. Because I think what -- maybe what everybody in the industry recognized, but certainly what we recognized at American is, you know, when

- you wake up and suddenly you've lost 90 percent of your revenue, but you have 100 percent of your expenses, you've got to think differently, like, that day.
  - Q. Is that what happened?
- A. Yeah. That's -- in COVID, we lost 90 percent of our passenger revenue. We kept all of our expenses, and what we needed to be able to do was -- you know, things like the NEA took on a different kind of interest for us. We wanted to make sure that we could still -- like, we were still interested in how do we go and create a broad and deep customer network, but it wasn't just going to be doing it the way we already did it okay.
  - Q. Okay, sir. Let's take a look at what's been previously marked as Defendants' Exhibit 7. It's in evidence. It's also redacted for confidentiality. And this is a network update with a date of 24 March 2020. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

2

4

13

14

15

16

- 18 Q. And so something that you put together?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And what is the -- you know, given the timing there, what's the purpose of this particular network update?
- A. It's, quite frankly, to process where we were. I mean,
  the 24th of March was a pretty bleak time, maybe for the
  world all over, but uniquely bleak when you work at a
  passenger airline. And so we were trying to think about how

do we go and run the network to, frankly, keep the company alive.

- Q. So turn to the fifth page, if you could. There's a —
  there's a slide here that's called "The New American." And
  it has various elements in the table. But the one that I
  want to focus on is the second row that begins with
  "leverage." Right? Could you just read that aloud and
  explain to the Court what you were thinking about the value
  of synthetic hubs in this particular environment?
- A. Yes. Leverage partnerships to create synthetic hubs," what we were thinking about is for where we were, we were going to probably have to shrink. Like, we couldn't have 100 percent of our expense base if revenues were going to be smaller and smaller for a long period of time. So it really made us start thinking, like, how can we use markets use partners in markets to help provide the same thing with frankly with less of the historical approach that we would have otherwise had, you know?

So what it led to was exactly what it said in the key actions, right? You know, it made us want to think about we've got to go really execute in Alaska and then in the case of JetBlue, there's a partnership that we're really going to need to think about here.

**Q.** So how did developing a partnership with JetBlue play into that strategy?

- A. Well, because we knew that, absent that, I mean, New York was suddenly an unsustainable place for us. Boston, too. You know, if we were -- we knew it was going to be a big air travel market, but we couldn't be a marginal player in places. Like, we have to have real consumer relevance wherever we operate.
- Q. Thank you, sir.

So -- so how did you go about evaluating the opportunity of a partnership with JetBlue?

- A. We con instructed a clean team.
- Q. Can you explain what that means?
  - A. Yeah. Look, the challenge in AA/JetBlue is the -conceptually, both of us are smaller than Delta and United
    because of the nature of slots and real estate and things
    like that. You have to figure out how you actually put the
    schedules of these airlines together.

So we had the idea that the only way a partnership could really work is if there was some form of organizing our capacity so that we can, you know, create schedule bounds, depth, so we can create international connectivity and things like that.

So the idea was create a clean team, outside the scope of typical network planning, that could go and build a New York. Let's see if something can work and if something can work, okay, we can progress it, and if it doesn't, then

- 1 that's an answer, too.
- 2 Q. When you say build it, you mean create a schedule?
- A. Create a schedule.
- 4 Q. Okay. Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 278, which is
- 5 entitled "Project Plan." And are you familiar with that
- 6 document?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Let's turn to slide 6. And the title is "our plan is to
- 9 understand market dynamics and to define two to three
- scenarios with the smallest possible team while setting up B6
- 11 | clean room." What does that mean?
- 12 A. What we wanted to do is be very definitive about putting
- together a schedule, identify a couple of ways it could
- credibly work, and do it in a way where the people involved
- are not otherwise touching the day-to-day ops of the
- 16 business.
- Q. And it is the third row -- the row's numbered 3, develop
- potential scenarios. That's describing different potential
- 19 implementations, is it?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. And then -- and ten there's -- what's under 4,
- 22 | "evaluate scenarios"?
- 23 A. It's evaluating the outputs of those three scenarios
- above in a couple of different ways. This market share to
- 25 | QSI gap closure is the idea that -- like, we have a lot of

modelling where we can kind of predict based on how network relevance changes, which is something we call "QSI," a quality and service index, what it does to market share.

And what we find is that the greater your network relevance grows, it's almost like an S curve effect of market share. You're able to collect more of it. This is exactly the example I was making of if we only fly JFK-LA and they fly a lot more, we get less market share. So the first thing is, if we do this — any of these scenarios, do we actually improve on that metric?

The second is, okay. As we do it, are we generating more revenue per equivalent seat kilometer?

Which, for all intents and purposes, is the same thing as an available seat mile.

And then last but not least, this raven model runs is effectively our P&L forecaster. It -- once you put a schedule together, it can go and say are you generating more revenue with it than -- in absolute than what came forth.

- Q. Raven is a forecasting tool?
- A. It is.

- 21 Q. And it's proprietary to American Airlines?
- **A.** It is.
- 23 Q. Okay. So let's put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 279.
  - THE COURT: These are all people who are on this team who are American Airlines employees?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Or --1 THE COURT: Or external consultants. 2 THE WITNESS: No. They're all American Airlines 3 employees, but they're outside of the day-to-day workings of 4 scheduling. 5 THE COURT: No JetBlue people yet? 6 THE WITNESS: Not yet. Not named on that sheet. 7 BY MR. WALL: 8 There is a JetBlue component to the clean team, though, 9 right? 10 11 A. Correct, but just the ones named on that sheet were all of our AA employees. 12 13 Q. Right. Okay. So now what we have here is Plaintiffs' 14 Exhibit 279. Do you recognize that? 15 16 Α. Yes. Okay. And the -- this is a draft of a document. 17 this a draft for you? 18 19 Α. I think so. If it was an overview and status update, it probably was, but my guess is it was probably used --20 probably most prominently with me. Maybe one or two others, 21 22 too. 23 Q. Okay. So let's look at slide 2 and why don't you just take us through slide 2 and what's being shown here. 24

A. Yes. This is -- this is effectively the project charter

of what we called Project Garland, which was what later turned into the NEA. How do we go — much like we describe in that Alaska e-mail, how do we go and create a real customer proposition that can go and compete, one that drives connectivity that makes a bigger thing and then it charters the approach of the clean team and defines what their deliverable is.

Q. Okay. Thank you, sir.

Under the "Goals," the first thing that is mentioned there is, "Addresses AA/B6 incomplete customer proposition relative to DL/UA in NYC." What's does that mean?

- A. This is exactly the market relevance point that I have been speaking to; that what we want to be able to do is, when you put it together, be able to cover the largest markets with a competitive schedule pattern, similar number of frequencies, and be able to potentially even open new markets that play to the strengths of these two respective carriers.
- Q. Okay. Now, if we go forward later in this deck -- let's pull up slide 9. Are you familiar with this particular slide?
- A. Very much so.
- Q. Why don't you describe what's going on in this slide and what significance it had to you when it was presented to you.
  - A. Well, look, I jokingly called it the eureka slide at the

time. I'll take it apart here.

The -- what this shows is what the -- an abstract. It shows the statistics of what a combined and optimized AA plus JetBlue looks like relative to its competitors.

Now, you see -- I'll read it kind of right to left in New York City. So there's AA. That's basically us in the baseline for this. JetBlue, the baseline in this. AA plus JetBlue is if you just did, effectively, codesharing, you put it all together. And then AA plus JetBlue optimized is the output of the clean team.

Now, on the surface of it, it may even kind of look similar at first, but in AA/JetBlue, you add 13 more nonstop markets. You have more daily seats. You have better coverage. But what really gets interesting in this top thing is that you have materially more long-haul international markets which is just — and again, being able to do something like that is huge.

Then where it gets really interesting — and this was the theory we had, that these — this team proved out, is this bottom chart on the left. It says, "New patterns provide optimal customer offering." Now, before, this is the Austin schedule out of New York. And so here what you see is exactly what — kind of what the judge was asking about before.

So United and Delta almost have, like, this hourly

pattern. If you're -- if you're a traveler that travels a lot and travels a lot there, you're flying one of those two guys. Note that JetBlue and American flights are stacked up on top of one another. That evening trip is the peak time trip. That JetBlue trip in the morning is there to help go make the schedule rotation go. But you see from that, we're just a spill carrier in this market.

Now when we're able to go and optimize the slot portfolio together, look at that. I still like looking at this thing. But we now have a competitive schedule there. We didn't even have that before.

And then you go look at the right. And, I mean, things like that Amsterdam and Brussels, and, like, Geneva, Tel-Aviv, Johannesburg, American Airlines — it never dared to even dream about market like Johannesburg or Tel-Aviv out of New York before because they were so heavily New York originating.

So I jokingly call it the eureka slide, but it is — this thing together now creates something which is competitive with Delta and United. Like this is an incredible New York to go and fly on.

And as I recall, too, in the slides leading up to it, it shows that when you create that kind of value, I mean, it was a really compelling financial thing for us, too.

Q. Okay. After receiving this, did you make a

- recommendation to management about whether it should go forward with the NEA?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what was that recommendation?
- 5 **A.** Do it.
- Q. And, now, the suggestion has been made by the plaintiffs in this case that you could have accomplished these kinds of benefits with just a regular codesharing relationship. Is that true?
- A. By no means. That's what the gray is. In fact, I mean, the gray is the Austin-before thing. It doesn't really go and add very much value to anybody by doing that.
  - In fact, we valued -- the difference between code and the reoptimize thing was -- I mean, it was an order of magnitude difference.
  - Q. Let's go back to slide 6 very briefly. So you had considered the codesharing option as part of this process?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

14

15

16

- 19 Q. And is this the slide that's referencing that?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 **Q.** And what was your conclusion?
- A. Though interesting, it doesn't really produce a ton of value. And more than anything, it's just -- it doesn't do anything if you're a customer. Like, look at -- look at, you know, Austin. Look at Raleigh. It's -- it's still not at a

- place where you'd actually go and fly. If you haven't -- the
- charter of this thing was, just like the West Coast alliance,
- 3 was make something which is competitive with Delta and
- 4 United. A customer should -- one of those high, like,
- 5 frequently flying customers should want to fly on us. It
- 6 doesn't address that.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let's move on to negotiating the NEA. You were
- 8 heavily involved in those negotiations?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. You signed the NEA agreements for American Airlines?
- 11 A. I did, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let's talk about these, these agreements. I'm
- going to put up here first what is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 001A,
- which is a redacted version of the NEA agreement.
- Okay. So the -- the NEA agreement is sort
- of the umbrella agreement that collects all of the different
- components of the other agreement, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. So let's just talk about a couple of the
- 20 particulars of this one. Let's look at page 3 and Section 3,
- "Operation of the NEA," and in particular, Section 3.1,
- "Network Growth and Consultation." Okay?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. We'll put that up.
- MR. WALL: I think if you can -- if you have a way

- of capturing the next page, that would be helpful because it spills over.
- 3 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. While he's doing that, maybe you can just read

  Section 3.1.1 aloud for us?
- A. "The parties agree to use commercially reasonably efforts to coordinate the NEA services, particularly with regard to codeshared flights, in order to minimize connecting passenger waiting time and to maximize passenger convenience and service, subject to the parties' prospective operational constraints and commercial considerations."
- 12 O. That's fine for now.
  - So can you explain to the Court what you understand that -- to commit the parties to do with -- with respect to setting schedules?
- A. Make the best airline network for customers and get them off of Delta.
- Q. Are you -- are there other elements of capacity planning at airlines beyond just setting schedules in the way that it's described here?
- 21 **A.** Oh, sure.

14

- 22 **Q.** Do you coordinate fleet plans under the NEA?
- 23 A. We do not.
- Q. Do you coordinate what your overall industry-wide capacity is over --

A. Absolutely not.

MS. SWEENEY: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.

BY MR. WALL:

Q. Okay. Let's just go on further in Section 3.1.1, it says, "Notwithstanding this Article 3 or Article 4, each party will continue to make independent decisions regarding pricing, capacity, and network management for its scheduled passenger services."

What do you understand that to mean?

- A. That the parties are free to go and price as they see fit to determine their maintenance routings, go, you know, fly a route to Timbuktu if they so choose to do it. They're what we do is we just optimize our planning and scheduling in New York for the benefit of those customers —
- 16 Q. And when you --
- **A.** -- in Boston.
- Q. And when you get recommendations from the joint planning teams that are envisioned here, is American Airlines bound to accept those --
- **A.** No.
- **Q.** -- recommendations?
- 23 A. We are not.
- Q. Is it any different than whether you are bound to accept the network planning recommendations of your own internal

planning teams?

- A. No, it is not. And those recommendations aren't always accepted.
- Q. Let's just put up -- and I'll ask to direct your attention to the -- page 1, recital five of the NEA agreement, which reads, "Both parties acknowledge and agree that each will retain full control over all aspects of their respective businesses, including setting pricing for their services and making decisions regarding their capacity and their route networks."

Do you see that?

- **A.** I do.
- Q. Why is that there?
  - A. To make it very clear to everybody that we are still two independent airlines. We're coming together just to serve a very particular purpose in the NEA markets.
    - Q. Let's put up Sections 3.3 and 3.4 that are on page -- pages 4 and 5 of the NEA agreement.

So Section 3.3 is entitled "Co-Location Facilities and Other Operational Efficiencies." And it says, "The parties will consider opportunities to better utilize assets for the NEA at the NEA Airports and other facilities to obtain operational efficiencies or other benefits of the NEA and for passengers using services including in the NEA, including, perhaps, having co-located facilities at agreed

airports."

There's a fair amount of airport jargon in that. Can you explain what your understanding of that is?

A. Yeah. It's simply that, in all of these airports, there could be a lot of benefits to both of us to use gates more, to make it more convenient for customers to be able to connect from one end of Boston to the other.

This was what -- this was the sentiment that led to things like having a bus between their T5 in Kennedy and our T8, which is behind security and is the fastest way to connect in New York. Similarly in Boston, we made --

THE COURT: As compared to any possible connection in New York?

THE WITNESS: At least compared to Delta. It's -the fastest connection is actually American Airlines T8 to
T8. Not to quibble.

BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Well, that's come a couple of times, so why don't we just pause and you can tell the story of the bus. What bus are you talking about?
- A. So the -- one of the major challenges in JFK is, though it's called one airport, in some ways, it's almost like four or five different ones that are -- that are based around an airfield. And for us, we own the T8 facility. JetBlue is in T5. Delta is in varying T2 to T4. The challenge with T5 and

T8 is they're not connected. They're separated. There's 1 2 open space between the two of them. 3 So the -- prior to this bus, the only way to actually connect from T5 to T8 would be to leave security and 4 go through it. So what we did is we actually made a bus that 5 goes back and forth from these two terminals and, at a peak time, could run every 15 minutes or so. 7 And that is -- that facilitates connections so 8 that, if you arrive on a JetBlue flight, you can take the bus 9 over and connect to American Airlines without having to break 10 11 security. And that's a faster thing than actually if you have to do the same thing as a T2 domestic arrival to a T4 12 13 international arrival, which is what Delta did. 14 And so we -- something that maybe I'm more proud of than most. We spent lot of time timing all that stuff to 15 make sure that it's actually faster. 16 MR. WALL: Your Honor, you'll actually see a movie 17 about the bus. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I'm proud of this bus. MR. WALL: Let's go on to the next section --20 THE COURT: TSA on the bus? 21 I'm sorry. 22 THE WITNESS: 23 THE COURT: Is TSA on the bus? THE WITNESS: 24 TSA? 25 THE COURT: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: No, because you're clearing in the 1 one or the other. So you can clear --2 3 THE COURT: In between it's just an American or JetBlue bus driver and --4 5 THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. Exactly. Yeah. That's right. BY MR. WALL: 7 Q. Okay. So let's do Section 3.4, please. Put that up there. And this is slot usage and maintenance, about which we've heard a lot. 10 11 So can you just describe for us very briefly how this part of the --12 13 THE COURT: Just so you all know, there's going to 14 be an announcement in a minute, because the Zoom went off and whenever we reconnect to the Zoom, there's like an 15 announcement that comes out through the system. So don't be 16 disturbed by that. You can keep going. Go ahead. 17 18 MR. WALL: Okay. Hi, you all. 19 BY MR. WALL: So okay. Slot usage and maintenance, we've heard a lot 20 about the slot terms of this. Can you just describe at a 21 high level how the NEA results in slot sharing? 22 23 A. Yeah. The slots are effectively pooled. The idea is build a schedule so that we have the right kind of schedule 24 patterns that are there, and so effectively, we just transfer 25

- slots back and forth for the purposes of being used in the NEA, but each party still owns its slots.
- Q. And there is -- there's a reference in Section 3.4.1 to
- 4 the terms and conditions that are set forth in Appendix C.
- 5 That's just a more formalized slot lease agreement?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, does -- does JetBlue pay any cash remuneration for the slots that it uses under the NEA?
- 9 A. None.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 10 Q. How then are you compensated?
- 11 A. We're not compensated.
- THE COURT: So when they lease a slot, when they
  get a slot from you, there's a lease agreement, but no
  payment?
  - THE WITNESS: Correct, there's no payment, because the idea is that we -- we don't want to create the inefficiency that leasing slots is going to go and compromise that schedule to Austin, right? The idea is to make the schedule to Austin that way.

And the way we all get paid is that we generate more revenue into the pool, and we have mechanisms to distribute that. That's the value, not a slot deal.

- 23 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Right. In that regard, let's pick up, with some trepidation, the subject of revenue sharing. That is spelled

out in the separate agreement that's called the MGIA, right?

A. True.

Q. That's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1B, and we'll put up the redacted version of that one.

Now, this is a long and detailed agreement, some 30 pages long. Can you just tell the Court how you came up with the concepts and how it ultimately turned into what we have here in the MGIA?

- A. Yeah, sure. They're based out of things that we do in international joint ventures. They're designed -- great common incentivizes us for us to be able to grow and make the right capacity choices.
- Q. Okay. So was it a particular joint business or just a composite? What's the providence of this?
  - A. Look, all of our joint businesses have some form of a revenue share that are in them. And we find two things, right? The capacity coordination is necessary but not sufficient to create the values you saw on that JFK Austin. The next thing is people have to have a financial incentive to do it because, again, that in that case, I forget what it was the 7 p.m. time slot is the peak time slot.

Well, in order to create the pattern we're competitive they're throughout the day, someone's got to fly out at 2:00. Well, if you don't have an incentive to go fly at 2:00, you're actually deoptimizing your own metal to do

it.

So the idea behind revenue share is it relaxes that, right? Now, everybody flies the best schedule. AA and JetBlue work together to fly the best schedule there. And what the revenue-sharing agreement does is it effectively means that we pool all the revenue, and then we redistribute in an equitable way.

- Q. Now, let's talk a little bit about the specifics of that.

  When -- when you talk about sharing the revenue, the MGIA makes a distinction between baselines and incremental measures of revenue and growth, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Can you explain to the Court kind of just generally how that works and why it works that way?
  - A. Sure. The concept behind it is, prior to us doing any kind of a deal, everybody generated some kind of unitized revenue performance. And then once we go and do this deal, we should generate something more, which we which we split.

So that base thing is called our "base position."

Then after that, whatever other revenues either carrier

generates is put into a pool. Everything that's over a year

incremental position gets apportioned based on the amount of

capacity you fly.

Q. So once you've established what that incremental revenue

- is, how do the carriers decide which -- who gets which part of that?
  - A. Well, it's not like a negotiation or anything. It's a set of formulas that are laid out here based on the percentage of the capacity that you're flying.
    - Q. So how does the relative capacity of the two carriers affect the MGIA calculations?
      - A. Well, the more -- it incentivizes both carriers to effectively grow.
- **Q.** How so?

A. Because what you want is you want to generate more revenue. So effectively what this does is it de-risks you doing what we call, like, "project flying," or taking experiments.

When we do -- if we were to just go start a route like JFK-Tel-Aviv on our own, you're not guaranteed anything. When we go to do this now we know that we'll be able to hit our base position of revenue. And then everything else is a function of what we can do together.

So JetBlue wants to go and support Tel-Aviv because they want the incremental pool to get big. We want to go support them when they're flying to Myrtle Beach or whatever the case might be. And so we have every incentive to go and both add capacity and grow — like, be able to grow the revenue that we share from it.

Now, you mentioned that American Airlines sees a similar 1 revenue sharing in other alliances. What has --2 3 THE COURT: How do you know you're going to hit your base at Tel-Aviv if you do it this way, but you didn't 4 know that if you did it alone? 5 THE WITNESS: That's an excellent question. 7 none of the -- everything --THE COURT: Nobody ever tells me I ask a bad 8 question. I'm not trying to believe the unity and the 9 quality --10 11 THE WITNESS: Nobody ever tells me I asked a bad question too, but I know I do, though. 12 But, yeah, so the -- it's not done at the route 13 14 level, right? So when we start Tel-Aviv, we know that all the capacity that we go, if we -- I'll make up a 100 units of 15 capacity. We know that each of those units, whether one unit 16 goes to Tel-Aviv or one goes to Austin, will come back to us 17 at our base position. So --18 19 THE COURT: In the contract? THE WITNESS: Correct. 20 21 THE COURT: I got it. THE WITNESS: And so you want to generate something 22 23 above the base position. That's why, through this thing, like, there's a bunch of routes that historically we wouldn't 24 25 have gone into, Boston-Cincinnati and places like that, all

because of that construct.

BY MR. WALL:

- Q. You mentioned that American uses similar revenue sharing in other alliances, including the immunized international ones. What has been your experience with the effects of these kinds of revenue sharing terms on growth?
- A. They've been significant for us. Look, in -- since entering into our transatlantic joint venture, we've grown at a greater rate than we grew before it. Were it not for COVID, the same would be true in your transpacific joint ventures, that whenever we have been in one of these structures, it facilities growth for ourselves and partnerships.

And, frankly, it facilitates a growth in just product innovation. Prior to the Atlantic joint venture, we didn't operate flat-bed seats in transatlantic. We didn't have a premium economy cabin. Within, like, a year of being in the transatlantic venture we were outfitting all of our planes to have flat-bed seats and premium economy, and now it's become a standard across the whole industry. We learned that from British Airways.

Q. Okay. One last agreement that I want to talk about here. This is the frequent flyer agreement.

THE COURT: I'm sorry; I just want to circle back to the base for a minute just to make sure I understand this.

So whether you take that 100 -- call it one plane 1 with 180 seats. It's -- you had it before you were flying 2 some route. It's in your base. You're going to get credit for that whether you send that to Tel-Aviv or whether you 4 send it to Raleigh-Durham. But then how are you expanding --5 how does something show up as expanding capacity in the MGIA? THE WITNESS: So what you're going to be doing 7 is -- like, a lot of what we end up doing in the MGIA in our 8 actually schedules is those 50-seat regional jets in JFK 9 never turn into 75-seat jets. They turn into 300-seat 10 international jets that are now flying to Tel-Aviv and Delhi 11 and Doha and places like that. So the capacity --12 13 THE COURT: So the difference between those two is 14 an increase in capacity out of the NEA? THE WITNESS: Correct. 15 THE COURT: I see. So it's what you're flying out 16 of the NEA. So there's an incentive for you to swap in 17 bigger planes for -- just looking at the whole universe of 18 19 how many seats, if it's seats, you're flying out of the NEA, 20 that you have an incentive to swap in bigger planes? THE WITNESS: Correct. And we've upgauged the 21 entirety of New York and Boston for that reason. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then that's the incremental? 24 25 THE WITNESS: Exactly.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 BY MR. WALL: 2 Okay. The last thing I wanted to talk about briefly here is the frequent flyer agreement. That's at -- that's also a 4 separate contract as part of the NEA, right? 5 Correct. Α. 7 0. Okay. 8 Α. Sorry. And just at a very high level since it's getting late in 9 Ο. the day, can you just summarize the frequent flyer agreement 10 11 and its role in the overall NEA package? This is, in some ways, the sort of final piece of 12 making a network that's attractive to that frequently flying 13 14 In order to truly get them off of Delta and United, they have to be able to earn miles. 15 In whatever program they're in -- ours is 16 advantage, JetBlue's is TrueBlue -- they should be able to 17 18 earn miles. They should be able to redeem on the entirety of 19 these networks, and they should be able to have their flights count towards achieving status. 20

So what the frequent flyer program or the frequent flyer deal does is just set the terms for how those are -- that works.

Q. Thank you, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's a currency transaction?

THE WITNESS: Correct. That's exactly what it is.

Yeah.

3 MR. WALL: Thank you, sir.

4 BY MR. WALL:

5

7

- Q. Okay. So the parties reached agreement on the terms of the NEA in July 2000. Did it -- at some point thereafter, did you make a report to the board of directors on the NEA what you had done?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Let me show you what's already been admitted as

  Defendants' Exhibit 25, another one that's -- I think we saw

  earlier. And I believe the slides related to the NEA are

  slides 60 to 67. So if you could just quickly confirm that

  for me. Here, they're up on the screen.
- 15 A. There we go. Yep.
- Q. So did you deliver these slides at the meeting?
- 17 **A.** We did.
- 18 **Q.** Okay.
- 19 **A.** I did.
- Q. So let's go first to slide 61. Could you please explain what it is that you were explaining to the board about the case that led to the NEA?
- 23 **A.** Yeah. We're showing the -- effectively the largest
  24 originating markets in the US, both in revenue, passengers
  25 per day, and then also what percentage of all of contracted

corporate customer travel originates in all of those cities.

- Q. Okay. Let's go to the next slide. And what's going on with this one that begins "separately AA and B6"?
- A. This started to illustrate how we compare -- in terms of competitive capacity versus Delta and United separately and then together.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to the next one. And if you could explain what you told the board with this one.
- A. This is to describe what we do. This is a description of the outputs of the clean team. So it's how we would effectively strategize through these different groupings that we have in these markets.

So, for example, like, one of the things that certainly has always been near and dear to my heart is that we have flatbeds in over transcontinental market. So that's New York and Boston to, as we call it, all of the cities where you can see water in the West Coast -- so Seattle, Portland, San Fran, LA, San Diego.

And to this day, we are the only -- the NEA is the only people that have flatbeds on all of those -- have a flatbed in every one of these markets.

Similarly, transatlantic, we get to go and fly a bunch of new flights. In LaGuardia, we can now start doing different things where, you know, for JetBlue they have different fleet types. They have a 100-seat airplane in

the --1 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry; say that again 2 3 slower. 4 THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: A 100-seat aircraft in the --5 THE WITNESS: JetBlue has different fleet types 6 7 than we do. For example, they have 100-seat airplanes, and that enables them to serve markets more economically than we 8 can. We have bigger jets than they do, not just wide bodies, 9 but 200-seat narrow bodies, so we could reoptimize that. 10 11 Of course, there's a huge upgauging component, which we've talked about a lot today. 12 And then, in Boston, one of the things we found 13 14 after -- after the clean teams were working through it, is --I described this box earlier, these midcontinental markets 15 where AA is really, really strong in. Here, we show 16 St. Louis and Indianapolis. And we can go fly more of those. 17 BY MR. WALL: 18 19 Great. Let's go to the next slide, please. And then this is something -- this is familiar, looks like something 20 we saw earlier, but what's happening with this chart? 21 This is -- this is the same thing. We're showing how 22 23 we've improved the schedule patterns for everybody. I confess, maybe much like the bus, I couldn't probably spend 24 enough time talking about something like this, because in the 25

world of airline network and schedule planning, I mean, 1 frankly, I spent 18 years trying to figure out how to do this 2 in New York, and the clean team did it. So we talked about how we did that. 4 MR. WALL: Next slide, please. 5 BY MR. WALL: And then that's familiar -- that's -- you presented those 7 different metrics on nonstop markets, daily seats, et cetera, 8 to the board as well? A. Correct. 10 11 Q. And then, finally --THE COURT: This is the presentation to the board 12 as to why they should approve this? 13 14 THE WITNESS: Correct. That's exactly right. BY MR. WALL: 15 Q. And the next one? There may not be a next one. And then 16 what's going on with this? 17 This is us trying to explain to the board here -- here's 18 19 what we think the change to our P&L would be when we do this. Now, very importantly in this P&L, what we do is -- this is 20 called our "after ownership contributions. 21 So this is -- it does not -- like, 376 and the 22 23 base doesn't include the cost of owning all of the airplanes and the facilities that are there. But what's very important 24 about this is this the difference between us making money in

these markets and us losing money in these markets. 1 2 Q. Thank you. 3 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I've still got enough 4 material where I'm not going to finish today. Mr. Raja can't come back on Monday. We've already told the plaintiffs about 5 So I think this would probably be a good time to break, and we're going to just have to report back to you on 7 how we pick this up, probably Thursday or so of next week. 8 9 THE COURT: All right with you? MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. We'll work with 10 11 defendants to schedule the rest of Mr. Raja's time. THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. All right. I 12 13 mean, I don't have -- as a general proposition, I don't have 14 a problem with taking people out of order, so -- to accommodate people's schedules. And though I'm willing to 15 stay for a lot longer, I'm perfectly happy to end the day. 16 MR. WALL: Well, if you're willing to stay for a 17 18 lot longer --19 THE COURT: I don't know how much longer you want 20 to stay. MR. WALL: Well, I mean, if you're willing to stay, 21 I would love to finish and get --22 23 THE COURT: How much longer do you have? MR. WALL: I have about 15 minutes, and then they 24 25 have whatever they have.

```
THE COURT: How long do you --
1
               MR. JONES: Your Honor, we think probably about
 2
     30 minutes of redirect.
 3
               THE COURT: Why don't we take a two-minute recess,
 4
     and I talk to the court staff, and then I'll come back out
 5
     and I'll --
               MR. WALL: Fantastic.
 7
               MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, just before we leave -- I
 8
     assume we're definitely finishing with Mr. Raja.
 9
     Mr. Laurence can catch a plane?
10
                           I'm sorry?
11
               THE COURT:
                            The next witness is not going to get
12
               MR. SCHWED:
     called now? I just -- he needs to catch a plan.
13
14
               THE COURT: Yeah, no, we're not going on to --
               MR. SCHWED: Okay.
15
                (Brief recess taken.)
16
               THE COURT: You can be seated.
17
               So I think in the better course is to allow the
18
19
     witness to prove up the network. He can fly back here
     another time.
20
               I think -- I mean, I'm -- you know, my general view
21
     is to keep on going; but I think that, in fairness to all of
22
23
     you and to the court reporter and to the staff -- and also,
     you know, this is an important case for you all of you, and I
24
     want to do the best that I can possibly do.
25
```

And so -- well, it's just -- it is -- gets harder to pay attention as the day wears on into, like, for another -- we were talking about at least another hour, and as a practical matter, it may be more. So I think it makes more sense -- that's my thinking about it -- it makes more sense to adjourn now and work out another time for him to come back.

I'm -- as a general proposition, that's fine with me that we don't finish him today. We can do somebody else Monday, what have you, and then he can come back another time.

MR. WALL: Understood, Your Honor. I think you're going to find that next week, you're not going to be able to tell whose case in chief you're in for much of it because of this. We have got a bunch of stuff to move around.

THE COURT: That's fine. You know, I see -there's overlapping for lots of witnesses. There's
overlapping things relating to both of your cases. I get
that, and that's fine.

And so a couple of things, just -- unless you have something else on any of that -- that I just wanted to -- anything else for any of you?

So just a couple of quick things to think about.

One is, in terms of giving you some more -- I talked about giving you a little more time than I issued in that schedule

that I gave you originally, in part, to account for the breaks and closing arguments. I'm going to do that. I haven't figured out exactly when, but I do know when it won't be. It won't be Friday, October 21st.

So that week, we have some time on Monday, and we'll have the time on Monday; but the rest of the week, I have no -- I won't have any time for you for sure. So in terms of your own planning, it won't be Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and it will be the following week is when I'll give you some more time. And I'll try to look at that and try to fix it if we can't figure it out early next week so you know for our own planning purposes.

And we can do -- I owe you a couple minutes today probably. And you can add that in if you wish, and we'll -- the time for the breaks or whatever, a little more time and also the closing arguments then.

The second -- to the extent you come up with, either of you -- like, today happened with the demonstrative -- and you get it in the meddle of night, my suggestion is -- you all have e-mail -- just e-mail it right away.

Maybe you're all -- maybe there is a time in the 24-hour cycle where, on both teams, there's nobody working -- I hope. But in any event, you can e-mail it when you get it or when it's at the point that it's, like, close enough to

```
share. And even if that's only 20 minutes before court, it's
 1
     better than in courtroom, and two hours before is better than
 2
            I understand those things come up, and so -- but
     that's the best practice.
                I think those are the only -- the only things I
 5
     have at the moment other than I wish you all a good weekend,
 7
     and I'll see you Monday morning.
                I guess -- I'm going to give you back -- since
 8
     we're keeping one set for each witness, I don't need his set
 9
     for now. You can give it back to me when he comes back.
10
                Thank you.
11
12
                (Court in recess at 4:48 p.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICA	TION
2	2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct	
4	transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled	
5	matter to the best of my skill and ability.	
6	6	
7	7	
8	3	
9	/s/ Rachel M. Lopez	September 30, 2022
10	/s/ Robert W. Paschal	
11		
12	2	
13		
14	Rachel M. Lopez, CRR	Date
15	Robert W. Paschal, RMR, CRR	
16	Official Court Reporters	
17	7	
18	3	
19	9	
20		
21		
22	2	
23	3	
24		
25		